

## Additional answers collected after the submission date.

There were a selection of posters and paper submissions from school children. I have summarised their votes as follows and included some of their comments.

Option A - 24

Option B - 62

Didn't support either option - 2

Comments from school children:

- I choose option B because the old Riverbank building is filling up and we need more space. I think we need to move because St Peters is the perfect size for this school.
- I choose option B because it will be easier to get there for me and maybe for some other people. And also because the population will be so big over five years we might have a lot of people in Riverbank, which means we need a bigger school building.
- I choose option A because lots of people have adapted to our current school building.
- I choose option A because most of the children that go to Riverbank live very close to the current school. So that means they would have to walk further to the new building.
- Option B – will the children help to plan? Instead of a playground can there be benches in the corridors and a shop?
- What will happen to Saint Peter school?
- Option A because I want to stay here.
- Option B – Will some people from St Peters school move to the modular unit and will we still be able to go to lads club and night club?
- What is happening to Saint Peters School Option A because I want to stay in Riverbank.
- Option B. is the new school big enough for Riverbank? Is everything going to be the same but Riverbank moves? Is the new school going to have a playground?
- Option A, I do not want to leave Riverbank – why can't we make more porta cabins?

There were several paper submissions collected from schools. (Please note the totals shown are inclusive of the previous information submitted but only the new comments have been shown below)

### OPTION A

- Yes 25
- No 116

Comments:

Yes, more spaces available for local people.

Yes, I will support option A.

It sounds better.

Yes, kids loves in this school. There's almost got everything, we're happy it and it's near the lads club and my home.

This option would suit us as we would still be in the catchment area to attend this school (current Riverbank).

No, I don't support this option. After year of working to bring the Tillydrone school community together, it

would be a backward step if it was to be split and divided.

No, seems very messy, 2 schools trying to share one space will create a lot of issues. Separate campus' seems easier all round.

No, I feel the schools would be better separate.

No, I think both schools need separate sites due to their current pupil capacity.

#### OPTION B.

- Yes 100
- No 41

#### Comments:

No I don't support it as currently (and for many years before) the St Peters school is being over occupied therefore not Riverbank, but our school must be relocated to the new building.

Yes I support as the children of Tillydrone deserve a new school.

No. The school would be in the same position it is in now (very over crowded) within a very short space of time. The demographer projections do not factor in at the moment St Peters has enough applicants to fill 3 classes each year so many are turned away. Many of the Tillydrone residents are Catholics who would opt for St Peters here, because St Peters in Old Aberdeen is almost 1.5 miles away: too far to walk with young children especially in winter. A proportion of locals would opt to remain in the local school. The proportion of other faiths or no faith at all, who would opt for St Peters, could be large when some spaces are available. In its executive summary 19.11.12 ACC states that all 3 catholic schools have significant numbers of non-Catholics - there is a strong demand from parents. A number of the present parents from the Seaton area would find this distance too much.

I stay on Tillydrone so will be ver handy for me.

I do not support the present consultation because: 1) all the proposals that had been discussed in the May consultation were not considered. 2) This present proposals, recently presented to St Peters' school community, were not discussed with St Peter's community 3) St Peter's has presented an alternative proposal which should have been considered for a fair consultation process 4) In the face of the radical new approach taken by the city council I would find reasonable that a new consultation takes place. 5) I have not found convincing statistical arguments to support this proposal based on expectations of future registration. Regarding the current proposal: i) St Peter's School is an example of a highly successful School, which integrates good teaching, with competent professionals, satisfied parents community. To me this is an example to preserve, to follow, expand, promote, enlarge, expand. ii) Several reasons to object this proposal were presented by the St Peter's community; I support all of the objections and do not see the need to past these arguments in this consultation. iii) I would probably take the children by car, as other St Peter's parent might also do, increasing the traffic in a strategy part of the city

I support this Option B. A separate denomination school (St Peters) will be easier to effectively manage than pairing it up with another school. Being separate will remove the future problem of 'religious, faith, policy' etc. clash between parents and pupils if non-denominations/denominational are operating under one management and environment. Some sponsors/charities especially those akeen to xtianity may not feel free to donate or sponser some projects as they will feel its been shared by 'untargeted people'. \* Pupil (kids) are better understood at home if parents feel ok if the group they are identifying at school unlike when they are disturbed of not being sure of this. St Peters has given parents /no UK parents a big hope of settling well relaxed by providing their children a school where basic norms are practiced and bible teaching tolerated. Even Muslims and non xtians in religious families send their kid to St Peter. So let's have separate StPeters Q.E.D. It's good we have separate denominational school like St Peters and its good the govt doesn't get it wrong by leaving them out in any good policy and deeds.

Yes, I think it's better to keep all the kids together as they are. That way we'll avoid the divide in community. As a non religious person I also prefer my child to be surrounded by children of other ethnics/faith/religious groups to help them mix with each other and get to know more cultures. That might help my child to make up her mind about her own beliefs when she's

ready.

No, although this would be the most likely solution this school would be at the opposite end of where we live, this is ok for those who drive but if you rely on grandparents collecting it can become more difficult.

I do support this option. I want to keep the Tillydrone school community together. Tillydrone were promised a new school in 2008 and the council at the time failed to deliver. Its only right that Tillydrone finally gets what was promised.

Supporting option B coz it would create more spaces for kids at school, and pre-school nursery and sound less complicated than option A.

This is the better option as I feel children should be kept together and would make Tillydrone better for all children.

Yes, BUT as an 'out of zone placement' parent, this means we will be even further away from the school. This option seems more logical from Tillydrone point of view.

Yes I think it would be better and more space.

Yes this would be an easier option for all pupils and families involved. There could be more disruption if kids were mixed.

Don't think would mix well. Definitely a better idea.

I prefer to keep the community together. I do not wish to see segregation in a well-established community spirit.

Yes, I feel this would be the better option because the school would be bigger. Also Riverbank is big enough to accommodate St Peters school and their needs.

Keep all local kids together in one school. Not wanting a split school. Less teaching staff required. More nursery spaces available for both schools.

I think there is no reason to split Tillydrone into two zones for schooling. Tillydrone is a whole community and over the years improving as working together as a community. I think the children work together for our future as a whole. Two schooling types with a divide is not wanted or needed. Our children deserve the chance and opportunity of being educated in the new building.

Yes, I think it would be better to have one school for non-denominational and for St Peters to relocate to the current Riverbank School. I think the crossover in religion may cause issues and the need for extra teachers may be an issue as there is a shortage of qualified teachers.

Yes, I think this would ensure both schools to accommodate more pupils.