

Representation emailed with following text:

Proposal for development at OP108 Mid Anguston

I wish to object to the above mentioned development plan. My objections remain the same as my previous letter a copy of which is attached. I would be grateful if you could attached knowledge receipt of this email.

5 Quarryhill
Peterculter
Aberdeenshire
AB14 0PP

e-mail: [REDACTED]

Local Development Plan Team
Aberdeen City Council
Business Hub 4
Ground Floor North
Marischal College
Broad Street
ABERDEEN
AB10 1AB

18 March 2014

Dear Sir/Madam

**Main Issues Report 2 – Settlement Strategy – Greenfield Housing
Potential for 8/9 Houses – Mid Anguston, Peterculter**

I wish to strongly object to the above proposal.

The road from North Deeside Road to Mid Anguston is inadequate for the current volume of traffic. It is twisty with many blind corners. Having lived in this area all my life the amount of traffic has considerably increased. Over the years there have been various steading conversions, a cattery and helipad which has lead to additional traffic using this road. Also online shopping has meant more delivery vans using this road. There have been various near misses on the road and one accident I know about. The road is in poor condition with badly maintained verges and potholes. If and when potholes are repaired it is of temporary nature and does not last. Part of the road is not wide enough for 2 cars to pass. There is no road markings, pavements or lighting. The nearest bus stop to Mid Anguston is 2 miles away and given the amount of traffic using the road it is not safe to walk.

Quarryhill Road which would be the entrance/exit road for these proposed new houses is even narrower. At present if a delivery van, oil tanker or the refuse lorry is stopped on the road there is no way of getting past. This road would also benefit from speed bumps. These houses would increase vehicles numbers by about 30 and neither road is fit for this.

This area is designated Green Belt land and is currently used for agricultural purposes. It is also home to various wildlife including owls, red kites, badgers, deer, foxes and bats. Building on this site would ruin this wildlife and also the beautiful views across the Dee valley.

One of the arguments in support of this development is to increase numbers at the local schools. Surely there is no guarantee that the people who buy these houses will have children

of primary/secondary school age or will register them at the local school. Cults Academy is already at full capacity.

The second argument is the chicken sheds. If these chicken sheds are dangerous and an environmental health issue it should be up to the owner to rectify this without the need to build houses.

In conclusion I would like to highlight the additional ongoing costs to the Council for road maintenance and the safety issues to those who live in this close knit community.

I would be grateful if you would acknowledge receipt of this objection.

Yours faithfully

Lynda M Kelman