5.	Site Details	
5.1	What name would you like the site to be known by? (Please note if the site is currently included within the ALDP2017 please use the OP site number)	Rigifa Farm, Cove
5.2	Site Address	Land to the east and south of Cove Road Cove Aberdeen
5.3	Postcode	
5.4	Have you any information for the site on the internet? If so please provide the web address:	Yes / No Details: No
5.5	Is the site currently being marketed?	Yes / No Details: No
5.6	Site Location Map (Please include an OS Map with the Boundary of the site clearly marked)	Details:
5.7	Please provide the National Grid reference of the site.	Area 1 - NJ944004 Area 2 - NJ942003 Area 3 - NJ940002
5.8	What is the current use of the site?	Open fields
5.9	Has there been any previous development on the site? If yes please provide details	Yes / No Details: No previous development, but Area 1 was supported by Aberdeen City Council in an historic interim housing strategy. It is understood that the site was identified in the Modified Finalised Local Plan for 90 houses. It is as a logical location for additional housing in Cove.

6.	Legal and Planning History		
6.1	Please indicate the relationship to the Proposer or Person / Organisation they are working	Sole owner	
		Part owner	
	on behalf of, has with the site.	Option to purchase	\checkmark
	,	No legal interest	
6.2	Is the site under option to a developer?	Yes / No Details: Yes, under option to Mactaggart & Micke	l Homes
6.3	Is the proposed site included in the ALDP2017?	Yes / No Details: _{see} paper apart	
6.4	Is the proposed site included in the Aberdeen City Centre Masterplan?	Yes / No Details:	
6.5	Has the site been subject of previous discussions with the Council or any agent there of?	Yes / No Details: see paper apart	
6.6	Has the site been subject of previous Planning Applications? (Please provide a planning reference)	Yes / No Details: 912181 (November 1991) - Cove Expansion A A1/0663 (April 2001) A1/1450 (August 2001)	Area
6.7	Has the site been subject of a previous Bid to a previous LDP? (Please provide the bid reference number)	Yes / No Details: 13/07 (2009) B1304 (2016)	
6.8	Are there any legal restrictions on the title deeds such as rights of way, way leaves etc.	Yes / No Details:	
6.9	Are there any other legal factors that might prevent or restrict development? (e.g. ransom strips / issues with accessing the site etc.)	Yes / No Details:	

7.	Your Proposal		
7.1	(Please provide as much detail as p Proposed Use	Housing	\checkmark
1.1	rioposed Ose	o	•
		Employment	
		Mixed Use	
		Retail	
		Other (Please Specify)	commercial
7.2	Do you have a specific	Yes / No	
	occupier in mind for the	Details: Mactaggart and Mickel Homes for the resid	
	site?	element, but no occupier for the commercia identified yet.	ai element
70	Site Area (hesteres)	,	
7.3	Site Area (hectares)	h a 9.5 ha	
	Housing		
7.4	Approx. no of units.	164	
7.5	Proposed Mix and Number	A mix of terraced, semi detached and detached hou	
	(Number of Flats / Terraced /	be provided. The exact mix to be determined at the	e detailed
	Semi-detached / detached etc.)	design stage.	
7.0	Afferdable Llausia a	0/ This would be previded in line with Level Develo	
7.6	Affordable Housing	% This would be provided in line with Local Development Policy at the time of a planning application	pment Plan
	Percentage	rolley at the time of a planning application	
77	Afferdable Lleveirer Dertrer		
7.7	Affordable Housing Partner (Details of any partner	Yes / No	
	organisation, Registered Social	Details: Early discussions have taken place with	
	Landlord etc.)	Hillcrest Housing Association	
7.8	Tenure	This is unknown at this stage, but would be discus	sed in due
	(Details of tenure type, Private	course.	
	Rental Sector / private sale /		
	Housing for the elderly etc.)		
	Employment		
7.9	Business and Office	m ² n/a	
7.10	General Industrial	m² n/a	
7.11	Storage and distribution	m ² n/a	
7.12	Other Please specify	m ² n/a	
	Mixed Use		
7.40	(Please provide as much detail as p		
7.13	Housing	No of units and type:-	
7.14	Employment	m² n/a	
7.15	Retail	m ² n/a	
	Retail		
7.16	Approx. floor area	m ² n/a	

	Other (Please Specify examples could include retailing, tourism, renewable energy, sports, leisure and recreation, institutions and education.)	
7.17	Details of proposal	Land is set aside for a potential commercial use or health club. The exact size is unknown at this stage.
7.18	Approx. floor area	m ² size to be determined

8.	Engagement and Delivery	
8.1	Has the local community been given the opportunity to influence/partake in the development proposal?	If there has been any community engagement please provide details of the way in which it was carried out and how it has influenced your proposals. If no consultation has yet taken place please detail how you will do so in the future. Yes / No Details: see paper apart
8.2	Will the proposed development be phased?	Yes / No Details: see paper apart
8.3	Expected development start post adoption of the plan in 2022	Year, 0-5, 6-10, 10+ See paper apart
8.4	Expected development completion	Year, 0-5, 6-10, 10+ See paper apart
8.5	Is finance in place and if so what form? (Secured Loan, Grant Funding etc.)	Yes / No Details: Finance will be available by the developer at the time of development.
8.6	Are there any other issues with the delivery of the site that we should be made aware of? (These should include any issues which may prevent or impact on the deliverability of the site.)	Yes / No Details:

9.	Sustainable Development and Design		
9.1	Have you applied principles of sustainable siting and design to your site? The City Count has produced a Sustainability Checklist which provides guidance on the principles of sustainable siting and design and other issues which can be found on www.aberdeencity.gov.uk. Please provide the following information:		
	Orientation		
9.2	Exposure:- (does the site currently have)	Little shelter from northerly winds Some shelter from northerly winds Good shelter from northerly winds	
9.3	Aspect:- (is the site mainly)	North facing East or west facing South, south west or south east facing	\checkmark
9.4	Slope:- (do any parts of the site have a gradient greater than 1 in 12?)	Yes If yes approx. what area (hectares or %) No	
	Flooding & Drainage	<u> </u>	
9.5	Flooding (is any part of the site at risk of flooding or has it previous flooded, if so provide detail You can view the SEPA flood maps at http://map.sepa.org.uk/floodmap/ map.htm)	Yes (If yes please use the SEPA flood maps to determine the risk) Little or No Risk	
		Low to Medium Risk Medium to High Risk	•
		If yes approx. what area (hectares or %)	
9.6	Has a flooding strategy been developed for the site?	en Yes <mark>/No</mark> Details:	
9.7	Have discussions been had with the Council's flooding team?	Yes / No Details:	
9.8	Have discussion been had with Scottish Water?	Yes <mark>/ No</mark> Details:	
9.9	Is there waste water capacity for the proposed development? http://www.scottishwater.co.uk/bu siness/Connections/Connecting- your-property/Asset-Capacity- Search)?	Yes / No Details: see paper apart	
9.10	Is there water capacity for the proposed development?	Yes / No Details: see paper apart	

	http://www.scottishwater.co.uk/bu siness/Connections/Connecting- your-property/Asset-Capacity- Search)?		
	Land Use, Built and Cultural He	ritage	
9.11	Built and Cultural Heritage (would the development of the	Significant loss or disturbance	
	site lead to the loss or disturbance of archaeological sites or vernacular or listed	Some potential loss or disturbance	
	buildings?)	No loss or disturbance	\checkmark
9.12	Natural conservation (would the development of the	Significant loss or disturbance	
	site lead to the loss or disturbance of wildlife habitats or	Some potential loss or disturbance	see paper apart
	species?)	No loss or disturbance	
9.13	Landscape features (would the development of the	Significant loss or disturbance	
	site lead to the loss or disturbance of linear and group features of woods, tree belts, hedges and stone walls?)	Some potential loss or disturbance	see paper apart
		No loss or disturbance	
9.14	Landscape fit (would the development be	Significant intrusion	
	intrusive into the surrounding landscape?)	Slight intrusion	
		No intrusion	see paper apart
9.15	Relationship to existing settlements	Unrelated (essentially a new settlement)	
	(how well related will the development be to existing settlements?)	Partially related	
		Well related to existing settlement	✓
9.16	Land use mix (will the development contribute	No contribution	
	to a balance of land uses, or provide the impetus for attracting	Some contribution	
	new facilities?)	Significant contribution	\checkmark
9.17	Contamination (are there any contamination or	Significant contamination or tipping present	
	waste tipping issues with the site?)	Some potential contamination or tipping present	
		No contamination or tipping present	\checkmark

9.18	Will the site impact on any water courses?	Yes / No Details:			
9.19	Does the development site contain carbon-rich soils or peatland? http://www.snh.gov.uk/planning- and-development/advice-for- planners-and-developers/soils- and-development/cpp/	Yes/No Details:			
9.20	Is the development site within the airport safety exclusion zone?	Yes / No Details:			
9.21	Is the development site within the airport 57dB LAeq noise contours?	Yes / No Details:			
9.22	Land use conflict (would the development conflict with adjoining land uses or have	Significant conflict Some potential conflict			
	any air quality or noise issues?)	No conflict			
9.23	If there are significant conflicts, what mitigation measures are proposed?	Details: see paper apart			
	Transport and Accessibility				
9.24	Has contact been made with the Council's transport team?	Yes / No Details: as part of historic p	blanning app	lication	
9.25	Is access required onto a Trunk road and if so has contact been made with Transport Scotland?	Yes / No Details: no access onto tru	nk road requ	uired	
9.26	Accessibility (is the site currently accessible to		Bus Route	Rail Station	Major Road
	bus, rail, or major road network?)	More than 800m Between 400-800m Within 400m			✓
9.27	Proximity to services and facilities		400m	400- 800m	>800m
	(How close are any of the	Community facilities			
	following?)	Local shops Sports facilities		\checkmark	
		Public transport networks	\checkmark	v	
		Primary schools	\checkmark		
9.28	Footpath and cycle	No available connections	S		·
	connections (are there any existing direct				
	footpath and cycle connections to	Limited range of connect	tions		

	community and recreation facilities or employment? Give the Core Path number if core path is present https://www.aberdeencity.gov.uk/ services/environment/core-paths- plan)	Good range of connections	~
9.29	Proximity to employment	None	
	opportunities (are there any existing employment opportunities within	Limited	
	1.6km for people using or living in the development you propose?)	Significant	✓ at Aberdeen Gateway
	Infrastructure		
9.30	Physical Infrastructure (does the site have connections	Electricity	no, but these can be provided
	to the following utilities?)	Gas	no, but these can be provided
9.31	Does the development have access to high speed broadband?	Yes / No Details: It is considered that by the time the site is i development, connections to high speed b will be available.	
9.32	Does the development include a Heat Network/District Heating Scheme?	Yes / No Details: see paper apart	
9.33	How is the development proposing to satisfy the Councils Low and Zero Carbon Policy?	Details: see paper apart	
9.34	Are there any further physical or service infrastructure issues affecting the site?	Yes / No Details:	
	Public open space		
9.35	Will the site provide the required level of open space as per the current LDP (Please provide details of your calculations)	Yes / No Details: see paper apart	
9.36	What impact will the	Enhance the Network	
	development have on the Green Space Network?	No impact on the Network	
		Negatively impact the Network Please justify your response: see paper apart	
		i iouso justity your response, see paper a	aparı

10.	Education	
10.1	Have discussions been had with the Council's Education Department?	Yes / No Details:
10.2	Is there currently education capacity for the proposed development? <u>https://www.aberdeencity.go</u> <u>v.uk/ services/education- and- childcare/schools-and- education/schools-pupil-roll- forecasts</u>	Yes / No Details: see paper apart

11.	Community benefits	
	Community benefits can include new community facilities (such as local shops, health, education, leisure and community facilities), affordable housing, green transport links and open spaces. Include elements which you anticipate may be required as developer contributions from the development. (Please note, specific contributions will have to be negotiated with the Council on the basis of the proposal.)	
11.1	Does the development proposal give any benefits to the community? If so what benefits does the development bring, and how would they likely be delivered?	


12.	Masterplan Development Fram	ework
12.1	If you have prepared a framework or masterplan showing a possible layout for the site, please include it with this form.	Yes / No Details:


E.

13.	Additional attachments			
	No site is going to be perfect and the checklist above will inevitably raise some potential negative impacts from any development. Where negative impacts are identified, please provide details of their nature and extent and of any mitigation that may be undertaken. Listed below are examples of further information that may be included in your submission;			
		Included	Not Applicable	
13.1	Contamination Report			
13.2	Flood Risk Assessment			
13.3	Drainage Impact Assessment			
13.4	Habitat/Biodiversity Assessment			


13.5	Landscape Assessment	\checkmark	
13.6	Transport Assessment	\checkmark	
13.7	Other as applicable (e.g. trees, noise, dust, smell,	Tree survey Blasting information	
	retail impact assessment etc. please state)	Utilities information	

14.	Development Viability		
14.1	Taking into account all the information provided above, and the requirements of the	I confirm that I consider the site to be viable as per the details provided above.	\checkmark
	Aberdeen Local Development Plan 2017 and supporting Supplementary Guidance, please confirm that you have assessed the financial viability of your proposed development and found it to be viable for development in the timeframe set out above.	Please provide details of viability: see paper apart	





Site Areas	
Area 1	= 5.2 ha
Areas 2&3	= 4.3 ha
Total	= 9.5ha



ABERDEEN LOCAL DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW PRE-MAIN ISSUES PROPOSAL FOR A SITE TO BE INCLUDED IN THE MAIN ISSUES REPORT

FURTHER DETAILS IN RESPONSE TO SPECIFIC QUESTIONS RAISED IN THE BID FORM

RIGIFA FARM, COVE

Mactaggart & Mickel are part of the Mactaggart and Mickel Group, a family-owned group of companies whose core business is creating high quality homes while leaving a lasting legacy for future generations. Mactaggart and Mickel Homes are an award winning family housebuilder who have been hand-crafting new homes in Scotland for more than ninety years. They currently have developments underway across central Scotland, from Edinburgh to Glasgow and the Ayrshire Coast and are looking to expand into the Aberdeen market.

Cove Bay is a suburb which lies to the south east of Aberdeen. It originated around the fishing industry when it had a population of around 300 people. It has been the subject of significant expansion with the development of the Aberdeen Gateway Business Park, new housing at Charleston and Loirston, as well as the new Lochside Academy and Cove Rangers football stadium which are currently under construction. Cove now has a population of over 7,000 people and lies close to the A90, giving good access to the national road network.

The site at Rigifa is located to the south-east of Cove, to the south and east of Cove Road. It can be split into three distinct fields, extending to approximately 9.5 hectares (23.4 acres). It is generally bounded to the north by existing residential development at Creel Road, Creel Court and Creel Wynd, to the east by open space, to the south by the boundaries of Leiths Quarry; and to the west by Cove Road and residential development beyond. The site is identified outlined and red on the map below.



Q6. Legal and Planning History

There is some history surrounding the site at Rigifa. Part of the site (Area 1) was included in the 1991 Aberdeen City District Wide Local Plan as part of the Cove Expansion Area. The Finalised Aberdeen Local Plan (September 1999) also allocated the site as strategic reserve land, however, that plan was

abandoned following the preparation and approval of the Aberdeen and Aberdeenshire Structure Plan 2001 – 2016. The site then formed part of an allocated site in the Modified Finalised Aberdeen Local Plan in August 2002. That plan was also abandoned by Aberdeen City Council which was later replaced by the Finalised Aberdeen Local Plan, Green Spaces-New Places, published in August 2004.

6.6 Planning Applications - The planning application history for the site dates back to 1991. Wimpey Homes submitted an application (Ref: 912181) in November 1991 in relation to the Cove Expansion Area. An appeal by Wimpey Homes (P/PPA/100/46) for 100 units in June 1998 was dismissed as it was contrary to the Development Plan due to timing. The report, however, confirmed the suitability of the site for housing.

Wimpey Homes submitted a further application (A1/0663) for housing in April 2001 in response to the identification of the site within the interim housing strategy. Issues in relation to the proximity of the site to Leith's Quarry were raised and the Health and Safety Executive stated that the 400m distance between the site and any housing was a benchmark and in fact, there were no regulations which specified the distance required. Aberdeen City Council queried whether residential development at this location would compromise the quarry operation, but no response was received. Although there was no objection to the application from the Council's Environment Team, the applicant appealed the non-determination of the application. This was sisted until the publication of the Local Plan.

In August 2001, Wimpey submitted a further application at the same time as the appeal on the A1/0663 application. No objection was received from the Health and Safety Executive, however, a letter from the Quarry advised of a 250m cordon between the quarry and the nearest house.

In relation to the adjacent quarry, a planning application (P130490) for the extension to Blackhills Quarry is required to protect the residential amenity of the adjacent Rigifa farmhouse which lies between the bid site and the quarry.

6.7 Previous LDP Bids - More recently, the site has been the subject of previous bids to Aberdeen City Council. As part of the 2012 LDP process, a letter from the William Cowie Parrnership was sent to Aberdeen City Council enclosing a letter from the Scottish Agricultural College supporting the rezoning of the Rigifa from agricultural to alternative uses. In March 2009, at the request of Aberdeen City Council, a development bid (Area 2 and 3) was submitted for a 14.26 hectare site, including 55 houses, a retail foodstore, employment units and public open space. This was given the reference 13/07. An objection was received from the Quarry and the existence of the 250m exclusion zone. However, the quarry considered that a 400m exclusion zone was more appropriate as indicated by the HSE. The Council failed to allocate the site in the Main Issues Report or the Proposed Plan due to the proximity of the quarry. The Reporter to that examination concluded that the land at Rigifa had the potential to accommodate either business or residential development in the longer term.

At the request of the Council to the 2017 Local Development Plan review process, a new development bid was submitted. This covered a 3.54 hectare site covering a triangle of land to the west of the existing farm buildings (Area 3). This was given the reference (B1304).

Q7. Proposal

The site is proposed for a residential development and has the capacity to deliver around 164 units, commercial uses, as well as open space, strategic landscaping and infrastructure. The bid is accompanied by an indicative site layout which divides the site into three separate areas, providing the potential to phase the site as each can be accessed and serviced independently. Significant areas of open space are provided, with Area 1 respecting the core path between area 1 and 2 and providing

an opportunity improve the amenity of this corridor which leads to the Urban Green Space which has recently been purchased by the Cove Woodland Trust. Mactaggart and Mickel would be willing to work with the Trust to enhance this area to benefit the local community. Tree belts are included to screen the site from the adjacent Blackhills Quarry.

The development would include a mix of houses, including detached, semi-detached and terraced, 2 – 5 bedroomed properties. An exact mix would be provided in due course taking account of the prevailing market conditions and affordable housing requirements. Affordable Housing will be provided in line with developer obligations requirements at the time of development. Early discussions have taken place with Hillcrest Housing Association, however, no details of tenure have been identified at this stage and this would be clarified as the process progresses.

Land is also set aside for a potential commercial use or health club in Area 2.

This bid is supported by a number of studies, including a Tree Survey, Extended Phase 1 Ecological Survey, Services Report, Transport and Access Appraisal Report, Landscape Plan, as well as a Blasting Report which all support the development of the site.

Q8. Engagement and Delivery

8.1 The local community have been involved in the historic planning applications associated with the site as detailed in section 6 and the previous LDP bid processes. No public consultation has taken place in respect of this specific bid, however, the local community and community council will be consulted if the site is identified for development. This would take the form of exhibitions as part of any major planning application or any consultation required by Aberdeen City Council through the LDP Review process.

8.2 Given the size of the site and its capacity to deliver around 164 houses, it will be developed in phases. The exact phasing for the site has yet to be determined, but the developer is willing to work with Aberdeen City Council to provide an appropriate phasing plan for the site. Area 1 has the potential to accommodate up to 100 units, with Area 2 comprising approximately 24 units plus commercial uses and Area 3 comprising 40 houses.

8.3 The developer is committed to submitting a planning application as soon as practicable after the site is allocated in the Local Development Plan. Development would commence as soon as a planning and other technical consents are issued and this is expected in year 0-5 post adoption of the plan. As the site can be phased, additional phases would commence after the previous phase is complete. There is capacity of the site to deliver housing within each time period post adoption.

8.4 The expected completion of Phase 1 would be in the 0-5 year period, with subsequent phases being delivered after this, in each subsequent plan period.

Q9. Sustainable Development and Design

9.2 Exposure - The site has good shelter from northerly winds being protected from existing dwellings to the north. The site is less well sheltered from south westerly winds, although strategic landscaping proposed will provide some shelter.

9.4 Slope – A site appraisal has been carried out for the site which identified that the site slopes gently from east to west with a high point to the west of area 1. Area 3 is generally level. No part of the site has a gradient greater than 1 in 12.

9.5 Flooding - SEPA's Flood Risk maps show that there is no risk of flooding on the site from any river, however, there is some risk from surface water flooding. The proposed SUDs within each area of the site would alleviate any surface water flood issues. A drainage impact assessment would be prepared as part of any planning application for the site.

9.9/9.10 Water and Waste Water - In terms of waste water and water, the existing services report (Appendix 1) demonstrates that connections would be available in the vicinity of the site. An extension to these would be required and new foul and surface water sewers will be provided to service the development and these will be located within the new roads and areas of open space where necessary. It is unknown at this stage if there is capacity in the waste water and water network, however, capacity can be made available if the site is identified for development. This would be investigated and agreed at the appropriate time and is not considered to be an impediment to development.

9.11 Built and Cultural Heritage - A land information search has been carried out which concludes that there are no built and cultural heritage designations on the site. Development would therefore not result in any loss or disturbance.

9.12 Natural Conservation - Given the current Green Belt and Green Space Network status of the site, there will be some loss or disturbance of wildlife habitats or species. However, the site has previously been included as an opportunity site in past Local Plans, therefore, the principle of development has previously been accepted.

Nevertheless, an extended Phase 1 Ecological Survey Report (Appendix 2) has been carried out for the bid site which identifies the protected mammal species within proximity of the site.

In terms of designated sites, the closest is a Site of Special Scientific Interest (SSSI) which is 500m east of the site and presents itself as Dickie's bladderfern Maritime cliff. The development of the bid site will have no impact on this designation. There is no woodland in the direct vicinity of the site and the closest is 1km west at Blue Hill.

No field signs or potential breeding habitat for other species were noted. The site offers negligible roosting opportunities for bats and potential foraging habitat is present along tree lines and woodland edges, however, these are unlikely to be affected by the proposed development. Mature trees would be retained where possible and significant new planting proposed to enhance opportunities for biodiversity. The report concludes that no additional ecological surveys are considered necessary.

9.13 Landscape features – A Landscape Appraisal (Appendix 3) has been prepared to accompany this bid. There is a gorse belt with evidence of drystone field boundary buried under it within Area 1. Parts of this will be retained where possible. A consumption dyke runs between Areas 1 and 2 which would also be retained. There is a young mixed tree belt to the south and east of Area 1 and this is identified as Woods In and Around Towns (WIAT) Challenge Fund which aims to bring urban woodland into sustainable management and improve recreation facilities. Area 2 and 3 also contain tree belts to the south and east respectively.

A tree survey (Appendix 4) has been undertaken to assess the existing trees on the site, the majority of which are in a fair condition, within category C which are classified as being "of low quality with an estimated remaining life expectancy of at least 10 years; unremarkable or very limited merit; trees

with no material conservation or other cultural value". Some are in a poor condition, or dead and require to be removed regardless of development on the site.

The development will retain trees where appropriate and significantly enhance planting on the site to improve these landscape features, which will aid the integration of housing and provide screening to and from the site. It would also comply with the WIAT Challenge Fund aims and the developer is willing to work with the Community Trust who have recently purchased land nearby for community use, to integrate this land with the trust land to expand and improve community facilities in the area.

9.14 Landscape Fit - The site fits well into the landscape and will be seen in the context of existing residential development to the north, west and south. Tree belts will be retained and enhanced to screen the site from the housing to the north and the quarry to the east. This will both soften its visual impact and give protection to the quarry operations nearby. The core path through the site will be retained and this corridor enhanced, therefore causing no impact on this important feature. It is therefore considered that there will be no intrusion into the surrounding landscape.

9.15 Relationship to existing settlements - The site forms a natural expansion of Cove and this was previously accepted by Aberdeen City Council in their identification of the site within a Cove Expansion Area and Opportunity Site within historic draft Local Plans. It lies immediately adjacent to existing residential development to the north and west and to development at Aberdeen Gateway to the south east. It is therefore considered to be infill development and a logical location for new housing. It would enjoy good pedestrian link, with Cove Road bounding the site to the west and the network of public transport routes that are found along that Road, connecting the site with Aberdeen and the wider area. Charleston Primary School is also within close proximity of the site.

9.16 Land Use Mix - The site itself will provide a mix of land uses. While the predominant use is for housing, land is set also aside within the layout for commercial uses or a health club, adding to the range of uses in the vicinity. Significant amenity space is provided in each area, particularly Area 1 and the Core Path route will be retained and enhanced providing for an attractive community feature. There is potential for this to link with the area of land that is proposed to be purchased by the Community Trust.

9.22/9.23 Land Use Conflict – Blackhills Quarry lies to the south and east of the bid site. Historic correspondence has referred to a 250m and 400m distance between the quarry and any housing and the previous LDP process considered this issue through the request of further information. The quarry, in their response stated that the proximity of development was not a health and safety issue, but ensuring that the quarry operations do not have to be curtailed due to new development.

The Health and Safety Executive do not set any specific danger zones for blasting operations and there are no regulations which specify stand-off distances. Their advice, suggesting a 400m distance from the quarry to any development, has been relied upon by the Council in determining applications and development bids. However, this was dated 2003, was only a benchmark which was considered good practice and it is argued that this information is now out of date. It should also be noted that Rigifa farmhouse lies immediately adjacent to the site and any blasting is required to respect the residential amenity of this property. Therefore, anything beyond this will be protected and will also meet residential amenity requirements.

Instead of applying the historic HSE benchmark, the proximity of development should be based on more recent investigations and information. The existing permission for Blackhills Quarry contains a number of conditions. It requires that the ground vibration as a result of the blasting operations shall not exceed a peak particle velocity of 6mms-1 ppv for 95% of events with no blast exceeding

12.0mm/sec-1 at existing private residential and commercial properties. Prior to the commencement of any blasting operations, a scheme for the monitoring of blasting, including the location of monitoring points and equipment to be used shall be submitted to and approved in writing by the planning authority. Thereafter, all blasting operations shall take place in accordance with the scheme as approved. Details of the methods employed to minimise air pressure from blasting operations shall also be submitted to and approved by the planning authority.

Mactaggart and Mickel have employed a Quarry Blast Consultant to investigate the blasting operations of the quarry in more detail. This integrated the Leiths Quarry plans and the proposed bid plans, taking the blasting charge weight proposals and added these to each phasing plan. As a result, 6 plans were created (Appendix 5). The solid brown lines are the "explosive charge weight contours" that have to be adhered to in order to ensure that the vibration limits are not exceeded at Rigifa farm. The dashed blue line and dashed brown lines are the "explosive charge weight contours" that would need to be used if the proposed housing on the bid site is developed.

The blasting charge weight contours have then been reversed to create an equivalent distance outside the quarry and determine an appropriate distance that development can be located from the quarry (Appendix 6). The indicative layout has considered this line and identifies that if the quarry operator operates within the terms of their consent, residential development within Area 2 and 3 has no impact on the quarry's blasting operations.

In relation to Area 1, the blast monitoring investigations conclude that the development of this site would impact marginally on the quarry's blasting operations. However, anything beyond 263m is acceptable and the site layout demonstrates the boundary of this and proposes a significant amenity area to protect the housing from the blast operations. It should also be noted that by the end of phase 5 of the quarry operations, the quarry has extracted all the mineral from the area that would be influenced and small changes to phase 4 of the quarry operation (such as the quarry concentrating on working on the northern boundary rather than the southern boundary) could result in this problem being completely alleviated.

It is therefore argued that the 400m distance suggested through the previous LDP processes is not relevant and the 263m cordon is the appropriate distance to be applied. The indicative layout (Appendix 7) demonstrates that the site can accommodate housing without impacting the quarry operations.

9.28 Footpath and cycle connections – Core Path 78 runs through the site connecting the site with the wider Cove area to the north, including the coastal path network, as well as the wider Aberdeen area. A Transport and Access Appraisal Report (Appendix 8) has been prepared for the bid site. It summaries that the surrounding transport network includes facilities for pedestrians in the form of footways on Cove Road and Charleston Road as well as Core Path 78; cyclists in the form of NCN Route 1; and bus passengers in the form of services 3 and 18 on Cove Road. The site therefore has good footpath and cycle connections with the wider area.

9.32/9.33 Heating/Low Carbon Policy – Mactaggart and Mickel Homes, in common with the majority of housebuilders, advocate a fabric first approach to ensure energy conservation and thus minimise carbon footprint. Appropriate technologies available at the time will also be considered as a means to deliver reduced energy consumption and heat generation.

9.35 Open Space - The site will provide the required level of open space as per the current LDP Policy. Significant areas of open space are proposed within the bid area including a significant amenity space

in Area 1 as well as the protection and enhancement of the Core Path corridor through the site. Area 2 and 3 also contain appropriate areas of open space to ensure sufficient access for all.

9.36 Impact on Green Space Network – the site is currently identified in the Aberdeen Local Development Plan as Green Space Network, however, it is considered that there is merit in the removal of this designation. The aim of the Green Belt is to maintain the distinct identity of Aberdeen and the communities within and around the city, by defining their physical boundaries clearly. It helps to avoid coalescence of settlements and sprawling development on the edge of the city and maintaining access to open space. The Cove area has been the subject of expansion over recent years and the bid site is seen as infill land between employment land and housing. It is surrounded on three sides by development and the Green Belt designation is no longer considered appropriate on the site. There is adequate land to the east and south of the site that can perform the Green Belt function.

Similarly, the purpose of the Green Space Network designation is to protect, promote and enhance the wildlife, access, recreation, ecosystem services and landscape value of the land. However, the Phase 1 habitat survey confirms that the site has no value in these terms. Other than the Core Path corridor, which would be retained, the site is not used for recreation purposes. It is therefore argued that the site has no value in Green Space Network terms and this was previously accepted by the Council in its historic designation for housing.

It is understood that the main reason for the application of the Green Belt and Green Space Network designation was due to the proximity of the quarry and the blasting operations. However, further investigation has taken place in relation to this which demonstrates that the site is suitable for development. Development within Area 2 and 3 has no impact on the quarry's blasting operations. It should be noted that there is an existing farmhouse between Area 3 and the quarry and any blasting operations must retain the residential amenity of this site. Therefore, anything beyond this will be protected and will also meet residential amenity requirements.

In relation to Area 1, some blast monitoring has been carried out which concludes that anything beyond 263m is acceptable. The site layout demonstrates the boundary of this and proposes a significant amenity area to protect the housing from the blast operations. It is therefore argued that the 400m distance suggested through the previous LDP processes is not relevant and the 263m cordon is the appropriate distance to be applied.

Q10. Education

No recent discussions have taken place with the Council's Education Department. The 2015 School Roll Forecasts state that Charleston Primary School is forecast to have a rising school roll, predicted to be operating at 179% of capacity by 2023. More recent forecasts should have been carried out by Aberdeen City Council, however, these are not publicly available.

It is understood that a new primary school is to be built as part of OP59 at Loirston and that changes are proposed to the catchment areas of the schools in Cove. Without more up to date forecasts, it is difficult to predict the impact from development on the bid site, however, it is considered that these changes will significantly improve the capacity of Charleston School.

A new Lochside Academy is being built in Cove, which is due to open in summer 2018, with a capacity of 1,350 pupils. It is anticipated that this school would have capacity for additional development in Cove.

Developer contributions would be agreed, if required, during the planning application process and is not an impediment to development.

Q11. Community Benefits

The proposals provide benefits to the local community in the form of housing, including affordable housing, the potential for commercial or health club uses, as well as significant areas of enhanced open space and an enhanced Core Path corridor, providing opportunities to link with Urban Green Space to the east.

Q14. Development Viability

Cove is a popular location for housing, given the recent housing developments. This is enhanced through the recent Aberdeen Gateway development, providing employment close to areas of housing. The landowner has invested in promoting the site through previous Local Development Plans and submitting planning applications for the site when the Council considered it had potential through its identification in historic Local Plans. Mactaggart and Mickel now has an option over this site which demonstrates their commitment to the site and its deliverability of the site in the next Local Development Plan.

Mactaggart and Mickel are confident that there is residual value following development of the site and the provision of necessary infrastructure. The infrastructure constraints have been considered and they are confident that they can be addressed and do not impose an impediment to development.

Existing Services

124028: Residential Development - Rigifa Farm, Cove Aberdeen

December 2017













Contents

Location Plan Openreach BT Line Search Before U Dig Scottish and Southern Energy Scottish Water

<u>Appendix</u>

SGN results from Line Search

Disclaimer

Fairhurst does not accept liability for the accuracy of record information provided by others and contained within this document.

A desktop utility records search older than 90 days must be classed as historical and used with caution.

Read this document alongside HSE HSG47 'Avoiding danger from underground services' and published guidance from utility providers. Attention is also drawn to the New Roads and Street Works Act 1991 (NRSWA).

Only leading utility providers have been approached. The utility providers referred to within this document do not necessarily represent an exhaustive list of utility providers.

Utility records alone are not sufficient to identify and locate services before starting work. Utility records provide basic information on which to base a thorough site survey before work begins. Safe excavation practices, in accordance with HSG47, must be used to verify and establish the actual position of apparatus, before mechanical plant is used.

Damage to underground services can cause fatal or severe injury as well as significant disruption and environmental damage; it can also delay the project and incur considerable costs.

<u>Appendix</u>

A FARM ABERDEEN	Rev. Date Description Drawn Checked Approved	<image/>
BB Queens Road, Tel: 01224 BB Queens Road, ABEODEN, AB15 4YQ Tel: 01224 321 222 Fox: 01224 Scale at A4: Status: NTS For Information Drawn: Checked: CR Checked: Date: Date: 11/12/17 Date: Drawing No:: D0001		

Do not scale from this drawing.

Maps by email Plant Information Reply



IMPORTANT WARNING

Information regarding the location of BT apparatus is given for your assistance and is intended for general guidance only. No guarantee is given of its accuracy. It should not be relied upon in the event of excavations or other works being made near to BT apparatus which may exist at various depths and may deviate from the marked route.



email cbyd@openreach.co.uk

ADVANCE NOTICE REQUIRED (Office hours: Monday - Friday 08.00 to 17.00) www.openreach.co.uk/cbyd

Reproduced from the Ordnance Survey map by BT by permission of Ordnance Survey on behalf of the Controller of Her Majesty's Stationary Office (C) Crown Copyright British Telecommunications plc 100028040

KEY TO BT SYMBOLS	Pole	0
DP	Planned Pole	0
Planned DP	Joint Box	
РСР	Change Of State	+
Planned PCP	Split Coupling	×
Built	Duct Tee	A
Planned	Planned Box	
Inferred	Manhole	
Building	Planned Manhole	
Kiosk	Cabinet	Û
Hatchings	Planned Cabinet	Û
	Other proposed plant is shown u BT Symbols not listed above ma Existing BT Plant may not b Information valid at time of	ybe disregarded. De recorded.

BT Ref : MKP02596B Map Reference : (centre) NJ9429500291 Easting/Northing : (centre) 394295,800291 Issued : 08/12/2017 14:59:18

WARNING: IF PLANNED WORKS FALL INSIDE HATCHED AREA IT IS ESSENTIAL BEFORE PROCEEDING THAT YOU CONTACT THE NATIONAL NOTICE HANDLING CENTRE. PLEASE SEND E-MAIL TO: nnhc@openreach.co.uk




Enquiry Confirmation LSBUD Ref: 11805102

Name	Mr Craig Riddell	Phone	01224 321222	
Company	Fairhurst	Mobile	Not Supplied	
		Fax	Not Supplied	
Address	88 Queens Road Aberdeen Aberdeen City AB15 4YQ			
Email	craig.riddell@fairhurst.co.uk			
Notes	Please ensure your contact details are correct and up to date on the system in case the LSBUD Members need to contact you.			

Enquiry Botano					
Scheme/Reference	124027				
Enquiry type	Initial Enquiry	Work category	Development Projects		
Start date	09/12/2017	Work type	Commercial/industrial		
End date	31/12/2017	Site size	111020 metres square		
Searched location	XY= 394295, 800291 Easting/Northing	Work type buffer*	25 metres		
Confirmed location	394394 800294	1	1		

* The WORK TYPE BUFFER is a distance added to your search area based on the Work type you have chosen.





Asset Owners

Terms and Conditions. Please note that this enquiry is subject always to our standard terms and conditions available at www.linesearchbeforeudig.co.uk ("Terms of Use") and the disclaimer at the end of this document. Please note that in the event of any conflict or ambiguity between the terms of this Enquiry Confirmation and the Terms of Use, the Terms of Use shall take precedence.

Validity and search criteria. The results of this enquiry are based on the confirmed information you entered and are valid only as at the date of the enquiry. It is your responsibility to ensure that the Enquiry Details are correct, and LinesearchbeforeUdig accepts no responsibility for any errors or omissions in the Enquiry Details or any consequences thereof. LSBUD Members update their asset information on a regular basis so you are advised to consider this when undertaking any works. It is your responsibility to choose the period of time after which you need to resubmit any enquiry but the maximum time (after which your enquiry will no longer be dealt with by the LSBUD Helpdesk and LSBUD Members) is 28 days. If any details of the enquiry change, particularly including, but not limited to, the location of the work, then a further enquiry must be made.

Asset Owners & Responses. Please note the enquiry results include the following:

- 1. "LSBUD Members" who are asset owners who have registered their assets on the LSBUD service.
- 2. "Non LSBUD Members" are asset owners who have not registered their assets on the LSBUD service but LSBUD is aware of their existence. Please note that there could be other asset owners within your search area.

Below are three lists of asset owners:

- 1. LSBUD Members who have assets registered within your search area. ("Affected")
 - a. These LSBUD Members will either:
 - i. Ask for further information ("Email Additional Info" noted in status). The additional information includes: Site contact name and number, Location plan, Detailed plan (minimum scale 1:2500), Cross sectional drawings (if available), Work Specification.
 - ii. Respond directly to you ("Await Response"). In this response they may either send plans directly to you or ask for further information before being able to do so, particularly if any payments or authorisations are required.
- 2. LSBUD Members who do not have assets registered within your search area. ("Not Affected")
- 3. Non LSBUD Members who may have assets within your search area. Please note that this list is not exhaustive and all details are provided as a guide only. It is your responsibility to identify and consult with all asset owners before proceeding.

National Grid. Please note that the LSBUD service only contains information on National Grid's Gas above 7 bar asset, all National Grid Electricity Transmission assets and National Grid's Gas Distribution Limited above 2 bar asset.

For National Grid Gas Distribution Ltd below 2 bar asset information please go to www.beforeyoudig.nationalgrid.com



LSBUD Members who have assets registered on the LSBUD service within the vicinity of your search area.

List of affected LSBUD members			
Asset Owner	Phone/Email	Emergency Only	Status
SGN	08009121722	0800111999	Await response

LSBUD Members who do not have assets registered on the LSBUD service within the vicinity of your search area. Please be aware that LSBUD Members make regular changes to their assets and this list may vary for new enquiries in the same area.

	List of not affected LSBUD members	S
AWE Pipeline	Gamma	Premier Transmission Ltd (SNIP)
BOC Limited (A Member of the Linde Group)	Gateshead Energy Company	Prysmian Cables & Systems Ltd (c/o Western Link)
BP Exploration Operating Company Limited	Gigaclear PLC	Redundant Pipelines - LPDA
BPA	Humbly Grove Energy	RWEnpower (Little Barford and South Haven)
Carrington Gas Pipeline	IGas Energy	SABIC UK Petrochemicals
CATS Pipeline c/o Wood Group PSN	INEOS FPS Pipelines	Scottish Power Generation
Cemex	INEOS Manufacturing (Scotland and TSEP)	Seabank Power Ltd
Centrica Storage Ltd	INOVYN Enterprises Limited	Shell (St Fergus to Mossmorran)
CLH Pipeline System Ltd	Intergen (Coryton Energy or Spalding Energy)	Shell Pipelines
Concept Solutions People Ltd	Mainline Pipelines Limited	SSE (Peterhead Power Station)
ConocoPhillips (UK) Ltd	Manchester Jetline Limited	Total (Colnbrook & Colwick Pipelines)
DIO (MOD Abandoned Pipelines)	Manx Cable Company	Total Finaline Pipelines
E.ON UK CHP Limited	Marchwood Power Ltd (Gas Pipeline)	Transmission Capital
EirGrid	Melbourn Solar Limited	UK Power Networks
	National Grid Gas (Above 7 bar), National Grid	
Electricity North West Limited	Gas Distribution Limited (Above 2 bar) and	Uniper UK Ltd
	National Grid Electricity Transmission	
ENI & Himor c/o Penspen Ltd	Northumbrian Water Group	Vattenfall
EnQuest NNS Limited	NPower CHP Pipelines	Veolia ES SELCHP Limited
EP Langage Limited	Oikos Storage Limited	Western Power Distribution
ESP Utilities Group	Ørsted	Westminster City Council
ESSAR	Perenco UK Limited (Purbeck Southampton Pipeline)	Wingas Storage UK Ltd
Esso Petroleum Company Limited	Petroineos	Zayo Group UK Ltd c/o JSM Group Ltd
Fulcrum Pipelines Limited	Phillips 66	



Enquiry Confirmation LSBUD Ref: 11805102

The following Non-LSBUD Members may have assets in your search area. It is YOUR RESPONSIBILITY to contact them before proceeding. Please be aware this list is not exhaustive and it is your responsibility to identify and contact all asset owners within your search area.

Non-LSBUD members (Asset owners not registered on LSBUD)				
Asset Owner	Preferred contact method	Phone	Status	
ВТ	https://www.swns.bt.com/pls/mbe/welcome.home	08009173993	Not Notified	
CityFibre	asset.team@cityfibre.com	033 3150 7282	Not Notified	
Colt	plantenquiries@catelecomuk.com	01227768427	Not Notified	
Energetics Electricity	plantenquiries@energetics-uk.com	01698404646	Not Notified	
ENGIE	nrswa@cofely-gdfsuez.com	01293 549944	Not Notified	
GTC	https://pe.gtc-uk.co.uk/PlantEnqMembership	01359240363	Not Notified	
GTT (formerly Hibernia Networks)	owen.maguire@gtt.net	01704 322 300	Not Notified	
Interoute	interoute.enquiries@plancast.co.uk	02070259000	Not Notified	
KPN (c/-Instalcom)	kpn.plantenquiries@instalcom.co.uk	n/a	Not Notified	
Level 3 Communications UK Ltd (C/-Instalcom)	plantenquiries@instalcom.co.uk	02087314613	Not Notified	
Mobile Broadband Network Limited	mbnl.plant.enquiries@turntown.com	01212 621 100	Not Notified	
Scottish and Southern Energy	asset.data@sse.com	01256337294	Not Notified	
Scottish Water	searches@scottishwater.co.uk	01382563666	Not Notified	
Sky UK Limited	nrswa@sky.uk	02070323234	Not Notified	
Utility assets Ltd	assetrecords@utilityassets.co.uk		Not Notified	
Verizon Business	osp-team@uk.verizonbusiness.com	01293611736	Not Notified	
Virgin Media	http://www.digdat.co.uk	08708883116	Not Notified	
Vodafone	osm.enquiries@atkinsglobal.com	01454662881	Not Notified	
Vtesse Networks	https://plant.interoute.com/plant-enquiries/	01992532100	Not Notified	

Disclaimer

Please refer to LinesearchbeforeUdig's Terms of Use for full terms of use available at www.linesearchbeforeudig.co.uk

The results of this Enquiry are personal to the Enquirer and shall not be shared with or relied upon by any other party. The asset information on which the Enquiry results are based has been provided by LSBUD Members, therefore LinesearchbeforeUdig will provide no guarantee that such information is accurate or reliable nor does it monitor such asset information for accuracy and reliability going forward. There may also be asset owners which do not participate in the enquiry service operated by LinesearchbeforeUdig, including but not exclusively those set out above. Therefore, LinesearchbeforeUdig cannot make any representation or give any guarantee or warranty as to the completeness of the information contained in the enquiry results or accept any responsibility for the accuracy of the mapping images used. LinesearchbeforeUdig and its employees, agents and consultants accept no liability (save that nothing in this Enquiry Confirmation excludes or limits our liability for death or personal injury arising from our negligence, or our fraud or fraudulent misrepresentation, or any other liability that cannot be excluded or limited by English law) arising in respect thereof or in any other way for errors or omissions including responsibility to any person by reason of negligence.

Watch it!

Safety advice brought to you by Scottish and Southern Electricity Networks

These notes are intended to help all those who have to work in the vicinity of electrical apparatus. Employers have a legal obligation to ensure that their operatives are fully instructed in the correct procedures.

The Electricity at Work Regulations 1989 impose health and safety requirements upon employers, employees and self-employed persons with respect to electricity at work. The regulations impose restrictions on persons being engaged in work activities on or near live conductors.

Regulation 14 requires that: "No person shall be engaged in any work activity on or near any live conductor (other than one suitably covered with insulating material so as to prevent danger) that danger may arise unless:

- it is **unreasonable** in all circumstances for it to be dead; and
- it is reasonable in all circumstances for him to be at work on or near it while it is live; and
- ◆ suitable precautions (including where necessary the provision of suitable protective equipment) are taken to prevent injury."

The purpose of the regulations is to require precautions to be taken against the risk of death or personal injury from electricity in work activities.

Publications

The Health and Safety Executive have produced a document entitled 'Avoiding Danger from Underground Services', and the Appendix 1 deals specifically with electric cables. Copies are available from the HSE's Accredited Agents and good booksellers, Ref. HS (G) 47.

Copies of Health and Safety Guidance note GS 6 relating to safe working in proximity to overhead lines, are available from the Health and Safety Executive's website - www.hse.gov.uk.

<u>Note</u>

In situations of emergency or danger, or where the advice contained in these notes cannot be followed, you must consult Scottish and Southern Electricity Networks immediately. Tel. 08457 708090 for southern England or 0800 300999 for Scotland.

Additional copies of these "Watch it!" leaflets can be obtained from our Asset Data Team office upon request. Tel. 01256 337294, or Fax 01256 337295.

You must read and accept the following safety notes as part of the contract to receive our network plans. You will have the option to print these and issue them to site staff.

Watch it! - Working in the vicinity of underground cables

Our plans show the positions and normal depths for the buried cables and pipes at the time when they were installed. However, alterations to road alignments surface levels and buildings may have occurred subsequently without our knowledge. If you discover plant or cables that are not marked or incorrectly marked, then you are required to contact us as soon as possible to give us the opportunity to amend our plans.

These plans show the equipment owned by Scottish and Southern Electricity Networks. There may be other privately owned plant in the area, which is outside of our control. You should always check with the Local Authority, National Grid Company, Department of the Environment, other Electricity Companies and other utilities before proceeding.

It is not intended that the issue of these plans will absolve either party from their obligation under any of the acts that control digging in the public highways.

Supplies To Properties, etc.

The location of cables supplying individual properties, street lighting, traffic signs, telephone kiosks etc. are not always shown on the plans. You should assume that each property, streetlight etc. will have its own supply cable.

Major Circuits

Where our plans indicate the presence of cables with a voltage exceeding 11,000 volts, you are advised to contact our local depot (telephone number is on the plans), before commencing any excavations within the vicinity of these cables. These major circuits form an extremely important link in Scottish and Southern Electricity Networks' networks, and damaging or modifying these circuits is a major and costly undertaking. Any development should therefore be designed to allow these circuits to remain undisturbed and accessible in their present location.

For your own and your workmates' safety, please follow the **do's** and **don'ts** listed below:

- ✓ do make sure you have plans of the underground cables in the area before any excavation work starts. Remember that some cables may not be shown on plans. If carrying out emergency work, excavate as though there are buried live cables in the vicinity.
- ✓ do use a cable locator to determine the position of existing cables in the work area. The positions should be marked and tests made as work proceeds. If in doubt, get advice from your supervisor.
- ✓ do ask for a cable to be made dead if it is buried in concrete.
- ✓ do watch for signs of cables as work progresses. Note any marker-tape or cable-cover, which may be exposed

- ✓ do backfill carefully, using stone-free soil around the cables, replacing marker-tapes and / or covers.
- do notify us immediately if you accidentally damage our cables. Arrange to keep people well clear of a cable that has been damaged until we have confirmed it has been made safe.
- ✓ do make sure before starting to demolish a building that all cables have been disconnected. We welcome prior notice of the intention to demolish buildings. This enables us to ensure that the site has been made safe electrically.
- ✓ don't operate a bulldozer, scraper, dragline or excavator; unless you are satisfied that there are no buried cables in the working area.
- ✓ don't use picks, pins, forks or pointed instruments in soft clay or soil when cables are present.
 Exercise extreme caution where such instruments are used to free lumps of stone, or break up firmly compacted ground.
 Never throw a fork or sharp instrument into the ground.
- ✓ don't dig trial holes over the indicated route of the cable. Excavate alongside instead.
- ✓ **don't** use exposed cables as a convenient step or handhold.
- ✓ **don't** handle or attempt to alter the position of any cable.

Remember that a damaged cable may cause extensive loss of supplies, make expensive repairs necessary and cause serious or even fatal injury.

If effective measures are not adopted to protect our equipment, we will take steps to recover the cost of any damage caused. Persons causing damage resulting in loss of supply to customers can be held legally responsible for any claims made by those customers. Promptness in reporting an incident will minimise costs.

In most cases it is not practicable to make cables dead without interrupting supplies to our customers. But given adequate notice, we will wherever possible, give advice regarding special precautions which may be necessary on any site where particular problems are likely to be encountered. The right is reserved to make a charge for this service.

Electricity cables can exist anywhere - under paths or roads, in gardens or driveways, on new housing or industrial development sites or even farmland.

Watch it! - Working in the vicinity of overhead lines

For your own and your workmates' safety, please follow the **do's** and **don'ts** listed below

- ✓ **do** carefully note the position of all overhead lines before commencing work.
- ✓ **do** co-operate with us during planning and sitework stages.
- ✓ do follow the advice given in HSE Guidance Note GS 6 when siting barriers, goal posts, bunting etc.
- ✓ do keep overhead lines in view when moving scaffolding or machinery and take special care when felling or lopping trees.
- ✓ do remember that the raising or slewing of a crane or excavator jib may cause danger when operating near an overhead line.

- do avoid any machinery that is in contact with an overhead line until we confirm that conditions are safe.
- ✓ do warn others to keep well clear.
- ✓ don't drive a high vehicle below an overhead line when an alternative route is available.
- don't raise the bed of a tipper lorry beneath an overhead line or drive under the line with the body of the vehicle raised.
- ✓ don't steady any suspended load until you are satisfied that there is no danger from overhead lines.
- don't handle or use scaffold platforms, poles, pipes or ladders unless they are at a safe distance from overhead lines.
- ✓ don't transport long objects beneath overhead lines, unless they are carried in a horizontal position.
- ✓ don't approach or touch any broken or fallen overhead lines.


Always remember that:

- Electricity can jump gaps.
- Contact or near contact with a crane jib, scaffold or ladder can cause a discharge of electricity with a risk of fatal or severe shock and burns to any person in the vicinity.


If effective measures are not adopted to protect our equipment, we will take steps to recover the cost of any damage caused. Persons causing damage resulting in loss of supply to customers can be held legally responsible for any claims made by those customers. Promptness in reporting an incident will minimise costs.

In most cases it is not practicable to make overhead lines dead without interrupting supplies to customers. However, provided adequate notice is given, then we will, whenever possible, give advice regarding special precautions which may be necessary on site where specific problems may be encountered. The right is reserved to make a charge for this service.

Scottish and Southern Electricity Networks is a trading name of: Scottish and Southern Energy Power Distribution Limited Registered in Scotland No. SC213459; Scottish Hydro Electric Transmission plc Registered in Scotland No. SC213461; Scottish Hydro Electric Power Distribution plc Registered in Scotland No. SC213460 (all having their Registered Offices at Inveralmond House 200 Dunkeld Road Perth PH1 3AQ); and Southern Electric Power Distribution plc Registered in England & Wales No. 04094290 having its Registered Office at No.1 Forbury Place 43 Forbury Road Reading RG1 3JH which are members of the SSE Group www.ssen.co.uk




		316
WAR	NING	
	PLOT AREA	
	NES IN PLOT AREA	
IV CADLEJ & LI		
	C The Graves	
	Shore	
	Mutton I	Rock
Harbo The I		WER
a Yawn		
Ness		
	No.	
Scottish & So Electricity Ne	outhern etworks	
	Grid Ref: nj94290029	
	Scale: 1:10000	
	Date: 08/12/2017	
	All Voltages	





		I STAILE
WAR	NING	
	PLOT AREA	
	INES IN PLOT AREA	
V CADLLJ & L		
	n SA	
SS	Crawpeel Shore	
Ē	Add	
0		
	· Mutte	on Rock
Rou		
A TO CON		
Harbo	ur	
Res ine i	Priest	
a Yawa		
a Yawn		
Ness		
ndic	jo lines	
V pipe		
	Grid Ref: nj942900 Scale: 1:10000	29
	Date: $08/12/2$	017
	GAS GAS	

SMALLWORLD GIS – WASTEWATER LEGEND











SMALL WORLD GIS WATER LEGEND







The representation of physical assets and the boundaries of areas in which		
Scottish Water and others have an		
interest does not necessarily imply		
their true positions. For further details		
contact the appropriate District Office.		
Date Plotted: 08/12/2017		

OP/ACGDA610	
Water Plan	
Scale:	1:2500

236 metres

(c) Crown copyright and database rights 2017 Ordnance Survey 100023460. You are permitted to use this data solely to enable you to respond to, or interact with, the organisation that provided you with the data. You are not permitted to copy, <u>sub-licence, distribute or sell any of this</u> data to the parties in chy form





SGN results from Line Search

Our Ref: 11805102 Your Ref: 124027



Friday, 08 December 2017

Craig Riddell 88 Queens Road Aberdeen Aberdeen City AB15 4YQ

Dear Craig Riddell

Smell gas?

Thank you for your enquiry dated Friday, 08 December 2017

Please find an extract from our mains records for your proposed work area, any SGN assets are described in the map legend. On some occasions blank maps may be sent to you, this is due to your proposed work being in a no gas area but within our operational boundaries.

This mains record only shows the pipes owned by SGN in our role as a Licensed Gas Transporter (GT). Please note that privately owned gas pipes or pipes owned by other GTs may be present in this area and information regarding those pipes needs to be requested from the owners. If we know of any other pipes in the area we will note them on the plans as a shaded area and/or a series of x's.

The information shown on this plan is given without obligation or warranty and the accuracy cannot be guaranteed. Service pipes, valves, siphons, stub connections etc. are not shown but their presence should be anticipated. Your attention is drawn to the information and disclaimer on these plans. The information included on the plan is only valid for 28 days.

On the mains record you may see the low/medium/intermediate pressure gas main near your site. There should be no mechanical excavations taking place above or within 0.5m of a low/medium pressure system or above or within 3.0m of an intermediate pressure system. You should, where required confirm the position using hand dug trial holes.

A colour copy of these plans and the gas safety advice booklet enclosed should be passed to the senior person on site in order to prevent damage to our plant and potential direct or consequential costs to your organisation.

Safe digging practices in accordance with HSE publication HSG47 "Avoiding Danger from Underground Services" must be used to verify and establish the actual position of the mains, pipes, services and other apparatus on site before any mechanical plant is used. It is your responsibility to ensure that this information is provided to all relevant people (direct labour or contractors) working for you on or near gas pipes.


It must be stressed that both direct and consequential damage to gas plant can be dangerous for your employees and the general public and repairs to any such damage will incur a charge to you or the organisation carrying out work on your behalf. Your works should be carried out in such a manner that we are able to gain access to our apparatus throughout the duration of your operations.


If you require any further information please do not hesitate to contact us.

Yours sincerely, The Safety Admin Team For more information, visit our Dig Safely pages on sgn.co.uk Tel: 0800 912 1722

Call 0800 111 999 SGN is a brand name of Scotia Gas Networks Limited Registered in England & Wales No. 04958135 Registered Office: St Lawrence House | Station Approach | Horley | Surrey RH6 9HJ

1|1






Plans generated by DigSAFE Pro (tm) software provided by LinesearchbeforeUdig

	63
Provide a second s	
T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T	138mhr Roze
	Approach Pipe
	Approach Pipe Drawing
	GT Ref: PJMU465 / PJGY883
	Warning: PDF designed for colour print only with no page scaling.
SGN	Low Pressure Mains History Data Warning: PDF is designed for colour print only, with no page scaling. This information is given as a guide only Medium Pressure Mains LAs page scaling. This information is given as a guide only
Vour gas. Our network.	Intermediate Pressure Mains GTs SSSIs and its accuracy cannot be guaranteed. High Pressure Mains Linesearch
SGN Safety Admin Team: 0800 912 1722	Some Examples Of Plant Items Valve 🖂 Syphon O Depth of Cover V Diameter + Material Change I before (Adig
Email: plantlocation@sgn.co.uk	This plan shows the location of those pipes owned by Scotia Gas Networks (SGN) by virtue of being a licensed Gas Transporter (GT). Gas pipes owned by other GTs or third parties may also be present in this area but are not shown on this plan. Information with regard to the scheme to be present in the same start of the scheme of the information provide the scheme of the scheme to be present in the same start of the scheme of the schem
Date Requested: 08/12/2017 Job Reference: 11805102	such pipes should be obtained from the relevant owners. No warranties are given with regard to the accuracy of the information shown on this plan. Service pipes, valves, siphons, sub-connections etc. are not shown but their presence should be anticipated. You should be aware that a small percentage of our pipes/assets may be undergoing review and will temporarily be highlighted in yellow. If your
Site Location: 394350 800281 Requested by: Mr Craig Riddell	proposed works are close to one of these pipes, you should contact the SGN Safety Admin Team on 0800 912 1722 for advice. No liability of any kind whatsoever is accepted by SGN or its agents, servants or sub-contractors for any error or omission contained herein. Safe digging practices, in accordance with HS (G)47, must be used to verify and establish the actual position of mains, pipes, services and
Your Scheme/Reference: 124027	other apparatus on site before any mechanical plant is used. It is your responsibility to ensure that plant location information is provided to all persons (whether direct labour or sub-contractors) working for you on or near gas apparatus. Information included on this plan should not be referred to beyond a period of 28 days from the date of issue.
Exact Scales: 1:1000 Area or Circle dig site	Report damage immediately – KEEP EVERYONE AWAY FROM THE AREA 0800 111 999 This plan is reproduced from or based on the OS map by Scotia Gas Networks plc, with the sanction of the controller of HM Stationery Office. Crown Copyright Reserved. Southern Gas –
1:1000 Line dig site	Ihis plan is reproduced from or based on the OS map by Scotia Gas Networks pic, with the sanction of the controller of HM Stationery Office. Crown Copyright Reserved. Southern Gas – 100044373 and Scotland Gas – 100044366.

Plans generated by DigSAFE Pro (tm) software provided by LinesearchbeforeUdig



Report damage immediately – KEEP EVERYONE AWAY FROM THE AREA

0800 111 999


This plan is reproduced from or based on the OS map by Scotia Gas Networks plc, with the sanction of the controller of HM Stationery Office. Crown Copyright Reserved. Southern Gas – 100044373 and Scotland Gas – 100044366.


Plans generated by DigSAFE Pro (tm) software provided by LinesearchbeforeUdig


Exact Scales:

1:1000 Line dig site

1:1000 Area or Circle dig site







to all persons (whether direct labour or sub-contractors) working for you on or near gas apparatus. Information included on this plan should not be referred to beyond a period of 28 days from the date of issue.

Exact Scales:

1:1000 Line dig site


1:1000 Area or Circle dig site

Report damage immediately – KEEP EVERYONE AWAY FROM THE AREA

0800 111 999

This plan is reproduced from or based on the OS map by Scotia Gas Networks plc, with the sanction of the controller of HM Stationery Office. Crown Copyright Reserved. Southern Gas – 100044373 and Scotland Gas – 100044366.

Plans generated by DigSAFE Pro (tm) software provided by LinesearchbeforeUdig



Plans generated by DigSAFE Pro (tm) software provided by LinesearchbeforeUdig

Shis	
K S N S	
	Warning: PDF designed for colour print only with no page scaling.
	Low Pressure Mains History Data Warning: PDF is designed for colour print only, with no
SGN	Medium Pressure Mains
Contact Us	Intermediate Pressure Mains Gis SSSIs
Contact Us	Some Examples Of Plant Items
SGN Safety Admin Team: 0800 912 1722	Valve 🖂 Syphon O Depth of Cover Change T Change Change Detrore Material
Email:	This plan shows the location of those pipes owned by Scotia Gas Networks (SGN) by virtue of being a licensed Gas Transporter (GT). Gas
plantlocation@sgn.co.uk	pipes owned by other GTs or third parties may also be present in this area but are not shown on this plan. Information with regard to such pipes should be obtained from the relevant owners. No warranties are given with regard to the accuracy of the information shown
Date Requested: 08/12/2017	on this plan. Service pipes, valves, siphons, sub-connections etc. are not shown but their presence should be anticipated. You should be
Job Reference: 11805102 Site Location: 394350 800281	aware that a small percentage of our pipes/assets may be undergoing review and will temporarily be highlighted in yellow. If your proposed works are close to one of these pipes, you should contact the SGN Safety Admin Team on 0800 912 1722 for advice. No liability
Requested by:	of any kind whatsoever is accepted by SGN or its agents, servants or sub-contractors for any error or omission contained herein. Safe
Mr Craig Riddell Your Scheme/Reference:	digging practices, in accordance with HS (G)47, must be used to verify and establish the actual position of mains, pipes, services and other apparatus on site before any mechanical plant is used. It is your responsibility to ensure that plant location information is provided
124027	to all persons (whether direct labour or sub-contractors) working for you on or near gas apparatus. Information included on this plan should not be referred to beyond a period of 28 days from the date of issue.
	Report damage immediately – KEEP EVERYONE AWAY FROM THE AREA
Exact Scales: 1:1000 Area or Circle dig site	0800 111 999
1:1000 Line dig site	This plan is reproduced from or based on the OS map by Scotia Gas Networks plc, with the sanction of the controller of HM Stationery Office. Crown Copyright Reserved. Southern Gas – 100044373 and Scotland Gas – 100044366.
	Plans generated by DigSAFE Pro (tm) software provided by LinesearchbeforeUdig



Dig safely

CA

Measures to avoid injury and damage to gas pipes The following protective and precautionary measures MUST be taken when working in the vicinity of gas mains and services.

It is the responsibility of the property owner or company carrying out the work to make sure they've complied with the relevant legislation and Health and Safety Executive (HSE) guidance, eg HS(G)47. In practice, this means that whoever is carrying out the work MUST obtain gas mains location information and/or maps showing the indicative position of the gas network before any work takes place.

To avoid injury to yourself, your employees, colleagues and the general public you MUST suitably mark the position of the pipes on site.

HS(G)47 outlines best practice that should be followed to ensure you work safely:

- 1. Plan the work, obtain maps.
- 2. Detecting, identifying and marking underground services.
- 3. Safe excavation and safe digging practices.

In addition to the requirements under the Health and Safety At Work etc. Act 1974 to prevent injuries to employees and others (not employed), it is an offence under regulation 15 of the Pipelines Safety Regulations 1996 to cause damage to a pipeline (which includes gas mains and services as well as higher pressure pipelines) so as to give rise to a danger to persons.

You MUST make sure that current full colour copies of our maps are issued to all relevant personnel on site and they're aware of the presence and location of our gas mains and services prior to any excavation.

In a gas emergency

If you cause a gas leak or suspect a main or service pipe or equipment is leaking, you MUST take the following emergency actions immediately:

- Ask people to move away from the area of the gas escape.
- Call 0800 111 999 immediately.

1. Don't attempt to repair the escape or stop the leakage.

 As gas may enter buildings, ask people in the surrounding premises to leave until it's safe for them to return.

 Stop anyone going near the immediate vicinity of the gas escape.


 Prohibit smoking and extinguish all naked flames.

 Don't use mobile phones or other ignition sources.

6. Assist our representatives and other emergency services such as the police, ambulance, and fire service as requested.

Additional reference material

- SGN guidance for Safe Working in the Vicinity of Pipelines & Associated Installations operating >7barg. Applicable for HP only.
- HS(G)47 Avoiding Danger from Underground Services available from hse.gov.uk
- NJUG Utilities Guidance on Positioning and Colour Coding of Apparatus available from njug.org.uk





Making an enquiry for gas mains or services maps

Please visit our **Dig safely** pages on **sgn.co.uk** for plant protection information and links to our online mapping system and other associated information and guidance.


Our simple and easy to use online mapping system is available 24/7, 365 days a year.

You'll need to register/log in and provide a few details about your site location and the work you'll be carrying out. We'll respond immediately by email.

What you're likely to be sent

You'll be sent an email with a map. This will be an extract from our gas mains record, showing your site and any of our gas pipes as well as relevant safety information.

We always send out safety information, however we may forward your enquiry on to a local plant protection officer or a pipelines engineer to make direct contact with you depending on the work location.



Example of a gas map

Note: Service pipes are not shown on our maps

When working near our gas mains and services

Safe system of work

To satisfy ourselves that work in the vicinity of our gas mains is being carried out safely, we may ask for a copy of your risk assessment and/or method statement paperwork.

Where work falls under the Construction (Design and Management) Regulations 2015 reference to our gas mains and services MUST be made within your site Health and Safety file.

Financial

Every reasonable precaution MUST be taken to avoid personal injury or damage to our gas network at all times.

If we incur any costs to repair direct or consequential damage or divert any gas main or service, you'll be recharged in full.

HSE

Any damage to our gas mains or services will be subject to legislative reporting responsibilities to the Health and Safety Executive under Reporting of Injuries, Diseases & Dangerous Occurrences Regulations 2013, Gas Safety Management Regulations 1996, and the Pipelines Safety Regulations 1996.

Minimum safe working distances

Depending on the activity being undertaken and the gas mains or services you are working within the vicinity of, there are different safe distances that MUST be adhered to. SGN plant protection officers or pipeline engineers will inform you of these if required.

Surface boxes and manholes

Do not bury or move our surface boxes. Free access MUST be maintained during and after your work. No manhole cover or other structure can be built over, around or under a gas main, and no work is to be carried out that results in a reduction or increase in cover or protection without prior written agreement.

Deep excavations

Adequate protection, approved by us, MUST be applied for any deep excavations in the vicinity of our gas mains and services that may affect its security and integrity. Ground movement around gas mains MUST be prevented. We MUST be contacted if a sewer trench or any other water authority is to be constructed at greater than 1.5 metres depth near a buried gas main or service pipe. You MUST give us detailed drawings showing the line and width of the proposed sewer or other trench, together with the soil group classification of the area concerned.



Crossing our mains or services

The placing of heavy construction plant, equipment, materials or the passage of heavy vehicles over our gas mains is prohibited unless specifically agreed protective measures (ie the construction of reinforced crossing points) have been carried out. This is particularly important where reductions in side support or ground cover are planned. You MUST NOT carry out any work in servitudes/easements without our prior written consent.

Exposed plant

Where excavations in the vicinity of our gas mains affect its support, the plant MUST be adequately supported and protected in consultation with us and to our satisfaction. It MUST be protected from impact, restraints and thrust blocks, and supports MUST NOT be removed without our agreement.

Hot work

One of our representatives should be present when welding or other hot work involving naked flames is being carried out near our gas mains, as there's potential for heat damage to plastic pipeline/coatings.

Backfilling

Concrete backfill should not be placed closer than 300mm to our mains. No concrete or hard material should be placed under or adjacent to any of our gas mains. Shuttering MUST be constructed to maintain the stated clearances and prevent fresh concrete encasing our mains or services. Material used for backfill around our gas mains MUST conform to the following:

- If sand, it MUST be well-graded in accordance with BS EN 12620:2002.
- It MUST NOT contain any sharp particles (stones, bricks, lumps or corrosive materials).
- Foamed concrete MUST NOT be used.
- It MUST be laid to a minimum depth of 250mm above the crown of the gas main.

Note: Power ramming MUST NOT take place until a 300mm hand rammed layer has been completed over the crown of the main.

Access

Free access to our sites, mains and services, including temporary structures and spoil heaps MUST be available at all times.





Mechanical excavation

Mechanical excavators (including breaker attachments) MUST NOT be used within the following distances from the confirmed location of our gas mains and services shown on our gas maps without prior agreement:

Type of mains and services	Gas map identification	Hand excavation required inside	Pipe pressure indication shown on map
Low Pressure (LP)	0 - 75mbar	0.5 metres	
Medium Pressure (MP)	75mbar to 2 bar	0.5 metres	
Intermediate Pressure (IP)	2 - 7 bar	3.0 metres	
High Pressure (HP)	Above 7 bar	You must seek approval from us prior to any work	

Major accident hazard pipelines

High pressure pipeline

No work is to take place near an HP pipeline until it is agreed with us. After agreement and before any work does take place, the location of our pipeline MUST be marked up and its position confirmed by digging trial holes with our personnel in attendance.





Pipeline markers

High pressure

We will be involved in any work taking place near high pressure pipelines. We will provide you with additional information that you MUST familiarise yourself with before carrying out any work.

The default method of excavating near high pressure gas pipelines MUST always be by hand.

Wind turbines

The UK Onshore Pipelines Operations Association (UKOPA) has identified the appropriate exclusion zone (distance from the base of the wind turbine mast to the edge of the pipeline) as 1.5 times the turbine height. Contact MUST be made with us during the planning stages of a wind turbine or wind farm.



Tree planting

If trees or shrubs are to be planted in the vicinity of our gas mains and services, the selection of tree or shrub type and how it's planted MUST be considered carefully. This is to avoid root damage to buried mains or services, and to ensure our subsequent excavations for main repair and maintenance won't damage the trees or shrubs.

Written approval from us MUST be obtained before any tree planting is carried out on a servitude/easement. Any approval we grant to plant trees

The following trees and those of similar size (deciduous or evergreen) MUST NOT be planted within 6m of the centre line of the main: ash, beech, birch, most conifers, elm, maple, lime, horse chestnut, oak, and sycamore. Apple and pear trees are also included in this category.

Dwarf apple stocks may be planted up to 3m of the centre line of the main.



In cases where screening is required, the following are shallow rooting and may be planted close to the gas mains and services: blackthorn, broom, cotoneaster, elder, hazel, laurel, quickthorn, privet, snowberry and most ornamental shrubs.

Gas main centre

Raspberries, gooseberries and blackcurrants may be planted on the gas main, but a four metre strip, centred on the main, MUST be left clear at all times.

on a servitude/easement will be subject to us retaining the right to remove any tree, which in our opinion may become a danger to our mains in the future.

The written consent to plant trees will state what area may be planted and also the type of tree. The diagram details the specific species and the distances they MUST be planted from gas mains or services. You MUST contact us for further information.

Poplar and willow trees MUST NOT be planted within 10 metres of the centre line of the gas main.

⁻10m

6m

- 9m

3m - 6m

0m - 3m



Christmas trees (picea abies) may be planted up to 3m of the main but on the strict understanding that they're clear-felled at intervals not exceeding seven years.

These types of trees may only be planted as

area between 6 - 10m of the main.

individual specimens or as a single row in the

Dense mass planting may only be carried out at distances greater than 10m from the main.

Gas main centre

The only hardwood plants are allowed to be planted directly across a main are hedge plants such as quickthorn or blackthorn, and these can only be planted where hedging is necessary for either screening purposes or to indicate a field boundary.

Note: For further guidance, please refer to NJUG 10.

If you're unsure and need further help, please contact us and we'll arrange for a plant protection officer to get in touch with you.


Gas services/work in gardens

If you're going to be carrying out work around your home, or a third party is carrying out work on your behalf, we may send you a site map of our gas mains and services but your own gas service won't be marked.

The simplest way to understand the location of your gas service is to know where it enters your house.







< Your gas service pipe usually takes the shortest route to the gas main, as shown on the sample network map/drawing.



We provide a free plant location enquiry service and we're always happy to help.



Visit our **Dig safely** pages on **sgn.co.uk**



0800 912 1722 *

*All calls are recorded and may be monitored

Safety Advice - Valves




Guidance when undertaking work near gas valves in our network areas

SGN manages the network that distributes gas to 5.8 million homes and businesses across Scotland and the south of England.

Due to a manufacturing issue, we are currently replacing or upgrading certain valve types that are at risk of bolt failure. In extreme cases, this can lead to gas escapes. This is a safety hazard and we have produced this guide to ensure you undertake adequate safety precautions when working near gas valves.

Identifying gas valves

The images below are an illustration of typical gas valves. Please note, valves come in various colours, shapes and sizes, and you may come across a valve that looks different to those found in the images.



What should you do?

When planning to work in our network areas, please observe the following points:

- 1. You must contact us before starting any work activity within <u>3.0m</u> of a gas valve identified on our maps.
- **2.** If an unexpected gas valve is exposed you must immediately stop excavation works and report this to us.
- **3.** To protect yourself against the risks associated with exposing a valve, we advise that you contact us when in doubt.

Contact details

If you require further information or need assistance please contact us:

Safety Admin Team: 0800 912 1722 plantlocation@sgn.co.uk

Valve enquiries will be forwarded to a local engineer who will provide further safety information.

www.fairhurst.co.uk

Aberdeen Bristol Dundee Edinburgh Elgin Glasgow Inverness

Leeds London Manchester Newcastle upon Tyne Sheffield Watford Wellesbourne



CIVIL ENGINEERING • STRUCTURAL ENGINEERING • TRANSPORTATION • ROADS & BRIDGES PORTS & HARBOURS • GEOTECHNICAL & ENVIRONMENTAL ENGINEERING • PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT • WATER SERVICES • CDM COORDINATOR SERVICES





DEVELOPMENT BID FOR SITE AT RIGIFA FARM, COVE LANDSCAPE APPRAISAL on behalf of Mactaggart & Mickel

ABERDEEN CITY LOCAL DEVELOPMENT PLAN 2018

DEPlandscape initiatives urban design landscape architecture environmental planning



CONTENTS

- 1.0 Introduction
- 2.0 Site location plan
- 3.0 Aerial view of the site
- 4.0 Landscape appraisal
- 5.0 Development site plan
- 6.0 Topography
- 7.0 Landscape masterplan

1.0 INTRODUCTION

- 1.1 In December 2017 Mactaggart & Mickel instructed DEP landscape initiatives to prepare a landscape appraisal of the site at Rigifa Farm, Cove. The site is located on the southern edge of Aberdeen City approximately 500m inland from the coast and comprises of three separate fields, running adjacent to Cove Road. The roadside boundary of stone dyke and/or post and wire fence is open to view and offers some excellent views of the sea beyond.
- 1.2 In order to inform the landscape planning, we commissioned a ground level tree and woodland survey and a Phase 1 ecological survey. The latter was conducted in January 2018 and the tree and woodland survey were carried out in February 2018.



Area 3 open to view and to the elements on two sides



Coastal path links east of Area1




existing cul-de-sac links to the site



Area 1 bounded by existing houses



good connectivity within Cove



2.0 site location plan









KEY APPRAISAL POINTS

- site surrounded on 3 sides by residential and commercial development
- gently sloping ground presenting no difficulty for housing development
- bundaries of stone dykes and fences containing shelterbelts and visual screen planting that with some degree of enhancement could visually absorb new development
- site is a destination and hub for a well developed footpath network through Cove, including corepath 78, the coastal path northwards from Cove the surrounding residential
- properties are both visually connected and at the same time exposed to the site

3.0 aerial view of the site

4.0 Landscape Appraisal

4.1 The Loirston/Cove area consists of a narrow strip of farmland which generally slopes to the tops of adjacent coastal cliffs. Extensive views eastwards can be gained, although these may be obscured in parts by the Edinburgh railway embankment. Views inland are generally restricted due to rising land and industrial development prevalent in the Altens area.

4.2 Settlement

Whilst in the past, development of this south eastern area of Aberdeen has focussed on the industrial and commercial development of Altens, Cove has steadily developed into a settled and desirable residential suburb. Rigifa Farm site stands out as land on the edge of the settlement with great opportunities for infilling isolated agricutural land with much needed residential development.

Adjacent land use of quarrying has blighted this site but with current legislation and technology, there is now every opportunity for development to come forward and piece together the Charlestown built form.

Cove Road demarks the western site boundary. Rigifa Quarry and associated office complex is positioned within the centre of the site. A minor quarry access and coastal road runs along part of the southern perimeter, with housing developments at the northern edge. Rough ground and arable fields sit to the east and beyond is the Edinburgh railway line and the coastal cliffs.

4.3 Topography

The site which is formed by three separate but adjoining fileds, measures 9.5hectares. The highest point of the site is approximately 95m AOD in the westernmost field adjacent to Rigifa Farm and the lowest part is approximately 78m AOD at a point midway along the most northerly field. Slopes are very gentle with a maximum of 1 in 12 or 8% experienced in the largets field.

4.4 Ecology

The site comprises arable and and improved grass fields as well as wooded corridors, Gorse scrub, minor ditches and open water. As two of the three fields are currently farmed and the third is rough grass, the mosaic of habitats is very much more limited. Whilst the communal woodland belt that surrounds the corepath has some degree of variety of species and age, the main woodland belts are dominated by Sitka Spruce which offer minmal wildlife potential.

There were no signs of badger, otter or other protected species but bat foraging suitability was noted along woodland edges.

No further ecological surveys are considered necessary.

The Phase 1 ecological survey is appended to this document.

4.5 Tree survey

A tree survey was carried out by Angus Mackay Consultants in February 2018.

Within the site there were 72no trees surveyed that exceeded 17cms girth at 1.5m height.

Species present and percentages are:-

Picea sitchensis	Sitka Spruce	128
Prunus avium	Wild Cherry	1
Alnus glutinosa	Common Alder	2
Salix caprea	Goat Willow	7
Acer platanoides	Norway Maple	1
Fagus sylvatica	Beech	1

Of the 140 individual trees surveyed, despite tree work being advised on many of the Sitka Spruce, only 10 trees were recommended for removal on the grounds of decay, condition or public safety.



5.0 development site plan



^{6.0} topography







DEPlandscape initiatives

The Studio, 17 Bidders Gait, Regency Gardens, Lanark ML11 9FG tel 01555 660555

Email: smb@depli.co.uk

RIGIFA FARM ABERDEEN TREE SURVEY REPORT

For on behalf of

DEP LANDSCAPE INITIATIVES

17 FEBRUARY 2018

Angus Mackay Landscape Consultants 28 Ballater Drive Bearsden Glasgow G61 1BX

Contents

1.0 Introduction.	P 2
2.0 Existing Tree Resource	P 2
3.0 Tree Survey	P 2
3.1 Objectives	P2
3.2 Limitations	P2
3.3 Tree Survey Methodology	P2/3/4
4.0 Arboricultural Recommendations	P4/5
4.1 Category Grading	P4
4.2 Trees and Construction	P 5
4.3 Tree Surgery & Precautions	P5
4.4 Replacement Trees	P 5
5.0 Tree Survey Schedule	P6(16)
6.0 Root Protection Area fencing to BS 5837:2012	P 7

Tree Survey Report - Rigifa Farm, Aberdeen

1. Introduction.

The purpose of this Tree Survey is to report on the trees, and their condition and retention potential at – Rigifa Farm, Aberdeen

2. Existing Tree Resource

140 No trees were individually surveyed along with a woodland survey on remainder of

- 3. Tree Survey.
- 3.1 The objects of the survey are:-
 - To undertake a detailed assessment with regard to the nature, extent and condition of the trees.
 - To provide a comprehensive inventory for the surveyed trees, in line with the British Standard 5837: 2012 -Trees in relation to Design, Demolition and Construction Recommendations.
 - To provide recommendations for works required in the interests of safety and sound arboricultural management.

3.2 Limitations

- The findings and recommendations relating to the tree contained within this report are valid for a period of twelve months from the date of survey I.e. until 31 January 2019.
- As trees are living organisms and subject to change, it is strongly recommended that they are inspected on a regular basis for reasons of safety.
- The report relates only to the trees surveyed.
- The trees have been visually inspected from ground level, and whilst every effort has been made to detect defects, no absolute guarantee can be given as to the structural stability or otherwise of any individual tree. Extreme weather conditions can cause damage to even apparently healthy trees.
- A detailed assessment of the internal condition of the trees was not undertaken.
- This report has been prepared for the sole use of DEP Landscape Initiatives and their appointed agents. Any reference on reliance to this report or information therein by any other party is done so entirely at their own risk.

3.3 Tree Survey Methodology

The tree survey was carried out from the ground on 1,8 & 9 February 2018, by Angus Mackay, Landscape Consultants. Weather conditions at the time were Showery, Dull, Breezy, 2-6 C.

140 No trees were surveyed

Tree Survey Report - - Rigifa Farm, Aberdeen

The Visual Tree Assessment method (Stage 1) was used to determine the condition of the trees.

Information on the tree is provided in the Tree Survey Schedule. This records pertinent details as follows.

Tree Number	Tree numbers
Tree Species	Common Name and botanical name of species
Diameter	Diameter at breast height. Measured in centimetres at 1.5M
Height	Approximate Height of tree assessed in metres
Crown Spread	Approximate Spread of branches from centre of trunk to drip line, assessed to North, South, East or West
Crown clearance	Crown clearance above adjacent ground level assessed in metres N,S E & W
Age Class	Young (Y) Semi Mature (SM), Early Mature (EM) Mature (M) Over Mature (OM) Veteran (V)
Comments	General comments on tree health, structural condition and form, highlighting any defects or areas of concern.
Useful remaining life expectancy	Estimated remaining contribution in years ie -10, 10 +, 20 + & 40 +
Physiological condition	Good, Normal, Fair & poor.
Category grading	Tree quality assessment.
Recommendations	Recommended remedial action/arboricultural works

Trees are graded with a tree category (as per BS 5837: 2012 – Trees in Relation to Design, Demolition and Construction – Recommendations). There are four main categories as noted below A,B,C for trees good enough to be retained and U for trees to be removed. This is fully expanded overleaf. Within these categories, trees can be assessed for their specimen value, their landscape value or their conservation value.

Tree Survey Report - - Rigifa Farm, Aberdeen

Category Definitions	Criteria Sub Categories									
	1	2	3							
Category A	Mainly arboricultural	Mainly landscape	Mainly cultural values,							
	values	Values	including conservation							
Trees of high quality with an estimated life expectancy of at least 40 years	Trees that are particularly good examples of their species, especially if rare or unusual : or those that are essential components of groups or semi formal arboricultural features (e.g) the dominant and/or principal trees within an avenue	Tree, groups or woodlands of particular visual importance as arboricultural and/or landscape features	Trees, groups or woodlands of significant conservation, historical commemorative or other values (e.g veteran trees or wood-pasture)							
Category B										
Trees of moderate quality with an estimated life expectancy of at least 20 years	Trees that might be included in category A, but are down graded because of impaired condition (e.g presence of significant defects, including un sympathetic past management and storm damage) such that they are unlikely to be suitable for retention for beyond 40 years: or trees lacking the special quality necessary to merit category A designation	Trees usually present in numbers, usually growing as groups or woodland, such that they attract a higher collective rating than they might as individuals: or trees occurring as collectives, but situated so as to make little visual contribution to the wider locality	Trees with material conservation or other cultural value							
Category C										
Trees of low quality with an estimated remaining life expectancy of at least 10 years, or young trees with a stem diameter below 150mm	Unremarkable trees or very limited merit or such impaired condition that they do not qualify in higher categories	Trees present in groups or woodlands, but without this conferring on them significantly greater collective landscape value: and/or trees offering low or only temporary/transient screening benefits.	Trees with no material conservation or other cultural value							
Category U	Criteria – sub categories									
Those in such a condition that they cannot realistically be retained as living trees in the context of the current land used for longer than 10 years	 Trees that have a serious, irremediable, structural defect, such that their early loss is expected due to collapse, including those that will become unviable after removal of other category U trees (eg where, for whatever reason, the loss of the companion shelter cannot be mitigated by pruning) Trees that are dead or are showing signs of significant, immediate and irreversible overall decline. Trees infected with pathogens of significance to health and/or safety of other trees 									
4.0 Arborioultural Do	nearby, or ver	y low quality trees suppressing adjac	ent trees of better quality							

4.0 Arboricultural Recommendations.

4.1 Category Grading as per schedule

The trees surveyed were in various categories.

Tree Survey Report – – – Rigifa Farm, Aberdeen

4.2 Trees and Construction

In order to safeguard the tree during any works on the property, BS 5837: 2012 recommends the establishment of a tree protection zone from which all construction activity, including material storage, is excluded. All works must ensure tree roots are not damaged by compaction/mechanical damage and tree boles/branches are not damaged by construction traffic. BS 5837: 2012 recommends the erection of a scaffold fence at a distance of 12 times the diameter of the tree to a maximum distance of 15M. Some encroachment into the RPA can be tolerated to a degree, depending on tree and site conditions, but must only be sanctioned by an arboriculturist.

RPA fencing should be erected prior to work commencing to detail as shown on attached drawing prior to any work taking place as per BS 5837:2012

4.3 Tree Surgery and Precautions.

Tree surgery and felling work required should comply with BS 3998: 2010 'Tree Work – Recommendations'.

Trees may host numerous species of animals, birds, bats, insects and fungi, many of which are protected by British and European legislation. The destruction or disturbance of any of these species or their habitat is an offence. It is therefore paramount that checks are conducted prior to tree works to identify if there are protected species using the trees or nearby habitats which may be disturbed. Expert help will be required to identify and /or protect these species.

The trees may be covered by a Tree Preservation Order ,or may be in a Conservation Area, therefore, prior to removing or carrying out any work on the trees, permission should be sought from the Local Planning Authority. Prior to any Arboricultural works, permission from the landowner should be sought and contact should be made with Forestry Commission Scotland to check if a Felling Licence is required

4.4 Replacement Trees – Where trees are to be replaced, consideration should be given to a 1 for 1 basis. Native trees are suggested with a local seed provenance Forestry Commision zone 202. Planting should be carried out to BS 4545:2014 – Trees from Nursery to Independence in the Landscape - Recommendations

Tree Survey Report – – – Rigifa Farm, Aberdeen P 5




Figure 2 Default specification for protective barrier

P7 -

© The British Standards Institution 2012

TREE SURVEY SCHEDULE

Tree Survey Report - - Rigifa Farm, Aberdeen

	L.							1		
2 - 6 C 'ly Mature :	R.P.A Radius of a nominal circle		2.4	2.5	4.0	2.9	2.6	4.0	2.6	
WEATHER: Showery, Dull, Breezy 2 - 6 C temove Dead Wood & Snags S = Multi Stem: AS = Aerial Survey ung: SM = Semi Mature: EM = Early Matu ey valid until 31/01/2019	Grading Category		B 2	B 2	B 2	B 2	B 2	B 2	B 2	
IER: Showe ead Wood & Stem: AS I = Semi Ma mtil 31/01/2	ERY		20 +	20 +	+	+ 50	20 +	20 +	20 +	
M/ M/	Preliminary Management Recommendations		N/W/R	N/W/R	Remove dead wood to 1 M to the West	Remove dead wood to 1.5 M to the North	N/W/R	N/W/R	Remove old stake & small adjoining Pine	
& 9/02/2018 { 1 CLL n Clearance: 10 Work Requ	Structural Condition		Fair	Fair	Fair	Fair	Fair	Fair	Trifurcates at 1.2 M	
erdeen DATE OF SURVEY 1,8 & to Design, Demolition & Construction = Remove : HCC = Height of Crown ial 1= High: 2 = Moderate: 3 = Poor: t, 20+, 40+: N/W/R = No	Physio Cond.		Fair	Fair	Fair	Fair	Fair	Fair	Normal	
:n DATE sign, Demoli nove : HC = High: 2 = N + , 40+:	Age Class		SM	SM	SM	SM	SM	SM	SM	
I Development at Rigifa Farm, Cove, Aberdeen DAT FS BS5837:2012 – Trees in Relation to Design, Demo Vormal: F = Fair: P = Poor: U = Remove: H Recommended: WLP =Wild Life Potential 1= High: 2 = Estimated Remaining Years = -10, 10 +, 20+, 40+:	Stem Diam at 1.5M AGL CM *	AREA I	20	21	33	24	22	33	22	
ppment at Rigifa Farm, Cove, At BS5837:2012 – Trees in Relation $E = Fair$: $P = Poor$: U nended: WLP =Wild Life Potent ted Remaining Years = -10, 10+	Height of Crown Clearance M N,S,E,W	ACCESS TO LEITHS AT FARM ENTRANCE	0.30 S	0	0	0	0	0	1.0 E	
ed Developmer VTS BS58 Normal: F = t Recommende Estimated R	Branch Spread Approx. M	HS AT FARN	N: 3 N: 5 W: 5 W: 5	N: 2 S: 2 E: 2.5 W: 2	N: 6 S: 3 E: 4 W:5	N: 4 S: 3 E: 4.5 W: 4	N: 2 S: 2.5 E: 2 W: 2	N: 6 S: 2 E: 3 W: 3	N: 4 S: 4 E: 4 W: 4	Х: W: W:
/EY: Propose CONSULTAI CONSULTAI Condition N= Detection Test re ERY =	Height approx M	ESS TO LEIT	6	6	11	6	8	12	6	
GROUND LEVEL TREE SURVEY : Proposed Development at Rigifa Farm, Cove, Aberdeen DATE OF SURVEY 1,8, CARRIED OUT BY MACKAY CONSULTANTS BS5837:2012 - Trees in Relation to Design, Demolition & Construction Physio Cond. = Physiological Condition N= Normal: F = Fair: P = Poor: U = Remove : HCC = Height of Crow Recommended: DDT = Decay Detection Test Recommended: WLP = Wild Life Potential 1= High: 2 = Moderate: 3 = Poor: M = Mature: OM = Over Mature ERY = Estimated Remaining Years = -10, 10 +, 20+, 40+: N/W/R = N	Species		Sitka Spruce	Sitka Spruce	Sitka Spruce	Sitka Spruce	Sitka Spruce	Sitka Spruce	Wild Cherry	
GROUND I CARRIED (Physio Co Recommend M = Mature	Trce Ref No	SOUTH SIDE (RHS)	04083	084	085	086	087	088	080	

-

C ture :	R.P.A Radius of a nominal circle	(M ²⁾										
zy 2-6 ey Carly Ma	2 2 e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e	S	2.4	3.0	-	3.6	3.6	2.9	3.8	5.8	2.2	2.6
WEATHER: Showery, Dull, Breezy 2 - 6 C temove Dead Wood & Snags S = Multi Stem: AS = Aerial Survey ang: SM = Senti Mature: EM = Early Matu vralid until 31.691/2019	Grading Category		B 2	B 2	-	C 2	C 2	C 2	C 2	C 2	C 2	C 2
WEATHER: Showery, Dull Remove Dead Wood & Shags I/S = Multi Stem: AS = Aerial oung: SM = Semi Mature: El vev Valid until 31(9)/2019	ERY		20 +	20 +		- 20 +	20 +	20 +	20 +	20 +	20 +	20 +
M. M.	min agei		Lift canopy to clear pedestrians to the South	N/W/R		Lift canopy to 1 M	Lift canopy to 1 M	Lift canopy to 1 M	Lift canopy to 1 M	N/W/R	N/W/R	N/W/R
& 9/02/2018 SL n CLAE n Clearance: lo Work Requir	Structural Condition		Fair	Fair		Fair	Fair	Fair	Fair	Fair	Poor vigour. Forked leader	Poor vigour
E OF SURVE ition & Cons CC = Height o Moderate: 3 = N/W/	Physio Cond.		Fair	Fair		Fair	Fair	Fair	Fair	Fair	Fair	Fair
en DATJ ssign, Demol move : H(= High: 2 = 0+ , 40+:	Age Olass	- 4	SM	SM		SM	SM	SM	SM	SM	SM	SM
 I Development at Rigifa Farm, Cove, Aberdeen DATE OF SURVEY 1, 8 & TS BS5837:2012 ~ Trees in Relation to Design, Demolition & Construction Normal: F = Fair: P = Poor: U = Remove: HCC = Height of Crown Recommended: WLP =Wild Life Potential 1 = High: 2 = Moderate: 3 = Poor: Estimated Remaining Years = -10, 10 +, 20+, 40+: N/W/R = No 	Stem Diam at 1.5M AGL CM *	AREA	20	25	EA 3	30	30	24	32	23	18	22
tt at Rigifa Farm, Cov 37:2012 - Trees in Rel. = Fair: P = Poor : :d: WLP =Wild Life P emaining Years = -10,	Height of Crown Clearance M N,S,E,W	IDE (LHS)	0	0	AREA	1.5 N	2.0 N	2.0 N	1.0 N	0	2.0 N	1.0 N
sed Developmes NTS BS58 = Normal: F = st Recommends Estimated R	Branch Spread Approx. M	CE NORTH S	×××××××××××××××××××××××××××××××××××××	N:S: 3 2: 4 - 3 4 - 3 - 4	CESS ROAD	N: 4 S: 4 E: 4 W: 5	N: 5 S: 5 E: 4 W: 4.5	N: 4 S: 4 E: 3 W: 3	N: 4 S: 3 E: 3 W: 3	N: 4 S: 4 E: 3 W: 3	ж. Ж.	ж ж. Ж.
VEY: Propos Y CONSULTA I Condition N= y Detection Tes ture ERY=	Height approx M	RM ENTRAN	7	6	F LEITHS AC	11	10	10	11	10	8	8
GROUND LEVEL TREE SURVEY : Proposed Development at Rigita Farm, Cove, Aberdeen DATE OF SURVEY 1, 8. CARRIED OUT BY MACKAY CONSULTANTS BSS837:2012 - Trees in Relation to Design, Demolition & Construction Physio Cond. = Physiological Condition N= Normal: F = Fair: P = Poor : U = Rem2ve : HCC = Height of Crow Recommended: DDT = Decay Detection Test Recommended: WLP =Wild Life Potential 1= High: 2 = Moderate: 3 = Poor: M = Mature: OM = Over Mature ERY = Estimated Remaining Years = -10, 10 +, 20 + , 40+: N/W/R = N	Species	ACCESS TO LEITHS AT FARM ENTRANCE NORTH SIDE (LHS)	Sitka Spruce	Sitka Spruce	SHELTER BELT NORTH OF LEITHS ACCESS ROAD	Sitka Spruce	Sitka Spruce	Sitka Spruce	Sitka Spruce	Sitka Spruce	Sitka Spruce	Sitka Spruce
GROUND CARRIED Physio Co Recommen M = Matur	Tree Ref No	ACCESS T	04090	160	SHELTER	092	660	094	095	960	097	04098

2 - 6 C / Mature :	R.P.A Radius of a nominal circle	(M ²)	4.3	2.6	4.6	4.3	3.7	4.3	2.5	3.4	2.8	
 WEATHER: Showery, Dull, Breezy 2 - 6 C //S = Remove Dead Wood & Snags m: M/S = Multi Stem: AS = Aerial Survey Y= Young: SM = Semi Mature: EM = Early Mature : Survey valid until 31/01/2019 	Grading Category		C 2	C 2	C 2	C 2	C 2	C 2	C 2	C 2	C 2	
LEK: Shower Dead Wood & Stem: AS = I = Semi Mati I 1 31/01/20	ERY		20 +	20 +	20 +	20 +	20 +	20 +	20 +	20 +	20 +	
NAVEL 1NO. 402005 WEALNEK: SNOWEY, DUI, Breezy CNT: DEP D/W/S = Remove Dead Wood & Snags D/S = Double Stem: M/S = Multi Stem: AS = Aerial Survey AGE CLASS Y = Young: SM = Semi Mature: EM = Ear red at this time. Survey valid until 31/01/2019	Preliminary Management Recommendations		N/W/R	Lift canopy to 1 M	Lift canopy to 1 M	Remove smaller stem & branches affecting traffic	N/W/R	N/W/R	N/W/R	N/W/R	Lift canopy to 1.2 M	ο
Clearance: Clearance: Work Requi	Structural Condition		Fair	Fair	Fair	Fair	Fair	Fair. Birds nest in crown	Fair	Fair	Fair	
to Design, Demolition & Construction = Remove : $HCC = Height of Crown ial 1= High: 2 = Moderate: 3 = Poor: 20+, 40+: N/W/R = No$	Physio Cond.	-	Fair	Fair	Fair	Fair	Fair	Fair	Fair	Fair	Fair	
n, ve ligi	Age Class		SM	SM	SM	SM	SM	SM	SM	SM	SM	
in Relation to Design, D oor: U = Remove: Life Potential 1 = High: = -10, 10 +, 20+, 4	Stem Diam at 1.5M AGL CM *	CA 3	36	22	38	24/12	31	36	21	28	22	
TS BSS37:2012 Trees in Relation to Vormal: F = Fair: P = Poor : U = Recommended: WLP =Wild Life Potential Estimated Remaining Years = -10, 10 +,	Height of Crown Clearance M N,S,E,W	AREA	0	1.0 N	0	0	0	1.0 N	0	0	1.0 N	
NTS BS58 - Normal: F = t Recommende Estimated Re	Branch Spread Approx. M	ESS ROAD	X :: 2 : 2 : 2 : 2 : 2 : 2 : 2 : 2 : 2 :	兴 X: X: 3 3 3 4 3 3 4	N: 4 S: 4 W:3 3	N:3 8:3 W:3 W:3	N: 3 S: 3 W: 3 W: 3	N: 4 S: 3 E: 3 W: 3	N: 4 S: 4 W: 3	N: 3 S: 4 E: 2 W: 3	N: 2 S: 3 W: 3 W: 3	
<pre>/ CONSULTA! Condition N= Condition Test Tre ERY = Ire ERY =</pre>	Height approx M	' LEITHS ACC	10	10	11	10	10	10	10	10	10	
CARRIED OUT BY MACKAY CONSULTANTS BSS837:2012 – Trees in Relation to Desig Physio Cond. = Physiological Condition N= Normal: F = Fair: P = Poor: U = Remo Recommended: DDT = Decay Detection Test Recommended: WLP =Wild Life Potential 1= H M = Mature: OM = Over Mature ERY = Estimated Remaining Years = -10, 10+, 20+	Species	SHELTER BELT NORTH OF LEITHS ACCESS ROAD	Sitka Spruce	Sitka Spruce	Sitka Spruce	Sitka Spruce D/S	Sitka Spruce	Sitka Spruce	Sitka Spruce	Sitka Spruce	Sitka Spruce	
CARRIED Physio CC Recommen M = Maturi	Tree Ref No	SHELTER	04099	04100	101	102	103	104	105	106	04107	

Г <u> </u>		1	1		1			Т	Т	1	
/ 2 - 6 C -ly Mature :	R.P.A Radius of a nominal circle	(MI)	2.6	4.6	2.4	3.8	2.9	3.0	2.8	2.8	3.6
 WEATHER: Showery, Dull, Breezy 2-6 C Remove Dead Wood & Snags M.S = Multi Stem: AS = Aerial Survey Ye Young: SM = Semi Mature: EM = Early Mature : 	Grading Category		C 2	C 2	C 2	C 2	C 2	C 2	C 2	C 2	C 2
HER: Showe Dead Wood & fi Stem: AS A = Semi Ma until 31/01/2	ERY		20 +	20 +	20 +	20 +	+ 50 +	20 +	20 +	20 +	20 +
SURVEY No. 465/685 WEATHER: Showery, Dull ENT: DEP D/W/S = Remove Dead Wood & Snags D/S = Double Stem: M/S = Multi Stem: AS = Aerial AGE CLASS Y = Young: SM = Semi Mature: E lired at this time. Survey valid until 31/01/2019	age:		Lift canopy to 1.2 M	Lift canopy to 1.2 M	N/W/R	N/W/R	Lift canopy to 1M	N/W/R	Lift canopy to 1 M	Lift canopy to 1M	Lift canopy to 1M
& 9/02/2018 n CLJ n Clearance: 6 Work Requ	Structural Condition		Fair	Fair	Fair	Fair	Fair	Bifurcates at 4 M	Fair	Fair	Fair
<pre>serdeen DATE OF SURVEY 1, 8 & to Design, Demolition & Construction = Remove : HCC = Height of Crown ial 1= High: 2 = Moderate: 3 = Poor: ., 20+ , 40+: N/W/R = No</pre>	Physio Cond.		Fair	Fair	Fair	Fair	Fair	Fair	Fair	Fair	Fair
é s B	Age Class		SM	SM	SM	SM	SM	MS	SM	SM	SM
I Development at Rigifa Farm, Cove, Aberdeen IS BSS37:2012 - Trees in Relation to Design, Vormal: F = Fair: P = Poor: U = Remove Recommended: WLP =Wild Life Potential 1 = Hig Estimated Remaining Years = -10, 10 +, 20+,	Stem Diam at 1.5M AGL CM *	EA 3	22	38	20	32	24	25	23	23	30
opment at Rigifa Farm, Cove, At BS5837:2012 - Trees in Relation : F = Fair: P = Poor : U nended: WLP =Wild Life Potent ted Remaining Years = -10, 10+	Height of Crown Clearance M N,S,E,W	AREA	0	0	0	1.0 N	0	0	1.0 N	2.0 N	1.0 N
ed Developmer NTS BS58 Normal: F = t Recommende Estimated R	Branch Spread Approx. M	CESS ROAD	N: 3 S: 2 W: 2 W: 2	N: 4 S: 3 E: 3 W: 2.5	N: 3 S: 3 E: 2 W:2	N: 3 S: 3 E: 2 W: 2.5	N: 3.5 S: 3 S: 3 W: 2 W: 2	N: 3 S: 2 W: 3 W: 3	N: 4 S: 3 W: 2 W: 2	N: 3 S: 3 W: 3 W: 3	N: 4 S: 4 E: 3 W: 3
VEY: Propos / CONSULTAI Condition N= / Detection Tes ure ERY =	Height approx M	LEITHS ACC	10	10	6	8	6	8	~	6	10
GROUND LEVEL TREE SURVEY : Proposed Development at Rigifa Farm, Cove, Aberdeen DATE OF SURVEY 1, 8. CARRIED OUT BY MACKAY CONSULTANTS BS5837:2012 - Trees in Relation to Design, Demolition & Constructio Physio Cond. = Physiological Condition N= Normal: F = Fair: P = Poor: U = Remove : HCC = Height of Crow Recommended: DDT = Decay Detection Test Recommended: WLP =Wild Life Potential 1= High: 2 = Moderate: 3 = Poor: M = Mature: OM = Over Mature ERV = Estimated Remaining Years = -10, 10 +, 20+, 40+: N/W/R = N	Species	SHELTER BELT NORTH OF LEITHS ACCESS ROAD	Sitka Spruce	Sitka Spruce	Sitka Spruce	Sitka Spruce	Sitka Spruce	Sitka Spruce	Sitka Spruce	Sitka Spruce	Sitka Spruce
GROUND CARRIED Physio Co Recommend M = Mature	Tree Ref No	SHELTER	04108	109	110		112	113	114	115	04116

	T										
- 2 - 6 C ly Mature :	R.P.A Radius of a nominal circle	(M ²⁾	2.4	2.6	2.3	3.4	3.6	4.2	7.4	0	3.0
WEATHER: Showery, Dull, Breezy 2-6 C temove Dead Wood & Snags S = Multi Stem: AS = Aerial Survey ung: SM = Seni Mature: EM = Early Matu	Grading Category		C2	C 2	C 2	C 2	C 2	C 2	C 2	n	C 2
IER: Showe Dead Wood & i Stem: AS 1 = Semi Ma until 31/01/2	ERY		20+	20 +	20 +	20 +	20 +	20 +	20 +	0	20 +
	age mm		Lift canopy to 1.0 M	Lift canopy to 1 M	N/W/R	N/W/R	N/W/R	N/W/R	Remove old stake. Lift canopy to clear security fence	REMOVE	N/W/R
& 9/02/2018 5 n CLII n Clearance: to Work Requi	Structural Condition		Fair	Fair	Water main under canopy at 1 M to the West. Poor vigour	Water main under canopy at 1 M to the Eastt. Fair vigour	Fair. Broken crown	Fair	Fair	Cracking at inclusion at base	Trifurcates at I M
erdeen DATE OF SURVEY 1, 8 & to Design, Demolition & Construction = Remove : HCC = Height of Crown ial 1 = High: 2 = Moderate: 3 = Poor: -, 20+ , 40+: N/W/R = No	Physio Cond.		Fair	Fair	Fair	Fair	Fair	Fair	Fair	Poor	Fair
rdeen DATE Design, Demoli Remove : HC I I= High: 2 = N 20+ , 40+:	Age Class		SM	SM	SM	SM	SM	SM	SM	SM	SM
ifa Farm, Cove, Aberde - Trees in Relation to De P = Poor : U = Rei -=Wild Life Potential 1 Vears = -10, 10 +, 20	Stem Diam at 1.5M AGL CM *	EA 3	20	22	19	28	30	35	20/20 12/10	25/20 18	25
I.Development at Rigifa Farm, Cove, AberISBS5837:2012 - Trees in Relation toVormal:F = Fair:P = Poor:U = IRecommended:WLP =Wild Life PotentialRetommended:WLP =Wild Life Potential	Height of Crown Clearance M N.S,E,W	AREA	2.0 N	1.8 N	1.0 N	0.50 N	1.0 E	0	0	0	1.0 N
ed Developmer NTS BS56 * Normal: F = t Recommende Estimated R	Branch Spread Approx. M	JESS ROAD	N: N: E: 3 3 4 W: 3 3 4	N: 2 S: 2 E: 3 W: 3	N: 3 S: 3 E: 3 W:3	N: 3 S: 3 E: 3 W: 2.5	N: 4 S: 4 E: 4 W: 4.5	N: 3 S: 3 E: 4 W: 2.5	N: 4 S: 3 E: 4 W: 4	N: 6 S: 5 E: 4 W: 5	X: 4 X: 3 X: 3 X: 3
VVEY: Propose V CONSULTAN Condition N= y Detection Test ure ERY =	Height approx M	F LEITHS ACC	10	×	7	6	8	6	8	6	7
GROUND LEVEL TREE SURVEY : Proposed Development at Rigifa Farm, Cove, Aberdeen DATE OF SURVEY I, 8. CARRIED OUT BY MACKAY CONSULTANTS BS5837:2012 - Trees in Relation to Design, Demolition & Construction Physio Cond. = Physiological Condition N= Normal: F = Fair: P = Poor: U = Remove : HCC = Height of Crow Recommended: DDT = Decay Defection Test Recommended: WLP = Wild Life Potential 1 = High: 2 = Moderate: 3 = Poor: M = Mature: OM = Over Mature ERY = Estimated Remaining Years = -10, 10 +, 20+, 40+: N/W/R = N	Species	SHELTER BELT NORTH OF LEITHS ACCESS ROAD	Sitka Spruce	Sitka Spruce	Sitka Spruce	Sitka Spruce	Sitka Spruce	Sitka Spruce	Goat Willow M/S	Goat Willow M/S	Goat Willow
GROUND CARRIED Physio Co Recommen M = Matur	Tree Ref No	SHELTER	04117	118	119	120	121	122	[23	124	04125

S

	R.P.A Radius of a nominal circle										
y 2-6 C y arly Matu	R.P.A Radius o a nominal circle	Ϋ́Μ	•	•	4.4	3.6		2.8	2.3	4.9	4.0
ery, Dull, Breez & Snags 5 = Aerial Surve ature: EM = Ea 2019	Grading Category		n	n	C 3	C 2		B3	B 3	C 2	C 2
HER: Show Dead Wood fi Stem: AS M = Semi Mi until 31/01/	ERY		0	0	10+	10+		40+	40+	20+	20+
 URVEY No. 465/685 WEATHER: Showery, Dull, Breezy 2 - 6 C ENT: DEP D/W/S= Remove Dead Wood & Snags D/S= Double Stem: M/S= Muli Stem: AS = Aerial Survey D/S = Double Stem: M/S = Semi Mature: EM = Early Mature : red at this time. Survey valid until 31(0)12019 	min ager mm		REMOVE	REMOVE	D/W/S MONITOR	Remove branches affecting security fence		N/W/R	Remove crossing branches	D/W/S . Remove small dead stems	Remove broken,hung branch
& 9/02/2018 S n CLI n Clearance: lo Work Requi	Sfructural Condition		Poor	Weighted to the South. Overhanging fence. Birds nest in crown	Poor vigour	Fair	AREA 4	Fair	Fair	Fair	Fair
OF SURVI tion & Cons C = Height o Moderate: 3 = N/W/	Physio Cond.		Poor	Poor	Fair	Fair	STEADING	Fair	Fair	Fair	Fair
- Ber	Age Class		SM	SM	SM	SM	OF FARM	SM	Y	SM	SM
J Development at Rigifa Farm, Cove, Aberdeen DATE OF SURVEY 1, 8 & TS BS5837:2012 – Trees in Relation to Design, Demolition & Construction Vormal: $F = Fair$: $P = Poor$: $U = Remove$: $HCC = Height of Crown Recommended: WLP =Wild Life Potential 1= Hight 2 = Moderate: 3 = Poor: Estimated Remaining Years = -10, 10 +, 20+, 40+:$	Stem Diam at 1.5M AGL CM *	EA 3	20/12/8	25	15/12/10	30	HOUSE WEST	23	19	29/12	33
of at Rigifa Farr 37:2012 – Trees = Fair: P = P cd: WLP =Wild emaining Years	Height of Crown Clearance M N,S,E,W	AREA	0	1.0 E	0	0.50 S	NCE TO FARM	0.30 W	1.0 E	0	1.0 W
ed Developme NTS BS55 = Normal: F = it Recommende Estimated R	Branch Spread Approx. M	CESS ROAD	N: 3 S: 4 E: 2 W: 3	N: 4 S: 5 W: 2 W: 2	N: 3 S: 2 E: 3 W:2	N: 4 S: 5 E: 3 W: 3	LEITH ENTRA	N: 4 S: 3 E: 5 W: 4	N: 4 S: 4 E: 4 W: 4	N: 5 S: 3 E: 5 W: 3	N: 4 S: 4 W: 6 W: 6
VEY: Propos CONSULTA Condition N= Detection Tes ire ERY=	Height approx M	LEITHS ACC	8	7	5	5	V > S FROM I	8	5	16	15
GROUND LEVEL TREE SURVEY : Proposed Development at Rigifa Farm, Cove, Aberdeen DATE OF SURVEY 1, 8, CARRIED OUT BY MACKAY CONSULTANTS BS5837:2012 - Trees in Relation to Design, Demolition & Construction Physio Cond. = Physiological Condition N= Normal: F = Fair: P = Poor: U = Remove : HCC = Height of Crow Recommended: DDT = Decay Detection Test Recommended: WLP = Wild Life Potential 1 = High: 2 = Moderate: 3 = Poor: M = Mature: OM = Over Mature ERV = Estimated Remaining Years = -10, 10 +, 20 +, 40+: N/W/R = N	Species	SHELTER BELT NORTH OF LEITHS ACCESS ROAD	Goat Willow M/S	Goat Willow	Goat Willow M/S	Goat Willow	SHELTER BELT RUNNING N > S FROM LEITH ENTRANCE TO FARM HOUSE WEST OF FARM STEADING	Common Beech	Norway Maple	Sitka Spruce D/S	Sitka Spruce
GROUND CARRIED Physio Cc Recomment M = Matur	Tree Ref No	SHELTER	04126	127	128	129	SHELTER	130	131	132	04133

		-						· · ·			
2 - 6 C iy Mature :	R.P.A Radius of a nominal circle		0	5.8	6.0	5.0	1.9	0	2.4	2.5	2.6
WEATHER: Showery, Dull, Breezy 2 - 6 C temove Dead Wood & Snags S = Multi Stem: AS = Aerial Survey ang: SM = Semi Mature: EM = Early Matu vralid until 31/01/2019	Grading Category		n	C 2	C 2	C 2	C 2	n	C 2	C 2	C 2
WEATHER: Showery, Dull Remove Dead Wood & Snags I/S = Multi Stem: AS = Aerial oung: SM = Semi Mature: E vev valid until 31/01/019	ERY		0	20+	20+	20+	20+	0	20+	20+	20+
= A/M	ager ager		REMOVE	Remove small dead stem to the South	Lift canopy to 1 M	Lift canopy to 1.5 M	Remove limb at 0.20 to the North to allow tree to develon	REMOVE	Lift canopy to 1.5 M	Lift canopy to 1.5 M	Lift canopy to 1.5 M
& 9/02/2018 S n CLI n Clearance: lo Work Requ	Structural Condition	CONT',	Dead	Fair	Fair	Fair	Fair	On the deck	Poor vigour. Suppredded	Fair	Fair
OF SURVE iion & Const C = Height of foderate: 3 = N/W/F	Physio Cond.	STEADING	Poor	Fair	Fair	Fair	Fair	Poor	Poor	Fair	Fair
en DATE sign, Demoli nove : HC = High: 2 = M H , 40+:	Age Class	WEST OF FARM STEADING	SM	SM	М	SM	SM	SM	SM	SM	SM
I Development at Rigifa Farm, Cove, Aberdeen DATE OF SURVEY 1, 8 & TS BS5837:2012 – Trees in Relation to Design, Demolition & Construction Vormal: F = Fair: P = Poor: U = Remove: HCC = Height of Crown Recommended: WLP =Wild Life Potential 1 = High: $2 = Moderate: 3 = Poor:$ Estimated Remaining Years = -10, 10 +, 20+, 40+: N/W/R = N _C	Stem Diam at 1.5M AGL CM *		20	33/15	50	42	16	23	20	21	22
it at Rigifa Farm, Cov 37:2012 - Trees in Rel- a Fair: P = Poor: d: WLP =Wild Life P emaining Years = -10,	Height of Crown Clearance M N,S,E,W	NCE TO FARM	0	0	1.0 N	1.5 N	0	0	0.50 W	0	2 W
ed Developmer NTS BS58 Normal: F = t Recommende Estimated R	Branch Spread Approx. M	LEITH ENTRA	N: W:	N: 4 S: 2 E: 5 W: 5	N: 4 S: 3 E: 5 W:5	N: 3 S: 3 E: 4 W: 4	N: 3 S: 3 W: 3 W: 3	N:	N: 2 S: 1 W: 2 W: 2	2 2 2 2 2 У. 2 М. 2	N: 2 S: 2 W: 3 W: 3
VEY: Propose CONSULTAN Condition N= Detection Test ure ERY=	Height approx M	N > S FROM L	12	17	17	17	5	0	12	6	11
GROUND LEVEL TREE SURVEY : Proposed Development at Rigifa Farm, Cove, Aberdeen DATE OF SURVEY 1, 8, CARRIED OUT BY MACKAY CONSULTANTS BS5837:2012 - Trees in Relation to Design, Demolition & Construction Physio Cond. = Physiological Condition N= Normal: F = Fair: P = Poor: U = Remove: HCC = Height of Crow Recommended: DDT = Decay Detection Test Recommended: WLP =Wild Life Potential 1= High: 2 = Moderate: 3 = Poor: M = Mature: OM = Over Mature ERY = Estimated Remaining Years = -10, 10+, 20+, 40+: N/W/R = N	Species	SHELTER BELT RUNNING N > S FROM LEITH ENTRANCE TO FARM HOUSE	Sitka Spruce	Sitka Spruce	Sitka Spruce	Sitka Spruce	Common Alder	Sitka Spruce	Sitka Spruce	Sitka Spruce	Sitka Spruce
GROUND CARRIED Physio CC Recommen M = Matur	Tree Ref No	SHELTER	04134	135	136	137	138	139	140	[4]	04142

[∽

				1		· .		-		
R.P.A Radius of a nominal circle	(M ²⁾ 3.7	3.6	6.4	2.8	2.5	2.6	3.2	3.6	2.1	4.8
Grading Category	C 2	C 2	C 2	C 2	C 2	C 2	C 2	C 2	C 2	C 2
ERY	20 +	20 +	20+	20+	20 +	20+	20+	20+	20+	20+
age age	Remove small dead stem	Lift canopy to 1M	Lift canopy to 1 M	Lift canopy to 1.M	Lift canopy to 1 M	Lift canopy to 1.5 M	N/W/R	Lift canopy to 1.5 M	Lift canopy to 1.5 M	N/W/R
Structural Condition	Fair	Fair	Fair	Fair	Fair	Fair	Fair	Bifurcates at 1.2 M	Fair	Fair
Physio Cond.	Fair	Fair	Fair	Fair	Fair	Fair	Fair	Fair	Fair	Fair
Age Class	SM	SM	SM	SM	SM	SM	SM	SM	SM	SM
Stem Diam at 1.5M AGL CM *	21/10	30	53	23	21	22	27	30	26	40
Height of Crown Clearance M N,S,E,W	0	2 N	1.6 S	0.50 W	1 S	3 W	0	0	1 E	0
Branch Spread Approx. M	N: 2 S: 1 E: 3 W: 3	N: 3 S: 2 W: 3 W: 3	N: 4 S: 6 E: 4 W: 6	N: 3 S: 2 W:3 W:3	N: 2 S: 3 E: 2 W: 2	N: 2 S: 2 E: 2 W: 2	N: 3 S: 2 E: 2 W: 4	N: 2 S: 2 W: 3 W: 3	X: X: 4 X: 3 3 4 X: 3 3 4	N: 4 S: 4 W: 4 W: 4
Height approx M	10	14	16	14	10	6	11	11	12	12
Species	Sitka Spruce D/S	Sitka Spruce	Sitka Spruce	Sitka Spruce	Sitka Spruce	Sitka Spruce	Sitka Spruce	Sitka Spruce	Sitka Spruce	Sitka Spruce
Tree Ref No	04143	144	145	146	147	148	149	150	151	04152
	Species Height Branch Height of Structural Structural Preliminary ERV Grading Approx Spread Crown at 1.5M Class Cond. Condition Management Category M Approx. Clearance AGL CM* Class Cond. Condition Management Category M M M M M N.S.E.W Category Category	SpeciesHeightBranchHeight of T spreadStem DiamAge SpreadPhysioStructuralPreliminaryERVGrading GradingApprox.SpreadCrownat 1.5MClassCond.ConditionManagementCategoryMApprox.ClearanceAGL CM*ClassCond.ConditionManagementCategoryMMMN.S.E.WMN.S.E.WSitka10N: 2021/10SMFairFairRenowe small20+C 2Sitka10S: 1021/10SMFairFairRenove small20+C 22W: 3W: 3W: 3SiteSiteSiteSiteSiteSiteSiteSite	SpeciesHeightBranchHeight of TerranceStem DiamAge StructuralPhysioStructuralPreliminaryPreliminaryERVGrading GradingMMNSpreadCrownat1.5MClassCond.ConditionManagementCategoryMMMNNNS.L.WClassCond.ConditionManagementCategorySitka10N: 2021/10SMFairFairRecommendationsCategorySitka10N: 2021/10SMFairFairRemove small20+C 2Sitka14N: 32N30SMFairFairLift canopy to20+C 2StruceE: 2W: 32N30SMFairFairLift canopy to20+C 2	SpeciesHeight approxBranch SpreadHeight of Crown MStread at LSM MEtwPreliminary GasaPreliminary Management ManagementMMSpread MCrown Mat LSM MCond.Cond.Condition ConditionPreliminaryFar Management ManagementSitka10N: 2021/10SMFairFairRenowe small20+C 2Sitka10N: 2021/10SMFairFairRenowe small20+C 2Sitka14N: 32N30SMFairFairLift canopy to20+C 2Sitka16N: 41.6S53SMFairFairLift canopy to20+C 2Sitka16N: 41.6S53SMFairFairLift canopy to20+C 2Sitka16N: 41.6S53SMFairFairLift canopy to20+C 2Sitka16N: 41.6SSSMFairFairLift canopy to20+C 2W55SSMFairFairFairLift canopy to20+C 2USitka16N: 41.6SSSMFairFairLift canopy to20+C 2USitka16N: 41.6SSSMFairFairLift canopy toC	eSpeciesHeight approxBranch Spreud MHeight of CrownStructural at 1.5M MPreliminary ClassConditionPreliminary Management Category43Spreud Spruce D/S10N:2021/10SMFair FairFair GaditionRecommendations RecommendationsERV GadingGrading Gading43Sitka Spruce D/S10N:2021/10SMFair FairFair GaditionRemove small dead stem20+C25Sitka Spruce14N:32N30SMFair FairFair FairLift canopy to IM20+C21Sitka Spruce16N:32N30SMFair FairFairLift canopy to IM20+C21Sitka Spruce16N:30.50 W23SMFairFairLift canopy to IM20+C21Sitka Spruce14N:30.50 W23SMFairFairLift canopy to IM20+C21Sitka Spruce14N:30.50 W23SMFairFairLift canopy to IM20+C21Sitka Spruce14N:30.50 W23SMFairFairLift canopy to IM20+C21Sitka Spruce14N:30.50 W23SMFairFairLift canopy to20+C21	e Species Height Approx Earnet Corrante Height of Approx Earnet Corrante Height of Ansagement Earnet Approx Height of Carante Start LAN Approx Earnet Approx Height of Carante Start LAN Approx Earnet Approx Height of Carante Actual Actions Preliminary ENV Carante 13 Stita 10 N: 2 0 21/10 SM Fair Fair Remove small 20+ C 2 1 13 Stita 14 N: 3 2 N 30 SM Fair Fair It C 20+ C 2 1 Spruce 14 N: 3 2 N 30 SM Fair Fair It C 2 1 C 2 1 C 2 1 C 2 1 C 2 1 C 2 1 C 2 1 C 2 1 C 2 1 C 2 1 C 2 1 C 2 1 C 2 1 C 2 1 C 2 <td>e Species Height of Manch Reach Meridia (Const. StreamDiant Age Data (Const. StreamDiant Addition Feature Remove small 204 C 3 (Const. StreamDiant Addition Remove small 204 C 3 (Const. StreamDiant Addition Remove small 204 C 3 (Const. StreamDiant Condition Remove small 204 C 3 (Const. StreamDiant C 4 (Const. StreamDiant<!--</td--><td>• Species Height for stream of the stream o</td><td>* Specie Height More Norws, Sector Free man (arrange Networksector) Height More Norws, Networksector) Network Networksector) KN (arrange Networksector) Free Methods Free Methods</td><td>SpeciesHeight approx Monox, Approx Monox, Monow, Monow, </td></td>	e Species Height of Manch Reach Meridia (Const. StreamDiant Age Data (Const. StreamDiant Addition Feature Remove small 204 C 3 (Const. StreamDiant Addition Remove small 204 C 3 (Const. StreamDiant Addition Remove small 204 C 3 (Const. StreamDiant Condition Remove small 204 C 3 (Const. StreamDiant C 4 (Const. StreamDiant </td <td>• Species Height for stream of the stream o</td> <td>* Specie Height More Norws, Sector Free man (arrange Networksector) Height More Norws, Networksector) Network Networksector) KN (arrange Networksector) Free Methods Free Methods</td> <td>SpeciesHeight approx Monox, Approx Monox, Monow, Monow, </td>	• Species Height for stream of the stream o	* Specie Height More Norws, Sector Free man (arrange Networksector) Height More Norws, Networksector) Network Networksector) KN (arrange Networksector) Free Methods Free Methods	SpeciesHeight approx Monox, Approx Monox, Monow, Monow,

~

						1			<u> </u>		
/ 2 - 6 C / rly Mature :	R.P.A Radius of a nominal circle	2.6 2.6	2.2	2.3	2.8	2.3	4.0	5.6	2.9	5.0	0
WEATHER: Showery, Dull, Breezy 2-6 C Remove Dead Wood & Snags S = Multi Stem: AS = Aerial Survey ung: SM = Semi Mature: EM = Early Matu ev valid until 31/01/2010	Grading Category	C 2	C 2	C 2	C 2	C 2	C 2	C 2	C 2	C 2	n
HER: Showe Dead Wood & i Stem: AS 4 A = Semi Mat	ERY	20+	20+	20+	20+	20+	20+	20+	20+	20+	0
	min age	Remove broken limbs	Lift canopy to 1.M	Lift canopy to 1.M	Lift canopy to 1.5 M	Lift canopy to 1.5 M	Lift canopy to I M	Lift canopy to 1 M & remove small dead stem	Lift canopy to 1.M	Remove smaller stem	REMOVE
: 9/02/2018 S CLJH Clearance: Work Requi	Structural Condition	Fair	Fair	Fair	Bifurcates at 1.2 M	Fair	Fair	Fair	Fair	Fair	Dead
OF SURVE tion & Const C = Height of Moderate: 3 = N/W/I	Physio Cond.	Fair	Fair	Fair	Fair	Fair	Fair	Fair	Fair	Fair	Poor
	Age Class	SM	SM	SM	SM	SM	SM	SM	SM	SM	SM
m, Cove, Aberda in Relation to D boor: $U = Re$ Life Potential 1 = -10, 10+, 2	Stem Diam at 1.5M AGL CM *	22	18	19	23	19	33	35/12	24	26/16	15/10
I Development at Rigifa Farm, Cove, Aberdeen DATE OF SURVEY 1, 8 & TS BSS37:2012 – Trees in Relation to Design, Demolition & Construction Vormal: $F = Fair$: $P = Poor$: $U = Remove$: HCC = Height of Crown Recommended: WLP =Wild Life Potential 1= High: $2 = Moderate$: $3 = Poor$: Estimated Remaining Years = -10, 10 +, 20+, 40+: N/W/R = N(Height of Crown Clearance M N,S,E,W	1.8 S	1 W	3 W	4 S	3 W	1.5 E	0	1 S	0	0
ed Developme NTS BS58 = Normal: F = at Recommende Estimated R	Branch Spread Approx. M	N: 3 S: 3 E: 3 W: 3	N: 2 S: 2 E: 1 W: 3	N: 2 S: 2 E: 4 W: 3	N: 2 S: 3 E: 3 W:2	N: 2 S: 3 E: 2 W: 2	N: 3 S: 3 E: 4 W: 4	N: 3 8: 1 W: 2 W: 2	N: 1 W: 3 W: 3	N: 3 S: 3 E: 4 W: 4	ж. Ж. Ж.
VEY: Proposition VEY: Proposition VCONSULTA CONSULTA Condition N- Detection Test ure ERV =	Height approx M	7	6	6	10	6	15	14	13	13	&
GROUND LEVEL TREE SURVEY : Proposed Development at Rigifa Farm, Cove, Aberdeen CARRIED OUT BY MACKAY CONSULTANTS BS5837:2012 – Trees in Relation to Design. Physio Cond. = Physiological Condition N= Normal: F = Fair: P = Poor : U = Remove Recommended: DDT = Decay Detection Test Recommended: WLP =Wild Life Potential 1= Hi M = Mature: OM = Over Mature ERV = Estimated Remaining Years = -10, 10+, 20+,	Species	Common Alder	Sitka Spruce	Sitka Spruce	Sitka Spruce	Sitka Spruce	Sitka Spruce	Sitka Spruce D/S	Sitka Spruce	Sitka Spruce D/S	Sitka Spruce D/S
GROUND CARRIED Physio CC Recommen M = Matur	Tree Ref No	04153	154	155	156	157	158	159	160	161	04162

/ 2 - 6 C / rly Mature :	R.P.A Radius of a nominal circle	(M ²⁾ 7.1	0	6.4	6.6	2.4	4.8	2.6	4.0	2.9	2.8
85 WEATHER: Showery, Dull, Breezy 2 - 6 C //S = Remove Dead Wood & Snags m: M/S = Multi Stem: AS = Aerial Survey Y = Young: SM = Semi Mature: EM = Early Mature : Survey valid until 31/01/2019	Grading Category	C 2	Þ	C 2	C 2	C 2	C 2	C 2	C 2	C 2	C 2
WEATHER: Showery, Dull Remove Dead Wood & Snags I/S = Multi Stem: AS = Acrial oung: SM = Semi Mature: E vey valid until 31/01/2019	ERY	20 +	0	20+	20+	20 +	20+	20 +	20 +	20 +	20 +
URVEY No. 465/685 WEATHER: Showery, Dull, Breezy SNT: DEP D/W/S= Remove Dead Wood & Snags D/S= Double Stem: M/S= Multi Stem: AS = Aerial Survey AGE CLASS Y= Young: SM = Semi Mature: EM = Ear red at this time. Survey valid until 31/01/2019	age	N/W/R	REMOVE	Lift canopy to 2 M	Lift canopy to 1.5 M	Lift canopy to 1.5 M	Lift canopy to 1.5 M	Lift canopy to 1.5 M	Lift canopy to 1.5 M	N/W/R	N/W/R
: 9/02/2018 S CLJF Clearance: Work Requi	Structural Condition	Fair	Suppressed & dying	Fair	Fair	Fair	Fair	Fair	Fair	Fair	Fair
OF SURVEY ition & Constru CC = Height of C Moderate: 3 = P N/W/R	Physio Cond.	Fair	Poor	Fair	Fair	Fair	Fair	Fair	Fair	Fair	Fair
deen DATE Design, Demoli Remove : HC 1= High: 2 = 1 20+ , 40+:	Age Class	SM	SM	SM	SM	SM	SM	SM	SM	SM	SM
LETREE SUKVEY: Proposed Development at Rigifa Farm, Cove, Aberdeen DATE OF SURVEY 1, 8, BY MACKAY CONSULTANTS BS337:2012 - Trees in Relation to Design, Demolition & Construction Physiological Condition N= Normal: $F = Fair$: $P = Poor$: U = Remove : HCC = Height of Crow DDT = Decay Detection Test Recommended: WLP =Wild Life Potential 1 = High: 2 = Moderate: 3 = Poor: $d = Over Mature ERY = Estimated Remaining Years = -10, 10 + , 20 + , 40+$: N/W/R = N	Stem Diam at 1.5M AGL CM *	37/22	14	37/16	33/22	20	40	22	33	24	23
I Development at Rigifa Farm, Cove, Ab TS BS5837:2012 - Trees in Relation t Vormal: F = Fair: P = Poor : U = Recommended: WLP =Wild Life Potenti Estimated Remaining Years = -10, 10+,	Height of Crown Clearance M N,S,E,W	0	1 N	0	0	3 S	1.2 E	5 N	1.8 W	0	0
sed Developmer NTS BS58 = Normal: F = st Recommende Estimated R	Branch Spread Approx. M	Х. Х. Щ. Х. К. А. З. Х. 4 4 3. 3	N: 0.50 S: 0.50 E: 0.50 W: 0.50	N: 5 S: 4 E: 5 W: 5	N: 4 S: 4 E: 4 W:3	N: 2 S: 3 W: 4 W: 4	N: 4 S: 4 W: 5	N: 4 S: 4 E: 4 W: 4	N: 4 8: 4 8: 3 8: 3	N: 3 S: 3 E: 2 W: 3	N: 5 S: 2 W: 3 W: 3
VEY: Propo CONSULTA CONSULTA Condition N Detection Te re ERY =	Height approx M	14	7	13	14	12	14	13	12	6	10
	Species	Sitka Spruce D/S	Sitka Spruce	Sitka Spruce D/S	Sitka Spruce D/S	Sitka Spruce	Sitka Spruce	Sitka Spruce	Sitka Spruce	Sitka Spruce	Sitka Spruce
CARRIED OUT CARRIED OUT Physio Cond. = Recommended: M = Mature: ON	Free Ref No	04163	164	165	166	167	168	169	170	171	04172

2 - 6 C ly Mature :	R.P.A Radius of a nominal circle	2.6	2.6	2.3	3.2	3.6	2.4	2.3	3.0	6.1	
WEATHER: Showery, Dull, Breezy 2 - 6 C temove Dead Wood & Snags S = Multi Stem: AS = Aerial Survey ung: SM = Semi Mature: EM = Early Matu	Grading Category	C 2	C 2	C 2	C 2	C 2	C 2	C 2	C 2	C 2	
ER: Showe bead Wood δ i Stem: AS I = Semi Ma	ERY	20+	20+	20+	20 +	20+	20+	20+	20+	20 +	
No. 465/685 WEATHER: Showery, Dull, Breezy 2 - 6 C 2 D/W/S = Remove Dead Wood & Snags 2 D/W/S = Remove Dead Wood & Snags 2 D/W/S = Multi Stem: AS = Aerial Survey 2 MS = Multi Stem: AS = Aerial Survey 2 MS = Semi Mature: EM = Early Mature : 5 fine. Survey valid mutil 31/01/2010	age Ann	Lift canopy to 1.5 M	Lift canopy to 1.5 M	Lift canopy to 1.5 M	Lift canopy to 1.5 M	N/W/R	N/W/R	Lift canopy to 1.5 M	Lift canopy to 1.5 M	Lift canopy to 1.M	
Ifa Farm, Cove, Aberdeen DATE OF SURVEY 1, 8 & 9/02/2018 SURVEY No. 465/685 WEATHER: Showery, Dull, Breezy Trees in Relation to Design, Demolition & Construction CLIENT: DEP D/W/S = Remove Dead Wood & Snags P = Poor: U = Remove : HCC = Height of Crown Clearance: D/S = Double Stem: M/S = Multi Stem: AS = Aerial Survey =Wild Life Potential 1= High: 2 = Moderate: 3 = Poor: AGE CLASS Y = Young: SM = Semi Mature: EM = Ear Years = -10, 10 +, 20+, 40+: N/W/R = No Work Required at fits time Survey visid mutt 31/01/2010	Structural Condition	Fair	Fair	Fair	Fair	Fair	Fair	Fair	Fair	Fair	
: OF SURVE ition & Cons C = Height o Moderate: 3 = N/W/I	Physio Cond.	Fair	Fair	Fair	Fair	Fair	Fair	Fair	Fair	Fair	
deen DATE Design, Demoli Remove : HC I 1= High: 2 = N 20+ , 40+:	Age Class	SM	SM	SM	SM	SM	SM	SM	SM	SM	
m, Cove, Aberde in Relation to De boor : U = Rei Life Potential 1 = -10, 10+, 20	Stem Diam at 1.5M AGL CM *	22	24	19	27	30	20	19	25	16	
I Development at Rigifa Farm, Cove, Aber TS BS5837:2012 – Trees in Relation to Vormal: $F = Fair$: $P = Poor$: $U = 1$ Recommended: WLP =Wild Life Potential Estimated Remaining Years = -10, 10+,	Height of Crown Clearance M N,S,E,W	5 W	5 E	6 E	5 W	0	1.5 W	4 W	3 E	3.5 E	
sed Developmer NNTS BS58 = Normal: F = st Recommende Estimated R	Branch Spread Approx. M	N: 2 S: 2 W: 2	N: 1 S: 3 E: 2 W: 2	N: 2 S: 2 W: 3 W: 3	N: 3 S: 3 W2 W2 W2	N: 2 S: 2 W: 4	N: 3 2 2 2 3 W: 2 2 3 W: 2 2 3 W: 2 3 3 W: 2 3 4 W: 2 4 W:	N: 2 W: 2 W: 2	N: 3 S: 4 E: 4 W: 3	N: 2 S: 1 W: 1 W: 1	
VEY: Propo / CONSULTA Condition N / Detection Te ure ERY =	Height approx M	6	6	10	11	10	11	10	13	6	
GROUND LEVEL TREE SURVEY : Proposed Development at Rigifa Farm, Cove, Aberdeen DATE OF SURVEY 1, 8 & CARRIED OUT BY MACKAY CONSULTANTS B55837:2012 - Tress in Relation to Design, Demolition & Construction Physio Cond. = Physiological Condition N= Normal: F = Fair: P = Poor: U = Remove : HCC = Height of Crown Recommended: DDT = Decay Detection Test Recommended: WLP = Wild Life Potential 1= High: 2 = Moderate: 3 = Poor: M = Mature: OM = Over Mature ERV = Estimated Remaining Years = -10, 10 +, 20 +, 40+: NWYR = No	Species	Sitka Spruce	Sitka Spruce	Sitka Spruce	Sitka Spruce	Sitka Spruce	Sitka Spruce	Sitka Spruce	Sitka Spruce	Sitka Spruce	
GROUND CARRIED Physio Ci Recommen M = Matur	Tree Ref No	04173	174	175	176	177	178	179	180	04181	

	1						-				
U/S = Double Stem: M/S = Multi Stem: AS = Aerial Survey AGE CLASS Y = Young: SM = Semi Mature: EM = Early Mature : red at this time. Survey valid until 31/01/2019	R.P.A Radius of a nominal circle	5.0 5.0	2.2	2.3	6.7	6.6	2.6	7.2	4.1	1.8	
- roung: SM = Scmt Mature: EM = Ear Survey valid until 31/01/2019	Grading Category	C 2	C 2	C 2	C 2	C 2	C 2	C 2	C 2	C 2	
	ERY	20+	20+	20+	20+	20+	20 +	20+	20 +	20+	
	Preliminary Management Recommendations	Remove smaller stems	Lift canopy to 3.M	Lift canopy to 3.M	Lift canopy to 2.M	Lift canopy to 2.M & remove smaller stem	Lift canopy to 1.5.M	Lift canopy to 1.5.M & remove concrete from under canopy	Lift canopy to 1.5.M	Lift canopy to 1.5.M	
	Structural Condition	Leaning slightly	Fair	Fair	Fair	Fair	Fair	Fair	Fair	Fair	
	Physio Cond.	Fair	Fair	Fair	Fair	Fair	Fair	Fair	Fair	Fair	
	Age Class	SM	SM	SM	SM	SM	SM	SM	SM	SM	
	Stem Diam at 1.5M AGL CM *	27/17/8	18	19	30/26	33/12	22	40/20	34	15	
	Height of Crown Clearance M N,S,E,W	0	1.8 S	1 S	0	0	2 E	0	L L	0	
	Branch Spread Approx. M	N: 3 S: 4 E: 4 W: 4	X: I X: 1 W: 2 V: 2	X: 2 Z: W: 2 Z Z: W: 2 Z Z	N: 4 S: 4 E: 5 W:4	N: 5 S: 5 E: 6 W: 5	N: 3 S: 4 E: 4 W: 5	N: 4 S: 4 W: 3 S	N: 4 E: 4 W: 4 E: 4	N: 2 S: 2 W: 2 W: 2	
	Height approx M	14	10	12	16	16	15	16	14	6	
	Species	Sitka Spruce M/S	Sitka Spruce	Sitka Spruce	Sitka Spruce D/S	Sitka Spruce D/S	Sitka Spruce	Sitka Spruce	Sitka Spruce	Sitka Spruce	
5	l ree Ref No	04182	183	184	185	86	187	188	189	04190	

Jourvey value untul 31/01/2019 iinary ERY Grading R.P.A gement Category Radius of imendations a nominal	(M ²⁾ 2.4	0	3.6	2.3	3.4	23	3.6	0	3.1	3.8
Grading Category	C 2	n	C 2	C 2	C 2	C 2	C 2	n	C 2	C 2
ERY	20+	0	20 +	20+	20+	20+	20+	0	20+	20+
Preliminary Management Recommendations	Lift canopy to 1.5.M	REMOVE	N/W/R	Lift canopy to 1.5.M	Lift canopy to 1.5.M	Lift canopy to 1.5.M	N/W/R	REMOVE	Lift canopy to 1.5.M	N/W/R
Structural Condition	Fair	Suppressed	Fair	Fair	Fair	Fair	Fair	Dead	Fair	Fair
Physio Cond.	Fair	Poor	Fair	Fair	Fair	Fair	Fair	Poor	Fair	Fair
Age Class	SM	SM	SM	SM	SM	SM	SM	0	SM	SM
Stem Diam at 1.5M AGL CM *	20	18	30	19	28	19	30	20	26	32
Height of Crown Clearance M N,S,E,W	1 W	9 N	0	8 8	M L	4 S	0	0	1.8 S	7 W
Spread Approx. M	N: 2 S: 3 W: 3 W: 3	N: 1 8: 2 2: 2 2: 2 2: 2	ж. Ж. 4 к 4 к 4 к 4 к 4 к	N: 1 E: 1 W:2	N: 3 S: 4 W: 4 W: 4	X 2 3 2 X 2 3 2 X 5 3 7	N: S:	,,,, 究究道	2 5 2 2 2 5 2 2	ы К К К К К К К К К К К К К К К К К К К
approx M	12	10	12	11	12	11	13	12	14	16
	Sitka Spruce	Sitka Spruce	Sitka Spruce	Sitka Spruce	Sitka Spruce	Sitka Spruce	Sitka Spruce	Sitka Spruce	Sitka Spruce	Sitka Spruce
Ref No	04191	192	193	193	195	196	197	198	199	04200

AGE CLASS Y = Young: SM = Semi Mature: EM = Early Mature : red at this time. Survey valid until 31/01/2019 ERV Grading R.P.A Preliminary ERV Grading R.P.A Management ERV Grading R.P.A Recommendations a	nominal circle (M ²⁾ 3.7	0	2.2	2.0	3.5	4.6	3.0	2.3	2.8	3.5
2019 Grading Category	C 2	n	C 2	C 2	C 2	C 2	C 2	C 2	C 2	C 2
ERY	20+	0	20+	20+	20 +	20 +	20 +	20 +	20+	20 +
Preliminary Management Recommendations	N/W/R	REMOVE	Lift canopy to 1.5 M	Lift canopy to 1.5 M	Remove smaller stem	Remove smaller stem & lift canopy to 1.5 M	N/W/R	Lift canopy to 1.5 M	Lift canopy to 1.5 M	N/W/R
Structural Condition	Fair	Suppressed	Fair	Fair	Fair	Fair	Fair	Fair	Fair	Fair
Physio Cond.	Fair	Poor	Fair	Fair	Fair	Fair	Fair	Fair	Fair	Fair
Age Class	SM	SM	SM	SM	SM	SM	SM	SM	SM	SM
Stem Diam at 1.5M AGL CM *	31	23	18	17	20/9	30/8	25	19	23	29
Height of Crown Clearance M	N,S,E,W 0	9 E	7 E	ы 8	0	0	6 S	6 S	1 W	0
Branch Spread Approx. M	5 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2		1	N: 3 S: 4 W:4 W:4	R: 3 8: 3 8: 5 4: 4	N: 6 S: 4 W: 5		к К К К К К К К К К К К К К К К К К К К	Ж. 5 3 2 Ж. 5 3 2 4 2 3 2	N: 3 S: 3 W: 4 W: 4
Height approx M	13	14	13	13	15	16	15	10	11	6
Species	Sitka Spruce	Sitka Spruce	Sitka Spruce	Sitka Spruce	Sitka Spruce D/S	Sitka Spruce D/S	Sitka Spruce	Sitka Spruce	Sitka Spruce	Sitka Spruce
l rce Ref No	04201	202	203	204	205	206	207	208	209	04210

C ture :	R.P.A Radius of a nominal circle	â							·		
zy 2-61 ey arly Mat	R.P.A Radiu a nomin circle	3.2 (M ²⁾	2.5	3.5	4.0	2.6	3.7	3.6	0	2.3	2.6
 WEATHER: Showery, Dull, Breezy 2 - 6 C V/S = Remove Dead Wood & Snags m: M/S = Multi Stem: AS = Aerial Survey Ye Young: SM = Semi Mature: EM = Early Mature : Survey valid until 31/01/2019 	Grading Category	C 2	C 2	C 2	C 2	C 2	C 2	C 2	n	C 2	C 2
THER: Show Dead Wood Iti Stem: AS M = Semi M I until 31/01/	ERY	20+	20+	20+	20 +	20 +	20 +	20+	0	20+	20+
URVEY No. 465/685 WEATHER: Showery, Dull, Breezy SNT: DEP D/W/S = Remove Dead Wood & Snags D/S = Double Stem: M/S = Multi Stem: AS = Aerial Survey AGE CLASS Y = Young: SM = Semi Mature: EM = Ear red at this time. Survey valid until 31/01/2019	1585	N/W/R	N/W/R	N/W/R	N/W/R	N/W/R	N/W/R	N/W/R	REMOVE	N/W/R	N/W/R
9/02/2018 S CLII Clearance: Work Requi	Structural Condition	Fair	Fair	Fair	Fair	Fair	Fair	Fair	Dead	Fair	Fair
E OF SURVEY lition & Constru CC = Height of C Moderate: 3 = P NW/R	Physio Cond.	Fair	Fair	Fair	Fair	Fair	Fair	Fair	Poor	Fair	Fair
deen DAT Design, Demo Remove : H 1= High: 2 = 20+ , 40+:	Age Class	SM	SM	SM	SM	SM	SM	SM	SM	SM	SM
L TREE SURVEY : Proposed Development at Rigifa Farm, Cove, Aberdeen DATE OF SURVEY 1, 8. BY MACKAY CONSULTANTS BSS837:2012 - Trees in Relation to Design, Demolition & Constructio. Physiological Condition N= Normal: F = Fair: P = Poor: U = Remove : HCC = Height of Crow DDT = Decay Detection Test Recommended: WLP =Wild Life Potential 1= High: 2 = Moderate: 3 = Poor: M = Over Mature ERY = Estimated Remaining Years = -10, 10+, 20+, 40+: N/W/R = N	Stem Diam at 1.5M AGL CM *	27	21	29	33	22	31	30	26	19	22
J Development at Rigifa Farm, Cove, Ab. TS BS5837:2012 - Trees in Relation t Vormal: F = Fair: P = Poor: U = Recommended: WLP =Wild Life Potenti Estimated Remaining Years = -10, 10+,	Height of Crown Clearance M N,S,E,W	0	1 W	1.8 W	0	4 W	5 W	6 S	0	8 W	8 E
sed Developme NNTS BS5t Normal: F: st Recommende Estimated R	Branch Spread Approx. M	N: 2 S: 3 E: 3 W: 4	N: 4 S: 3 W: 4	N: 3 S: 2 E: 3 W: 4.5	N: 4 S: 4 E: 4 W:4	N: 2 S: 2 W: 3 W: 3	N: 4 S: 4 W: 4 W: 4	N: 5 S: 4 E: 3 W: 4	ž i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i	ж Х ж а я К к к к	N: 2 S: 2 W: 2 W: 2
RVEY: Prope AY CONSULT/ Il Condition N ay Detection Te ture ERY =	Height approx M	14	13	14	12	12	16	15	12	15	15
	Species	Sitka Spruce	Sitka Spruce	Sitka Spruce	Sitka Spruce	Sitka Spruce	Sitka Spruce	Sitka Spruce	Sitka Spruce	Sitka Spruce	Sitka Spruce
GROUND LEVI CARRIED OUT Physio Cond. = Recommended: M = Mature: O1	I ree Ref No	04211	212	213	214	215	216	217	218	219	04220


2 - 6 C / Mature :	R.P.A Radius of a nominal circle	(M ²⁾ 3.8	7.2	
WEATHER: Showery, Dull, Breezy 2 - 6 C temove Dead Wood & Snags S = Multi Stem: AS = Aerial Survey ung: SM = Semi Mature: EM = Early Matu	Grading Category	C 2	C 2	
HER: Shower Dead Wood & i Stem: AS = 1 = Semi Mat	ERY	20+	20+	
# ≷ 2 2		N/W/R	N/W/R	
2 9/02/2018 SU CLIEN CLARATARCE: 1 1 Clearance: 1	Structural Condition	Fair	Fair	
OF SURVE tion & Const C = Height of foderate: 3 = N/W/I	Physio Cond.	Fair	Fair	
deen DATE Design, Demoli temove : HC 1= High: 2 = N 20+ , 40+:	Age Class	SM	SM	
pment at Rigifa Farm, Cove, Aberdeen DATE OF SURVEY 1, 8 & 9/0 BS5837:2012 - Trees in Relation to Design, Demolition & Construction F = Fair: P = Poor: U = Remove: HCC = Height of Crown Cle nended: WLP =Wild Life Potential 1= High: 2 = Moderate: 3 = Poor: fed Remaining Years = -10, 10 +, 20+, 40+: N/W/R = No W(Stem Diam at 1.5M AGL CM *	32	40/20	
nt at Rigifa Farr 37:2012 - Trees = Fair: P = P :d: WLP =Wild cmaining Years	Height of Crown Clearance M N,S,E,W	6 W	0	
ed Developmer NTS BS58 = Normal: F = it Recommende Estimated R	Branch Spread Approx. M	N: 3 S: 3 W: 4 W: 4	N: 4 S: 5 W: 5 W: 5	
VEY: Propos ' CONSULTA Condition N= Detection Tes are ERY=	Height approx M	14	15	
GROUND LEVEL TREE SURVEY : Proposed Development at Rigifa Farm, Cove, Aberdeen DATE OF SURVEY 1, 8 & CARRIED OUT BY MACKAY CONSULTANTS BSS37:2012 - Trees in Relation to Design, Demolition & Construction Physio Cond. = Physiological Condition N=Normal: F = Fair: P = Poor: U = Remove: HCC = Height of Crown Recommended: DDT = Decay Detection Test Recommended: WLP = Wild Life Potential 1= High: 2 = Moderate: 3 = Poor: M = Mature: OM = Over Mature ERY = Estimated Remaining Years = -10, 10 +, 20+, 40+: N/W/R = N/	Species	Sitka Spruce	Sitka Spruce D/S	
GROUND CARRIED Physio C Recommen M = Matur	Tree Ref No	04221	04222	

B S CategoriesATrees where retention is mostBTrees where retention is desiratedCTrees which could be retainedUTrees for removal

RIGIFA FARM, COVE, ABERDEEN FEBRUARY 2018

WILD LIFE POTENTIAL 1 = HIGH 2 = MODERATE 3 = POOR

 $\frac{1}{2}$



			17 71 1771	INEED IN NEUALION TO DESIGN, DEMONITOR & CONSTRUCTION	CONSTRUCTION KECUMMENDATIONS		REF 707/907
SPECIES APPROX NOS	Approx Heights M	Approx Diameter Cm At 1.5M	Age /Class	General Condition/ Remarks	te ti	Recommendations	BS CAT
Sycamore	4	5	Y	F/V	1	N/W/R	
Common Silver Birch	3 - 6	8-25	SM	F/V	30	N/W/R	
Hawthorn	1 - 4	3 - 5	Υ	F/V	3	N/W/R	
Common Alder	5 - 11	6 - 20	S	F/V	8	N/W/R	
Wych Elm	2 -5	6 - 20	SM	F/V	25	N/W/R	
Common Beech	2 - 4	6 - 12	Y	F/V	110	N/W/R	
Common Ash	8 - 10	15 - 20	SM	F/V	5	N/W/R	
Whitebeam	2-4	8-10	Y	F/V		N/WR	
Wild Cherry	6 - 8	8 - 10	Y	F/V	3	N/W/R	C

		-					
UNDERSTOREY -							
Grasses	Brambles	Common Gorse	Ramanas Roses				
Creeping Buttercup	Common Broom	Snowdrops	Fox gloves				
Y = Young							
SM = Semi - Mature	e.						
M = Mature							
M/S - MIII TY CTENA.							
B S CATEGORIES		×	= YOUNG: M = MATURE		<u>F/V = FAIR VIGOUR</u> N/W/R = N	N/W/R = No Work Required at this time	
	Trees where retention is most desirable		(high category)				
	Trees where retention is desirable	able	(moderate category)				
C Trees wh	Trees which could be retained		egory)				
	I LEES WHICH SHOULD BE FEMOVED	moved (Jell category	egory				

TITLE : Rigifa Farm, Cove, Aberdeenshire	Cove, Aberdeen					DATE12/02/18	Woodland Block B
WOODLAND SURVEY BS 5837:2012	Y BS 5837:20		TION TO De	TREES IN RELATION TO Design, Demolition & Construction	Construction RECOMMENDATIONS		REF 707/907
SPECIES APPROX NOS	Approx Heights M	Approx Diameter Cm	Age /Class	General Condition/	Approximate tree numbers	Recommendations	BS CAT
		At 1.5M		Remarks			
Sycamore	4	6 - 8	Y	F/V	3	N/W/R	C
Whitebeam	Э	6-8	Y	F/V	2	N/W/R	C
Common Ash	8	15	SM	F/V	T	N/W/R	C
Blackthorn	2	4-6	Y	F/V	2	N/W/R	C
			_				
							4
UNDERSTOREY -							
Grasses	Brambles	Common Gorse	Grasses	Lesser Hogweed			
					- 1		
					SM = Semi - Mature		
M = Mature					M = Mature		
MOTO IT TIM - 21 M		TO OFFICE A CONTRACT					
B S CATEGORIES		MUS = MULTISTEM: DIS = DUUBLESTEM : T = TUUNG: M = MATUKEBS CATEGORIES	<u>.: M = MAI</u>		F/V = FAIR VIGOUR N/W/R =	<u>N/W/R = No Work Required at this time</u>	
A Trees whe	Trees where retention is most desirable	ost desirable (high category)	egory)				
	Trees where retention is desirable	able	(moderate category)				
	Trees which could be retained		201Y)				
U Irees whi	I rees which should be removed	noved (fell category	gory				

TITLE : Rigifa Farm, Cove, Aberdeenshire	Cove, Aberdeen	shire			**************************************	DATE12/02/110 M/C	
WOODLAND SURVEY - BS 5837:2012	<u>Y - BS 5837:20</u>	I	VTION TODe	TREES IN RELATION TODesign, Demolition & Construction	Construction RECOMMENDATIONS	REF 707	
SPECIES APPROX NOS	Approx Heights M	Approx Diameter Cm	Age /Class	General Condition/	Approximate tree numbers	Recommendations	BS CAT
		AU-DM	-	Remarks			
Sycamore	2-6	8 - 10	Y	F/V	16	N/W/R	C
Common Silver Birch	8 - 10	12 - 15	SM	F/V	12	N/W/R	č
Common Alder	7	10	Y	F/V		N/W/R	<u>c</u>
Wych Elm	10 - 12	10 - 15	SM	F/V	7	N/W/R	C
Common Beech	6-8	10 - 12	SM	F/V	16	N/W/R	Ř
Common Ash	10	12	SM	F/V		N/W/R	
Whitebeam	4-6	8 - 10	Y	F/V	3	N/WR	
Common Larch	4-9	6-12	Y	F/V	17	N/W/R	
Sitka Spruce	6 - 9	8 - 24	SM		84	Thin out by 5% and lift canopy to 1.2M to balance of trees	C
					Addated by synthetic		Arrista
		****			*************		
UNDERSTOREY -							
Grasses	Brambles	Common Gorse					
Creeping Buttercup	Common Broom	Snowdrops	Fox gloves				
Y = Young							
SM = Semi - Mature							
M = Mature							
ARTER TO THAT A DAMA							
B S CATEGORIES	: D/S = D/OUBI	<u>M/S = MULTISTEM: D/S = DOUBLE STEM : Y = YOUNG: M = MATURE</u> B S CATEGORIES	<u>NG: M = MA</u>	FV	= FAIR VIGOUR N/W/R =	N/W/R = No Work Required at this time	
	<i><u>Irees where retention is most desirable</u></i>	able	(high category)		1		
	Trees where retention is desirable	able	(moderate category)				
C Trees which	Trees which could be retained		egory)				
_	LICCS WHICH SHOULD DE LEHUVED	IIUVEG (TEIL CALEGOLY	egory				

TITLE : Rigifa Farm, Cove, Aberdeenshire WOODLAND SURVEY BS 5837:2012	, Cove, Aberdeen 'Y BS 5837:20		LION TO Desis	TREES IN RELATION TO Design. Demolition & Construction	onstruction RECOMMEND A TIONS	DATE12/02/18 Wood	Woodland Block D
SPECIES APPROX NOS	Approx Heights M	da s s	Age /Class	General Condition/ Remarks	te tr	Recommendations	BS CAT
Sycamore	5 - 10	412	Υ	F/V	18	N/W/R	
Common Silver Birch	6 - 8	6 - 8	Y	F/V	3	N/W/R	
Common Alder	6 - 10	6 - 20	SM	F/V	3	N/W/R	
Wych Elm	4 - 10	8 - 22	SM	F/V	43	N/W/R	
Common Beech	4-9	6-12	Y	F/V	46	N/W/R	
Common Ash	8-10	10 - 20	SM	F/V	5	N/W/R	
Whitebeam	4 - 6	6 10	Y	F/V	2	N/W/R	
Wild Cherry	6 - 8	4-8	Y	F/V	6	N/W/R	
UNDERSTOREY -							
Grasses	Brambles	Common Rush	Fems				
$Y = Y_{0}$ ung							
SM = Semi - Mature							
M = Mature							
M/S = MULTI STEM: B S CATEGORIES	: D/S = DOUBLE STEM :	1	<u>Y = YOUNG: M = MATURE</u>	F/V	= FAIR VIGOUR N/W/R = No	N/W/R = No Work Required at this time	
	Trees where retention is most desirable	ost desirable (high category)	tegory)				
	Trees where retention is desirable	able	(moderate category)				
	Trees which could be retained		(<u>vrv</u>)				
U Irees whi	I rees which should be removed	noved (fell category	20rV				

WOODLAND SURVEY - BS 5837:2012	Y - BS 5837:2	2	VTION TO De	TREES IN RELATION TO Design, Demolition & Construction	Construction RECOMMENDATIONS	DATE12/02/18	Woodland Block E
SPECIES APPROX NOS	Approx Heights M	Approx Diameter Cm At 1.5M	Age /Class	General Condition/ Boundary	te tr	Recommendations	KEF 707/907 BS CAT
Common Silver Birch	2-4	8 - 10	٨	F/V	<u> </u>		
Hawthorn	2 - 4	6-8	-	E/V		N/W/R	C
Common Alder	6-8	8 - 10		E/V		N/W/R	C
Common Beech	2-4	6-8		F/V	4	N/W/R	c
Common Ash	2-4	6 - 8		E/V		N/W/R	B
Wild Cherry	2-4	6-8				N/W/R	C
Common Elder	2 - 4	6-8	-	F/V F/V	3	N/W/R	C
				A / Y	C7	N/W/R	C
					YYY		
UNDERSTOREY -							
Grasses	Brambles	Ferns					
		14 - Print P					
B S CATEGORIES							
Y = Young		a della constante della constante della constante della constante della constante della constante della constan					
M = Mature		·····					
MAG - MILL TI TA							ann an
$\frac{M/S}{R} = MULTISIEM; D/S = DOUBLESTEM ; Y$	D/S = DOUBL	E STEM : Y = YOUN	= YOUNG: M = MATURE	F/V	$= FAIR VIGOUR \qquad N/W/R = N_0$	<u>N/W/R = No Work Required</u>	
	to water tion is an						
	Trees where retention is fluet uestrable		tegory)				
	Trees which could be cotained	<u>aur</u>	(moderate category)				
	Trees which should be removed	noved (fall cotacory)	gory)				
			E 01 <i>J</i>	1994 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 -			
			a debining on the second se				
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							



ANGUS MACKAY

DEP Landscape Initiatives 17 Bidders Gait Lanark ML11 9FG

17 February 2017.

Our Ref 707/907

FAO MR STEVEN BRIDGE

PRINCIPAL LANDSCAPE ARCHITECT

Dear Sir

PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT AT RIGIFA FARM, ABERDEEN VISUAL TREE ASSESSMENT/GROUND LEVEL TREE SURVEY BS 5837:2012 --TREES IN RELATION TO DESIGN, DEMOLITION & CONSTRUCTION

We have now carried out a Ground Level Tree Survey/ Woodland surveys to all trees shown on drawing at the above site, and the report on our findings is now attached. The findings and recommendations in this report are valid for a period of 12 Months (i.e. 31/01 2019). Trees are living organisms, and as such are subject to change – it is recommended that trees be inspected on an annual basis for reasons of safety. The findings relate to the site, as it exists at present, and to the current levels and pattern of use. The degree of risk and hazard may alter should these aspects change.

Whilst every effort has been made to detect defects of trees within the survey area, no guarantee can be given as to the absolute safety or otherwise of any individual tree. Extreme climatic conditions can cause damage to apparently healthy trees. It is strongly recommended that the trees are inspected at regular intervals for reasons of safety.


This report has been prepared for the sole use of DEP Landscape Initiatives and their appointed agents. Any reference or reliance to this report or information therein by any other party is done so entirely at their own risk. No work should commence before permission from the Local Authority is granted

I trust this is satisfactory, but if you require any further information please do not hesitate to contact me


Yours faithfully N

Angus Mackay – – Landscape Consultants


PROJECT MANAGEMENT HORTICULTURE & ARBORICULTURE ADVISORY SERVICE ANGUS MACKAY, D.H.E., I.D.T., M.I.O.G., Cert. Arbor. 28 Ballater Drive, Bearsden, Glasgow G61 1BX Tel/Fax: 0141-942 7530 Mobile: 07860 836719 E-Mail: angus@mackayconsultants.com Website: www.mackayconsultants.com V.A.T. No. 481 7451 32




		LEG	END					
		Housing	development l	bound	lary			
		Mainstre	am housing					
		Affordab	le housing					
		Quarry b	ooundary					
	\	Rigifa Ho	ouse Farm					
			plosive loading fa Farm House					
			plosive loading fa Farm House					
			blosive loading housing devel		ent)			
			plosive loading housing deve		ent)			
		NO [*]	TES tograph and He	oucin	~			
			lied by MacTag					
Version	Revision and	l compilatior	notes		Date			
А	Draft				08.01.2018			
Client MacTaggart & Mickel								
Mac Laggart & Mickel Project Black Hills Quarry Vibration Impact Assessment								
Black Hills Quarry Vibration Impact Assessment Phase 1 development								
		BLA design, 1	AST LO	OC & con	J Ltd			
-4/1	Man	Upton House Market Stree Oxford OX7 United Kinga	t, Charlbury fax 3PJ e-mail	+44 (0) info@bl	1608 810374 1608 810093 astlog.co.uk astlog.co.uk			
Date 08.01.	2018 Draw	(n SH/DJM	Checked unchecked	Sca 1:40	le)00 @ A3			
Drawing	Ref	2	Drawing No		Version			




				LEG	END			
				Housing	developr	nent b	ounc	lary
				Mainstre	am hous	ing		
				Affordat	le housir	ıg		
				Quarry t	ooundary			
		4		Rigifa Ho	ouse Farr	n		
					olosive lo fa Farm I)	
					plosive lo fa Farm l)	
			_		plosive lo housing		opme	ent)
	_	_	_		plosive lo housing		opme	ent)
				NO	TES			
	-	-		verial Phot Plan supp				-
	Version	Revisi	on and	compilatior	n notes			Date
	A	Draft						08.01.2018
Client MacTaggart & Mickel								
Project Black Hills Quarry Vibration Impact Assessment								
Phase 2 development								
				BLA design, J	AST performa	L(ince &		DLtd npliance
		Ann		Upton House Market Stree Oxford OX7 United Kingc	et, Charlbury 3PJ	fax e-mail i	+44 (0) nfo@bl	1608 810374 1608 810093 astlog.co.uk astlog.co.uk
	Date 08.01.2	2017	Drawi Sl	n H/DJM	Checked unchec	ked	Sca 1:40	e)00 @ A3
1	Drawing I	Ref ZRIGI	ΕΔ171	2	Drawing N	√0 2		Version A




			LEG	END			
			Housing	developr	nent b	ounc	lary
			Mainstre	am hous	ing		
			Affordab	le housir	ıg		
			Quarry b	oundary			
	4		Rigifa Ho	ouse Farr	n		
				olosive lo fa Farm l)	
				olosive lo fa Farm I)	
		_		plosive lo housing		opme	ent)
_	_	_		plosive lo housing		opme	ent)
			NO	TES			
-	-		erial Phot Plan supp				-
Version	Revisi	on and	compilatior	notes			Date
A	Draft						08.01.2018
Client MacT	aggart	: & Mic	kel				
Project Black	K Hills C	Quarry	Vibration	Impact As	sessme	ent	
Phas	e 3 dev	/elopm	nent				
			BL A design, J	AST performa	L(unce &		B Ltd
-1	Ann		Upton House Market Stree Oxford OX7 United Kingo	t, Charlbury 3PJ	fax e-mail i	+44 (0) nfo@bl	1608 810374 1608 810093 astlog.co.uk astlog.co.uk
Date 08.01.2	2018	Drawi Sl	n H/DJM	Checked unchec	ked	Sca 1:40	e)00 @ A3
Drawing I	Ref ZRIGI	ΕΔ171	2	Drawing N	۷o 3		Version A




				<u>LEG</u>	END			
10 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1				Housing	developr	nent b	ounc	lary
A DESCRIPTION OF				Mainstre	am hous	ing		
No. of Lot of Lo				Affordat	le housir	ıg		
AND IN THE OWNER.				Quarry b	oundary			
A CONTRACTOR OF		4		Rigifa Ho	ouse Farr	n		
And a subscription of					olosive lo fa Farm ł)	
A REAL PROPERTY OF					olosive lo fa Farm H	-)	
	_				plosive lo housing		opme	ent)
A STREET OF			_		plosive lo housing		opme	ent)
A NUMBER OF STREET, ST								
ALC: NOT THE OWNER OF		_		NO				
A REAL PROPERTY AND INCOME.	-			verial Phot Plan supp				-
	Version	Revisio	on and	compilatior	n notes			Date
and the second	A	Draft						08.01.2018
and the second se								
10 M								
	Client MacT	aggart	& Mic	kel				
	Project Black	(Hills Q)uarry	Vibration	Impact As	sessme	ent	
10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 -	Phas	e 4 dev	velopm	nent				
				BL A design, J	AST performa	L(unce &		BLtd
		A		Upton House Market Stree Oxford OX7 United Kingo	t, Charlbury 3PJ	fax e-mail i	+44 (0) nfo@bl	1608 810374 1608 810093 astlog.co.uk astlog.co.uk
	Date 08.01.	2018	Drawi S	n H/DJM	Checked unchec	ked	Sca 1:40	le)00 @ A3
	Drawing	Ref ZRIGII	A171	2	Drawing N	No 4		Version A



				<u>LEG</u>	END			
				Housing	developi	nent b	ounc	lary
				Mainstre	am hous	ing		
a state a second				Affordat	ole housir	ng		
AND N I				Quarry t	ooundary			
		4		Rigifa Ho	ouse Farr	n		
A COLUMN TWO IS NOT					olosive lo fa Farm l)	
A DESCRIPTION OF TAXABLE PARTY.					plosive lo fa Farm l	-)	
	_	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , 	_		olosive lo housing		opme	ent)
A COMPANY OF THE OWNER.			_		plosive lo housing		opme	ent)
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1								
and the second se		_		NO				
A REAL PROPERTY AND INC.	-		-	erial Phot Plan supp	•			-
A. C.	Version	Revisi	on and	compilatior	n notes			Date
at the second	A	Draft						08.01.2018
and the second se								
A LINE AND								
100 C								
	Client MacT	aggart	: & Mic	kel				
	Project Black	(Hills C) Juarry	Vibration	Impact As	sessme	ent	
10 M	Phas	e 5 dev	/elopm	ient				
				BL A design, J	AST performa	L(ance &		J Ltd
	-1	A		Upton House Market Stree Oxford OX7 United Kingc	et, Charlbury 3PJ	fax e-mail i	+44 (0) nfo@bl	1608 810374 1608 810093 astlog.co.uk astlog.co.uk
	Date 08.01.2	2018	Drawr Sl	n H/DJM	Checked unchec	cked	Sca 1:40	le)00 @ A3
	Drawing I	Ref ZRIGII			Drawing I	√o 5		Version A



				<u>LEG</u>	END			
				Housing	developr	nent b	ounc	lary
				Mainstre	am hous	ing		
ALL				Affordat	ole housir	ng		
				Quarry t	oundary			
		4		Rigifa Ho	ouse Farr	n		
And in the second second					olosive lo fa Farm H)	
And in case of the local division of the loc					plosive lo fa Farm l)	
	_		-		olosive lo housing		opme	ent)
A CONTRACTOR OF THE OWNER			-		plosive lo housing		opme	ent)
ALC: NOT THE OWNER OF				NO				
A REAL PROPERTY OF				erial Phot Plan supp				
	Version	Revisio	on and	compilatior	n notes			Date
-	A	Draft						08.01.2018
	Client MacT	aggart	& Mic	kel				
	Project Black	: Hills Q)uarry	Vibration	Impact As	sessme	ent	
	Phas	e 6 dev	velopm	nent				
				BLA design, j	AST performa	L(ince &		Ltd
	-1	M		Upton House Market Stree Oxford OX7 United Kingc	et, Charlbury 3PJ	fax e-mail i	+44 (0) nfo@bl	1608 810374 1608 810093 astlog.co.uk astlog.co.uk
	Date 08.01.2	2018	Drawr Sl	ר H/DJM	Checked unchec	ked	Sca 1:40	e)00 @ A3
	Drawing I	Ref ZRIGII	- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	2	Drawing N	₩0 6		Version A



				LEG	END			
				Housing	developr	nent b	ounc	lary
				Quarry t	ooundary			
		4		Rigifa Ho	ouse Farr	n		
					n level of Ice level f es			95%
					n level of nce level f es			95%
				NO	TEC			
•				NO Aerial Phot Plan supp				
• Ve		velopn	nent l	Aerial Phot	tograph a lied by M			
• Ve	De	velopn	nent l	Aerial Phot Plan supp	tograph a lied by M			& Mickel
• Ve	De	Revisio	nent l	Aerial Phot Plan supp	tograph a lied by M			& Mickel Date
• Ve	De	Revisio	nent l	Aerial Phot Plan supp	tograph a lied by M			& Mickel Date
• Ve	De	Revisio	nent l	Aerial Phot Plan supp	tograph a lied by M			& Mickel Date
	De	Revisio	nent l	Aerial Phot Plan supp	tograph a lied by M			& Mickel Date
Cliv	De ersion A ent MacT	Revisio	nent I	Aerial Phot Plan supp	tograph a lied by M			& Mickel Date
Cliv	De ersion A ent MacT oject	Revisio Draft	ent I	Aerial Phot Plan supp	n notes	acTag	gart	& Mickel Date
Cliv	De ersion A ent MacT Black	Revisio Draft	ent I 8 Mic	Aerial Phot Plan supp	Impact As	acTag	gart	& Mickel Date 23.05.2018
Cliv	De ersion A ent MacT Oject Blackk	Revisio Draft	ent I 8 Mic	Aerial Phot Plan supp compilation ckel Vibration m/s vibratio	Impact As	acTag seessme a 95%		& Mickel Date 23.05.2018 idence
Cliv	De ersion A ent MacT Oject Blackk	Revisio Draft	ent I 8 Mic	Aerial Phot Plan supp compilation ckel Vibration h/s vibratio	Impact As on level at A ST performa	acTag seessme a 95%	ent c conf +44 (0) +44 (0) nfo@bl	& Mickel Date 23.05.2018 idence
Clin	De ersion A ent MacT Black Predi level	raggart a Hills Q ction o	8 Mic Quarry f 6mm	Aerial Phot Plan supp compilation compilation ckel Vibration tkel vibration by vibratio by vibratio ckel vibration ckel vibration ckel vibration ckel	Impact As on level at A ST performa	acTag seessme a 95%	ent conf conf conf conf conf conf conf conf	& Mickel Date 23.05.2018 idence idence lastasiog.co.uk astlog.co.uk



- - ROAD TYPE 1 2m/ 6m/2m (PRIMARY ROAD)
- ____ ROAD TYPE 2 2m/ 5.5m/2m (SECONDARY ROAD)
- ROAD TYPE 3 2m/ 4.8m/1.2m/2m (TERTIARY ROAD)

Area 1 - House Type Schedule

7 No 22 No 23 No 15 No 8 No 17 No 8 No	Adam (5 bed) Bryce Semi (3 bed) Bryce Detached (3 bed) Douglas (4 bed) Miller (4 bed) Aff. Terrace (2/3 bed) Affordable 600 sq.ft 2 bed cottage flat 2 storey	(A) (B1) (B2) (D) (M) (T) (F)
--	---	---

100 No

				MA Bi Bi D D Bi Bi M D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D	
Area 1	Bon Bon	Solo 75m	coltr (on) Born	CORE PATH 60m	
				NO	RTH
ule ea - 9.5 ha	EVELOPMENT - 16	3 UNITS			
its ben market dable Flatte rdable Ter				47 Victoria Street, Al T : (01224) 639295	May 2018 Date AYLOR ARCHITECTS berdeen, AB10 1QA F : (01224) 637956 W: www.mrtarchitects.com
Are	a 2 - House Type S	chedule		RESIDENTIAL D	EVELOPMENT
18 1 6 1 24 1	No Aff. Terraco No	e (2/3 bed)	(B1) (T)	RIGIFA FARM COVE, ABERDEEN Drawing Indicative Site Plan Dr	rawing
1No 18 N 5 No 4 No 2 No 10 N 40 N	No Bryce Šem D Bryce Deta D Douglas (4 D Miller (4 be No Aff. Terrace	ed) i (3 bed) ched (3 bed) bed) ed)	(A) (B1) (B2) (D) (M) (T)	Scale 1:2000@A3/1: Status Info Date March 2018 Project No. 2064	Drawing No. L(00)011



Aberdeen Local Development Plan review Pre-Main Issues Report Consultation

Land at Rigifa Farm, Cove Transport and Access Appraisal Report

May 2018

Prepared for:

Mactaggart & Mickel Homes Limited

Prepared by:

Transport Planning Ltd 93 George Street EDINBURGH EH2 3ES

www.tranplanworld.co.uk

Co	ntents	Page
1.	INTRODUCTION	1
	Background	1
	Report content	1
2.	EXISTING TRANSPORT NETWORK	2
	Introduction	2
	Pedestrians and cyclists	2
	Bus services	3
	Private car	5
	Summary	5
3.	POTENTIAL FOR DEVELOPMENT ON ALLOCATION SITE	6
	Introduction	6
	Development layout	6
	Transport demand	6
4.	SUMMARY	8

1. INTRODUCTION

Background

1.1 Transport Planning Ltd has been appointed to advise on transport-related issues associated with the potential allocation for residential development of land to the south of Cove Road in Aberdeen City Council's (ACC's) review of their adopted Local Development Plan (LDP).

Report content

- 1.2 Following this introduction, the remainder of the report will consider the following:
 - Existing Transport Network: describes the existing transport infrastructure in and around the potential allocation site; and
 - Potential for Development on Allocation Site: provides information on the potential development area in terms of its potential links to the existing transport network and any associated transport infrastructure.
 - Conclusions.

2. EXISTING TRANSPORT NETWORK

Introduction

- 2.1 This section of the report discusses the existing transport network surrounding the site. The transport network has been considered using the following hierarchy as described in Scottish Planning Policy (SPP):
 - pedestrians;
 - cyclists;
 - public transport; and
 - private car.
- 2.2 Figure 1 in Appendix A shows the location of the proposed allocation site and Figure 2 in Appendix A shows the surrounding transport network. The site is bounded to the north by Cove Road, to the east by existing houses served from Cove Crescent, to the south by a quarry and to the west by an existing road leading southward from Cove Road.

Pedestrians and cyclists

2.3 There is a footway on the northern side of Cove Road as it passes the proposed allocation site. This footway provides a link to existing residential areas served to the north of Cove Road. There is a footway on the southern side of Cove Road, but its western extent is at the western edge of the existing houses served by Creel Road immediately to the east of the proposed allocation site.



Footway on northern side of Cove Road

2.4 The footway on the northern side of Cove Road links with the footways on each side of Charleston Drive and Charleston Road. Charleston Road provides a link

northwards towards the A956 Wellington Road and a local centre. It also provides a route to Charleston Primary School, which would be around a three-minute walk from the northernmost edge of the proposed allocation site.

2.5 Core Path 78 leads southwards from Cove Road and passes through the proposed allocation site. A copy of the Core Path plan is provided in Appendix B. Core Path 78 provides a route to the residential areas served from Cove Crescent and Cove Gardens and emerges on Cove Road at the junction with Loriston Road, where there is a local shop. It would be around an 11-minute walk (around 950m) from the eastern edge of the proposed allocation site to this shop.



Core Path 78 to south of Cove Road

2.6 A shared-use pedestrian and cycle path leads from the north of Cove Road (in the vicinity of where Core Path 78 meets it) and emerges onto Charleston Drive around 130m north of its junction with Cove Road. This would provide a more direct route for pedestrians between the part of the proposed development north of Core Path 78 and the existing residential area served from Charleston Drive.



Shared-use path north of Cove Road

- 2.7 A shared-use pedestrian and cycle path runs from the road forming the western boundary of the proposed allocation site westwards to the Aberdeen Gateway business park to the west. This path starts on the opposite side of the road from the southwestern corner of the proposed allocation site and emerges on Gateway Drive at the eastern edge of the business park. It would be around a three-minute walk from the southwestern corner of the proposed allocation site to the business park using this route.
- 2.8 Cove Road forms part of National Cycle Network (NCN) Route 1, which is a longdistance route linking Dover and the Shetland Islands via the east coast of England and Scotland. More local to the proposed allocation site it links Portlethen with Cove and Aberdeen city centre. It runs on-street on Cove Road and on the road running to the south of Cove Road and which forms the western boundary of the proposed allocation site.
- 2.9 ACC and Aberdeen Cycle Forum produce maps showing cycle routes in the city and a copy of the map for the area around the proposed allocation site is provided in Appendix B. The map shows the route of NCN Route 1 in the area and also recommended cycle routes, such as the segregated path leading from Cove Road (around 380m east of the norther edge of the proposed allocation site) and emerging on Langdykes Road, from where paths lead to the Altens industrial area.

Bus services

2.10 The section of Cove Road passing the proposed development is Served by First's service number 3. This routes along Cove Road in both directions and does a clockwise loop around Charleston Drive. The route services Mastrick, Aberdeen Royal Infirmary, Bridge Street and Guild Street in Aberdeen City Centre, Nigg and Cove. It operates every 10 minutes during weekday daytimes, every 12 minutes during Saturday daytimes and every 30 minutes during Sunday daytimes and Monday to Sunday evenings.

- 2.11 The nearest bus stops to the proposed allocation site are on Cove Road around 100m to the west of the western edge of the proposed allocation site (for westbound services) and at the northern edge of the proposed allocation site (for eastbound services).
- 2.12 Further bus services are available serving stops on Charleston Road within around 100m of its junction with Cove Road. These stops are served by First's 18 service which serves Dyce, Danestone, Tillydrone Road, Aberdeen city centre, Kincorth, Redmoss and Charleston. It operates every 20 minutes Monday to Saturday daytime until the early evening.

Private car

2.13 Near to the proposed allocation site, Cove Road is a single carriageway road with one lane in each direction, although with localised widening to form right turn lanes at its junction with Charleston Road. It provides a route from Cove to Wellington Road and onwards to the A956 and the A90 at the Charleston interchange. It is covered by street lighting and has a 30mph speed limit, although this reduces to 20mph around the junction with Charleston Road given the proximity to the primary school.

Summary

2.14 The information above shows that the surrounding transport network includes facilities for pedestrians (in the form of the footways on Cove Road and Charleston Road and the Core Path network), cyclists (in the form of NCN Route 1) and bus passengers (in the form of the 3 and 18 services on Cove Road).

3. POTENTIAL FOR DEVELOPMENT ON ALLOCATION SITE

Introduction

3.1 This section of the report provides initial comments on potential transport issues associated with the proposed allocation site.

Development layout

- 3.2 An indicative layout of development on the proposed allocation site is shown in Appendix B. It shows potential development in three parcels, each with their own access onto Cove Road. Indicative layouts for these accesses are shown in drawings SK001, 002 and 003 in Appendix B. Each of these drawings show that visibility splays of 4.5m by 90m can be provided onto Cove Road.
- 3.3 The indicative layout also shows that an additional vehicle access could be provided between the northern portion of development on the proposed allocation site and Creel Place in the existing development to the north. The 3 bus service currently routes along Cove Crescent, from which Creel Place is accessed, so it may be possible to reroute the 3 service along Creel Place and through the northern part of the proposed allocation site onto Cove Road, subject to the agreement of the bus operator and the roads being to an appropriate standard.
- 3.4 The existing Core Path would be incorporated into the layout of the northern portion of the proposed allocation site with footpath connections between development on this portion and the Core Path. A footway would be provided along the southern edge of Cove Road as it passes the frontage of the proposed allocation site. A footway would also be provided along part of the western portion of the proposed allocation site, where it fronts onto the existing road. This would link with the footpaths within this portion and provide a route for pedestrians to the path to the west leading to Aberdeen Gateway business park.

Transport demand

3.5 Data from the 2011 census was inspected to understand the mode of travel to work or study from residents of the existing houses adjoining the proposed allocation site. The data from the census relates to 'All people aged 4 and over who are studying or aged 16 to 74 in employment in the week before the census' and hence includes schoolchildren. That data is summarised in Table 3.1 below.

]	Proportion usin	ng mode			
Train	Bus, minibus or coach	Taxi or minicab	Driving a car or van	Passenger in a car or van	Motorcycle, scooter or moped	Bicycle	On foot	Other
0%	13%	1%	57%	13%	1%	2%	10%	4%

TABLE 3.1: DATA FROM CENSUS ON MODE OF TRAVEL TO WORK OR STUDY

3.6 The data in Table 3.1 above shows that slightly over half of residents of the existing houses to the north of the proposed allocation site drive on their journey to or from work or study, with around a quarter choosing to walk or catch a bus for that journey.


3.7 Should the proposed allocation site be allocated for residential development, then any subsequent planning application would be accompanied by a Transport Statement or Assessment which would consider the likely transport demand arising from the development and assess the effects of this on the surrounding transport network. This assessment would include a detailed analysis of the operation of the key junctions on the surrounding road network.


4. CONCLUSIONS

4.1 This report has shown that the proposed allocation site is well-situated for access to the surrounding transport network, including access by foot to key destinations such as Charleston Primary School. Satisfactory vehicle accesses can be provided. It is considered therefore that there are no transport-related reasons why the site cannot be allocated for residential development.

APPENDIX A

FIGURES





Proposed Residential Allocation Rigifa Farm, Cove

Accessibility Plan

Key

- A Site of Proposed Development
- B Charleston Primary School
- C Loirston Primary School
- Cove Bay Health Centre
- E Loirston Annex Community Centre
- F McColl's Convenience Store
- G Co-op Foodstore
- Core Path
- ····· Cycle Route
- Bus Stop/Route
- Train Station/Route
 - 400m Isochrone from Site
 - 800m Isochrone from Site
 - 1600m Isochrone from Site


ctaggart	and	Mickel	
----------	-----	--------	--

g Number 95 Figu		Scale: NTS @ A3
by:	Date: Mar 2018	Checked by:



APPENDIX B

DRAWINGS





KEY



Urban Area

2017 Update


Aberdeen Cycle Forum, its supporters and sponsors can accept no responsibility for the consequences of any errors or omissions arising from this map.

This map is based upon Ordnance Survey material with the permission of Ordnance Survey on behalf of the Controller of Her Majesty's Stationery Office © Crown copyright. Unauthorised reproduction infringes Crown copyright and may lead to prosecution or civil proceedings. Aberdeen City Council 100023401 2013.




2	Adam (5 bed)	(A)	
lo	Bryce Semi (3 bed)	(B1)	
lo	Bryce Detached (3 bed)	(B2)	
ю	Douglas (4 bed)	(D)	
C	Miller (4 bed)	(M)	
lo	Aff. Terrace (2/3 bed)	(T)	
)	Affordable 600 sq.ft	(F)	
	2 bed cottage flat		
	2 storey		
	•		

18 No 6 No	Bryce Semi (3 bec Aff. Terrace (2/ 3	
24 No		
Area 3 - House Type Schedul		
1No 18 No 5 No 4 No 2 No 10 No	Adam (5 bed) Bryce Semi (3 bed) Bryce Detached (3 Douglas (4 bed) Miller (4 bed) Aff. Terrace (2/ 3 b	



g Number:		Scale:
11/SK/00	1	1:500 @ A3
by:	^{Date:} May 2017	Checked by: AS



	Scale:
2	1:500 @ A3
^{Date:} May 2017	Checked by: AS
	Date:

