

## ABERDEEN CITY COUNCIL

---

**COMMITTEE: Resources Management                    DATE: 25 November 2008**

### **REPORT BY CHIEF EXECUTIVE & CITY CHAMBERLAIN**

### **FINANCIAL STRATEGY - 2009/10 REVENUE BUDGET**

---

#### **1. PURPOSE OF REPORT**

The purpose of this report is to:-

- a) inform members of the current and forecast position regarding the Council's financial position.
- b) seek members' agreement to a range of service and financial changes which should be recommended to Council for approval in December 2008, in order to balance the 2009/10 revenue budget
- c) seek members' agreement on arrangements for fully balancing the revenue budget, to the extent of any shortfall not dealt with in the December meeting.
- d) seek members' agreement to a range of measures designed to improve future budget setting and monitoring.

#### **2. RECOMMENDATION(S)**

That members note:-

- a) The results of the 2007/8 outturn for revenue expenditure as compared to the approved budget for that year (Appendix 1)
- b) The latest forecast for 2008/9 of revenue expenditure compared to the approved budget. (Appendix 2)
- c) The current forecast of expenditure and income for 2009/10 and the need to achieve savings of at least £25m to balance the budget for that year.
- d) The latest estimate of working balances and other reserves as at the end of 2008/9 and the key financial risks which have been identified in respect of the coming year (para. 6.11 to 6.19, Appendix 7)
- e) The proposed timetable and consultation arrangements in respect of the 2009/10 budget. (Appendices 4 & 5)

- f) The initial forecast of the medium term financial position of the Council and the proposal to construct a medium term financial /service plan for the Council

It is also recommended that :

- g) Members agree to a package of financial savings and service changes, from those set out in Appendix 6. to be recommended to Council on 17 December 2008 in order to substantially balance the 2009/10 revenue budget, taking account of the consultation results which have already been received and the proposal to submit further consultation results to Council before its meeting on 17 December 2008.
- h) Members give further guidance to Directors on further changes that should be explored over the coming weeks in order to identify further possible savings required to fully balance the revenue budget.
- i) given the very low level of reserves and working balances and the significant financial risks facing the Council, members agree to reserve at least £5m of capital funds in order to meet relevant revenue expenditure in 2009/10 and a further application is made to the Scottish Government, for up to £10m, in order to meet the costs associated with severance and one off compensation payments which would otherwise fall on the revenue budget of the Council.
- j) Members agree in principle to the revised arrangements for financial/service planning set out in paragraph 6.31 and that these be finalised by the Council's Chief Executive and City Chamberlain before the end of February 2009 as applied to budget setting for 2010/11.

### **3. FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS**

- 3.1 As detailed in this report.

### **4. SERVICE & COMMUNITY IMPACT**

- 4.1 A priority impact assessment of services budget proposals will be assessed in relation to links to the Community Plan, the Single Outcome Agreement and Vibrant, Dynamic & Forward Looking. These will be collated and provided for the Council meeting on 17 December 2008.
- 4.2 In relation to Equalities Impact Assessments the Equalities team have met with the lead Directors to review and assess at a high level their overall budget proposals. In addition, to the thematic assessments, copies of which will be provided to Committee (Appendix 8), detailed impact assessments will be completed in advance of the Council meeting on 17 December 2008.

- 4.3 In relation to budget proposals for Learning these have been subject to a review and validation process with external advisors.

## 5. OTHER IMPLICATIONS

- 5.1 For each of the detailed budget proposals set out in Appendix 6 service and Human Resources (HR) implications are provided.
- 5.2 In a number of instances the detailed proposals identify the personnel implications and these will be implemented in line with current policy and procedures and in consultation with Trade Unions.

## 6. REPORT

- 6.1 The majority of the issues affecting 2007/08, 2008/09 and 2009/10 were reported to the Council's Budget Monitoring Board on 27 October 2008 and is still the best information currently available. Much of this information is therefore repeated as appendices in this report as set out below.

Appendix 1 Outturn position in respect of 2007/8 and associated observations

Appendix 2 Latest forecast in respect of 2008/9 and associated observations

Appendix 3 Initial forecast of financial position for 2009/10 and suggested approach

Appendix 4 Consultation arrangements in respect of 2009/10 budget

Appendix 5 Proposed timescale for budget setting 2009/10

- 6.2 No significant changes have been identified in relation to the forecast position for 2008/9 and 2009/10 at this stage. This report does however attempt to quantify the degree of financial risk facing the Council, in addition to that emerging from its annual service/budget cycle. This will need to be taken into account in determining its overall financial strategy for the next 2 years- particularly in relation to working balances and reserves. The forecast for 2008/9 and 2009/10 will however be updated between now and February 2009 when the Council confirms its budget and Council tax levels for 2009/10

## **Forecast Position 2009/10**

- 6.3 The forecast position for 2009/10 makes a number of assumptions relating to costs and income levels which are set out in appendix 3. The key risks associated with getting some of these wrong are covered in the section below. There are currently three adjustments to the previously forecast expenditure. These relates to
- the level rent rebate expenditure and the related statutory housing benefit grant income, (£500k) and
  - a reduction of £281k for Environment and Infrastructure in relation to previous decisions agreed (Dial A bus) and adjustments to reflect the proper charges to HRA

Based on this update the revised forecast currently available is as follows:

Forecast expenditure	£ 459.5m
Forecast Income	£434.3m

**Forecast shortfall                    £ 25.2m**

- 6.4 This forecast shortfall takes no account of:-

- Any requirement to bring working balances to a more reasonable level
- The possibility of actual inflation exceeding forecasts as is likely to be the position for 2008/09.
- Any new/ unavoidable costs emerging such as an exceptionally hard winter
- The non-delivery of annual recurring savings from 2008/9
- One off costs associated with severance
- The need to account for a repayment of £4.3m, including prior years, in respect of non domestic rates to the pool (Appendix 7)
- The possibility of having to repay HM Revenue & Customs (HMRC) for any underpaid national insurance

These are considered in more detail in the section below dealing with risk and working balances.

**The point remains therefore that this figure of £25.2m should therefore be considered the minimum required at this stage to produce a balanced budget.**

- 6.5 In order to respond to this situation all Directors have been asked to identify savings of 6% - equivalent to £30m, on the basis that savings proposals must be realistic, and must concentrate on efficiency savings and changes which are coherent in the medium term.
- 6.6 Full details of these proposals are set out as Appendix 6.

- 6.7 Because of the scale of these reductions there has been significant difficulty in identifying savings which fully meet the requirement for realism and coherence.
- 6.8 The savings proposals which represent the most significant risks at this stage appear to be associated with the Learning savings above 3% which amount to £4.5m. These are considered high risk because of the scale of savings which would be associated with school estates strategy (£450k), efficiency savings from non-statutory education services (£2.9m) and reductions in teaching staff across primary and secondary schools (£1.1m). These would assume the removal of 3% of the Learning budget of £151m and also £450k associated with further school closures. Of particular significance is the assumption that closures will be achieved by the end of the summer term next year. This is optimistic given the consultation and change requirements associated with school closures.
- 6.9 If these high risk savings are excluded from the proposals at this stage, the overall package of savings would amount to **£25.8m**. £4.2m short of the £30m target but £600,000 above the minimum forecast figure.
- 6.10 Given this situation and the risks described below, it would seem prudent for officers to identify a further package of savings the scale of which should be dependant upon the extent of anticipated agreement around the options currently in front of members, their associated risk and the issues identified below.

#### **Working balances/reserves.**

- 6.11 In setting a budget for 2009/10 the Council should take into account the level of balances and reserves it has available to it and the scale of financial risk which it is anticipating in the coming year.
- 6.12 The City Council is clearly bearing more risks than most local authorities because of the scale of savings it has planned to achieve and the significant overspends in recent years. This trend has been recognised in the process and proposals associated with the 2009/10 budget, but has not been fully eliminated for the reasons set out above and because of the sheer difficulty of achieving a further £25m on top on the £35m likely to be achieved in 2008/9. Over the coming weeks, further work will be undertaken to ensure that all options are as realistic and robust as possible with additional scrutiny being given to those areas considered to be the most challenging. Part of the overspend risk will depend on getting the inflation forecast accurate. Allowance for pay awards has been made in accordance with advice from government/Cosla, inflation for other goods and services has been included on a differential basis averaging 2% but including a larger sum for fuel related costs. Whilst it is recognised that about £2m of the overspends in 2008/9 are likely to relate to inflation being higher than forecast/

forecast there is strong evidence to suggest that inflation is likely to start dipping in the coming months in response to recessionary pressures in the UK economy.

- 6.13 In addition to the savings delivery risks there are a number of risks and financial issues which need to be taken into account when setting next year's budget and the level of reserves and balances. Details are set out at Appendix 7.

The key issues are

- 1 The level of reserves and working balances as at 1/4/08 with uncommitted working balances of £5.6m on the General Fund
  - 2 Likely changes in 2008/9 arising from
    - Non domestic rates – understatement of sum due to the NDRI pool from previous years.
    - Borrowing approvals – agreement to capitalise revenue spend of £10m by the Scottish Government.
    - Severance costs and compensation payments – an assessment of current costs from expressions of interest currently being assessed.
    - Forecast overspending in some areas of the revenue budget – this was reported to BMB on 27/10/08 (Appendix 1) but further potential costs may arise from exceptional items
    - Further planned use of capital funds on a one-off basis basically to support the budget in 2008/9
  - 3 Forecast position as at 31/03/09 with a potential balance of c£10m but with associated risks.
- 6.14 In addition it is possible that the Council will be required to compensate HMRC for underpaid National insurance. There are a series of inspection audits currently taking place covering a range of areas including employees who have more than one job with the Council, travel & subsistence payments, councillor's salaries, self employed contractors and pensions payroll. As all of this work is not completed it is likely that it will take several months to clarify and assess any potential liabilities as a result of the outcomes of these inspections.
- 6.15 Other areas of risk currently identified include
  - Council tax collection levels – a collection rate of 97.6% is still assumed as currently in 2008/9. A reduction to 97% would reduce income by £650,000.
  - Demographic changes – for instance in relation to the elderly population
  - Winter maintenance – no allowance has been made for an exceptional winter.
  - Payments to providers – if negotiated rates are in excess of assumed uplifts of 2%

- 6.16 In view of the poor position of the Council's reserves and balances and the substantial costs associated with one off severance and compensation costs the Council will need to either achieve compensatory savings in the revenue budget to accommodate these costs or meet these costs and further risks from other one off measures.
- 6.17 Given the size of the budget savings already required it is recommended that the Council should plan on putting aside at least £5m in order to assist the revenue budget in 2009/10 and should also apply to the Scottish Government for permission to borrow up to a further £10m to meet compensation and severance costs.
- 6.18 In addition it is also planned set aside a separate £5m of capital funds to be utilised and ear-marked in 2009/10 to repay debt and thereby ensure a minimum uncommitted working balance of £5 million in 2009/10. There are some risks associated with this strategy in terms of the underlying assumptions on the level of non-housing capital receipts, of £3m, which would be generated.
- 6.19 The impact of this strategy (detailed at Appendix 7) is that whilst current projected working balances as at 31 March 2009 would be £10m this is prior to any future potential cost liabilities in relation to HMRC inspections, a revised forecast out-turn, and further staffing exit costs. Consequentially the level of working balances is still considered to be low.

#### **The medium term**

- 6.20 Beyond 2009/10 the Council should be facing financial and service problems similar to other local authorities providing it manages to achieve its planned savings and no further significant problems emerge. It will however be faced with the substantial consequences of two years savings which will have distorted and possibly misaligned services to varying degrees. For this reason a medium term review of services is proposed for next year in order to ensure that services are better structured to deal with medium term requirements and priorities. In some cases this will involve more savings in order to allow investment in areas which better meet new priorities or to reflect the need to ensure that the limited resources of the Council have not been spread too thinly by trying to do more than is sustainable. Members will also want to ensure that service quality is maintained-even if this means doing less in some areas.
- 6.21 To ensure this review has a realistic financial context it is also suggested that a medium term resource plan should be developed which identifies the costs associated with changes the Council can reasonably anticipate, including those emerging from the capital programme and those resulting from the decision to capitalise compensation/

compensation/severance costs. It will also need to take into account government funding changes and the continuing requirement of efficiencies of 2 or 3% per annum. The likely consequence of these changes is still likely to put a requirement on the Council to achieve savings of 2.5 to 4.5 % per annum even in a more 'normal' financial environment with a very strong emphasis on efficiency improvement and constrained government funding.

- 6.22 The first draft of this financial resource plan should be prepared for the Council meeting in February 2009.
- 6.23 It would also be desirable at this time for the Council to have a strong sense of its priorities and future direction so far as its HR and ICT priorities are concerned. These could be included in the medium term resource strategy of the Council.

#### **Future Budget setting and Budget Monitoring**

- 6.24 In order to improve the reliability and responsiveness to financial monitoring the following changes are proposed in respect of 2009/10.
  - 6.25 Responsibility for all budget management will be assigned to Directors in the first instance. Directors in turn will assign responsibility to Heads of Service and senior managers under a clear scheme of delegation. All Directors and Heads of Service will have as part of their performance management arrangements a requirement to manage their budget efficiently and within the resources agreed by Council
  - 6.26 Responsibility for ensuring the effective monitoring of services budgets will be a joint responsibility shared between service Directors and the City Chamberlain. Financial support services will be organised to reflect these responsibilities.
  - 6.27 Budget virement arrangements will be reviewed before April 2009 to promote accountability and open decision making
  - 6.28 Monitoring arrangements will be improved to achieve better quality information and improved responsiveness and earlier identification of risk. In particular monitoring information to members will include trend data, comparative data (with previous years) progress on key schemes which have financial consequences, budgeted and actual staffing numbers/trends and the identification of key areas of risk. Monitoring reports will also have commentary from Heads of Service and Directors to explain significant variances. Where overspending is forecast, Directors will propose remedial measures and /or compensatory savings for members to agree. Members will be expected to endorse remedial action or to agree compensatory savings. Terms of reference of committee should be changed to reflect this if necessary.

- 6.29 Budget performance management will be undertaken by all departmental management teams on a monthly basis and by members on a bi monthly basis commencing month one.
- 6.30 At the end of each financial year Directors will produce a detailed explanation for any variances compared to approved budgets and the corrective action they have taken.

### **Annual Budget Cycle**

- 6.31 In relation to the future annual cycle for budget setting and planning it is proposed that the undernoted timeline be adopted which would commence and begin the budget cycle exercise in an earlier timeframe.

#### **Period**

February	- Setting of Council tax and Council budget
March	- Revision of performance targets if required
April	- Provisional outturn review previous year and review of impact on current and medium term budget
May/June	- initial assessment of service spending/grant/resources for following year
July	- Review of outturn previous year, assessment of current year's progress and development of initial strategy for following year, guidance on growth/savings to be determined
September	- Progress against current year to be assessed, Implications of financial strategy for following year to be re-assessed. Consultations on strategy/proposed responses to commence.
November	- Strategy to be reviewed in light of government funding announcements, current year spending etc. Recommendations to be made to Council on service changes/funding changes, particularly those with significant lead in times for effective implementation. Budget strategy refined/ process for period to February determined. Medium term financial strategy reviewed and agreed.
December	- Initial changes for following year agreed by Council in the light of medium term resource forecast.
January	- Refinement of remaining options to produce balanced budget and consultation

**Period**

February - Council tax and budget set for following year.

- 6.32 The re-alignment of budgets to fit new structures would impact upon the timeline outlined above.

## **7. REPORT AUTHOR DETAILS**

Robert Coomber, Chief Executive, [rcoomber@aberdeencity.gov.uk](mailto:rcoomber@aberdeencity.gov.uk),  
tel: (01224) 522500

Susan Cooper, City Chamberlain, [sucooper@aberdeencity.gov.uk](mailto:sucooper@aberdeencity.gov.uk),  
tel: (01224) 522551

## **8. BACKGROUND PAPERS**

Resources Management Committee 26 August 2008 – General Fund Monitoring report 2007/08

Budget Monitoring Board 27 October 2008 - Financial Strategy for 2009/10

### 2007/08 Outturn

Details of the 2007/08 outturn compared to the original budget approved by Council in February 2007 are set out as Appendix 1 (post audit adjustments).

The key issues emerging are:

- The general non-achievement of savings in a range of areas which causes concern for the delivery of future savings
- The cost of Social Work services remains a significant pressure in Aberdeen, specifically in childrens and adults services
- The impact of Equal Pay and Modernisation costs which increases the baseline costs for the Council
- Property maintenance costs which should be wrapped up in an asset management plan to ensure clear prioritisation of building repairs and maintenance requirements.
- Accommodating on-going costs with one-off funds which are no longer available

The overspend against budget in 2007/08 (after audit adjustments) was £10.660 million which was supported by monies from other accounts to the value of £16.291 million. This resulted in a net favourable movement in the General Fund balance at the end of 2007/08 of £5.631 million.

Key areas of overspend were:

- Health & Care. In 2007/08 this amounted to approx. £8.9 million (after taking account of provision for equal pay settlements and factoring in specific Health & care saving targets) reflecting care package costs specifically in adults & children's services.
- Equal Pay & Modernisation. Savings of £6.4 million did not transpire due to the delay in implementation with continuing trade union negotiation aimed at reaching a collective agreement. This was partially offset by updated calculations on monies required to be set aside for meeting compromise agreements.
- Property repairs & maintenance. An area of continued cost pressure with an adverse movement of £900,000.
- Interest on Revenue Balances. There was a cost of £200,000 instead of an expected receipt of interest which resulted in an adverse movement of £800,000 against budget.

Key issues affecting the future are:

- It is recognised that timescales require to be clearly and realistically addressed when savings proposals are identified to ensure robustness, deliverability and sustainability. This is being addressed for 2009/10
- Managing the rising demands for Social Work services and anticipating service demand changes
- Capital planning and associated revenue repair & maintenance costs.

**2008/09 Latest Forecast**

Details of the 2008/09 latest monitoring are set out as Appendix 2. This is based on recent changes to the alignment of management and financial responsibility for four service groupings - Social Work, Housing, Shelter & Environment and Learning, Culture & Sport.

The key issues emerging are:

- The general non-achievement of savings in many areas causes continuing concern as it is vital that these savings are identified and implemented for the Council to find a sustainable cost base from which to provide services.
- The cost of Social Work services remain a significant pressure in Aberdeen, with this statement equally applying to children services and adult services.
- The cost of providing Education services is also of concern as the level of savings expected has not yet been delivered in full this year.
- Continuing cost pressures on basic property repairs

Total overspend is currently predicted to be £9.524 million reflecting a number of adverse and favourable movements. This is based on forecast net expenditure £427.498 million when compared to net budgeted expenditure of £417.2 million, after taking account of additional trading surplus and property cost pressures and additional funding. The figure of £9.524 million will be reduced to £1.924 million following non-recurring funding support of £7.6 million from the capital fund.

Key areas of overspend are predicted to be:

- The continuing demand on Health & Social Care Services and balancing demand and affordability remains a significant challenge for the Council.
- Additional pay award costs- now assumed to be 3% for non-teaching staff
- Within Learning including out of city placements, income recharges for placement in City Special schools and the full realisation of savings from early retirement and the review of NPAF projects.
- Property repairs and maintenance for basic priority needs e.g. health & safety.
- Energy costs

Main reasons for overspend are likely to be:

- The continuing demand on Health & Social Care Services;
- The time taken to actually realise all cash savings;
- The time taken to reduce the workforce numbers and achieve ongoing savings;
- The rate of progress in delivering the transformation programmes;
- The delays experienced through trade union consultation / negotiation embargos

Key issues affecting the future are:

- Inflationary cost pressures – e.g. energy, pay awards.
- Progress on delivering change and resourcing the process

## APPENDIX 2

- Continuing demand on health & care services
- The current continuing operation of too many buildings which require to be open and maintained for service delivery and support.

In addition the following actions have been undertaken to control expenditure, monitor performance and achieve agreed budget savings

- Interim re-alignment of key services and lead roles
- Re-alignment of budgets to interim structures
- Re-alignment of accounting teams
- Better alignment of management and financial responsibility
- Reporting on an exception basis of budgets by Corporate Directors to the Chief Executive and Heads of Service to Corporate Directors
- The Director of Continuous Improvement is to lead on corporate efficiency programmes and ensure adequate performance management on major projects taking into consideration resources and risk.

## APPENDIX 3

### 2009/10 Initial Forecast

Given the problems associated with controlling the revenue budget in 2008/09 the initial budget (and proposals for balancing it) have been prepared on a service basis. This is consistent with the way decisions were initially taken last year and also reflects the need to improve the financial control and the quality of decision making and the Council's approach to service planning – particularly so far as the larger services like Education and Social Care are concerned.

The latest forecast of the Council's financial position for 2009/10 before any further decisions are made to balance the budget to available resources is as follows:

2007/08 Out-turn (Note 1)	Services	2008/09 Budget	2008/09 Latest Forecast Outturn	2009/10 Initial Forecast
£'000		£'000	£'000	£'000
1,629	Area Central	744	1,478	1,512
1,084	Area North	867	1,140	1,318
1,055	Area South	846	1,024	1,116
2,612	Council Expenses	2,472	2,462	2,501
299	Office of Chief Executive	445	406	356
11,476	Continuous Improvement (Note 2)	16,915	16,305	16,909
5,568	Resources Management	6,362	6,639	6,780
9,507	Strategic Leadership	8,181	8,365	8,600
52,436	Environment & Infrastructure	54,684	54,618	56,253
6,286	Housing (Note 3)	15,914	16,114	16,909
163,799	Learning, Culture & Sport	163,763	167,893	173,490
40,567	Miscellaneous Services (Note 4)	59,827	52,647	68,525
105,869	Social Work	92,606	105,431	110,865
922	Repairs & maintenance		600	770
(8,446)	Trading Surplus	(6,386)	(7,624)	(6,606)
393,852	Net Expenditure	417,240	427,498	459,298
	Deduct: Funding			
276,564	RSG/NDRI	311,960	312,335	325,076
104,579	Council Tax	104,715	105,604	105,685
	Council Tax Freeze grant	0	0	3,300
	Council Tax & Community Charge	565	35	275
	Arrears			
10,644	Net Expenditure less funding	0	9,524	
	Less:			
	Non-Recurring support – capital fund		7,600	
	Net change to balances		1,924	
	Initial forecast of savings required to balance 2009/10 budget prior to any further adjustments based on assumptions and risks as set out below.			24,962
	Adjustments:			
	Housing Benefit			500
	Environment & Infrastructure			(281)
				25,181

Note 1: For comparative purposes c £20 m requires to be added net expenditure in 2007/08 and RSG/NDRI to reflect the un-ring fencing of specific grants in 2008/09.

## APPENDIX 3

- Note 2 The increase between 2007/08 and 2008/09 reflects one-off grants (£3m) within CRM and a budget allocation of £1.8 million a modernisation fund with Service Design & Delivery
- Note.3 For comparative purposes to 2008/09 and 2009/10 c £11 m requires to be added to Housing net expenditure in 2007/08 to reflect that the former specific supporting people grant became part of the general settlement.
- Note 4. The increase in Miscellaneous Costs between budgets for 2008/09 and 2009/10 reflects additional pay award costs (£0.8m); prudent allowances for anticipated additional joint board requisitions (£1.5m), for an assessment of revenue items funded through former specific capital grant (£2.5m – City Growth); an estimate for items which have been annually charged to capital but are revenue (£2m); impact of prior year capital fund contributions which cannot continue, (£2.2 m) revenue items and an increase in capital financing costs (£1.8m)

The key assumptions and risks associated with the projection of the 2009/10 budget position are as follows:

1. Funding /Income
  - an assumption of no council tax increase but members will need to agree this proposal
  - the collection rate of 97.6% is still assumed as currently. (A reduction to 97% would reduce income by £650,000.)
  - Service income including fees and charges and other recoveries has been uplifted by 2.5%
2. Expenditure
  - For pay awards an increase of 2.5% has been assumed for teachers and non-teaching staff. Figures have also been increased to reflect an additional 0.5% increase in non-teaching staffing costs for 2008/09 which had initially assumed 2.5%.
  - Other inflationary allowances assumed – premises 3.5%; transport 2%, supplies & services 1.5%, payments to agencies 2% and transfer payments 1%, income 2.5%.
3. Expenditure – achievement of savings in 2008/09 impacting on 2009/10
4. Other than unavoidable cost pressures, which include property repairs & maintenance, expected recurring revenue items previously funded by capital grants, and joint boards pension costs no growth is assumed but members may wish to see some limited growth even at the expense of having to achieve further savings. Members will need to determine this.
5. A general contingency of £1 million has been assumed. With increasing energy costs anticipated with a new gas contract due wef 1 April 2009 a provision of £1.7 million has been built into the base budget.
6. A limited provision, to cover Spend to Save schemes and additional one-off costs to deliver projects, of £1.5 million has been assumed
7. The base level of the property repairs and maintenance budget which has been increased to £5.6 million. This compares to current year forecast out-turn of £5.2 million.
8. Influence of the wider economy and rising inflation.
9. Delivery of cashable efficiency savings.
10. No allowance at this stage for additional member priority growth.

## **APPENDIX 3**

11. No allowance for additional inflationary pressures other than those outlined at 2. above.
12. No allowance to enhance the General Fund working balances.

## **APPENDIX 4**

Extensive consultation is proposed with consultees.

The aim is to provide all groups which have a significant constitutional, service or financial relationship with the Council with an explanation of what the Council is planning to do, the timescale for decision making, the context of decision making, and the impact of budget proposals on the Council, its residents and the relationship with consultees.

Engagement undertaken with :-

### **Aberdeen City Alliance partners**

- Aberdeen Council of Voluntary Organisation
- Aberdeen City Centre Association
- Aberdeen & Grampian Chamber of Commerce
- Aberdeen Trades Council
- Aberdeen College
- Aberdeen harbour Board
- Community Health Partnership (CHP)
- Civic Forum
- Grampian Fire & Rescue Service
- Grampian police
- NESTRANS
- NHS Grampian
- RGU
- Scottish Enterprise Grampian

### **Aberdeen City Alliance Challenge Forums**

- Aberdeen City & Shire Economic Future (ACSEF)
- Aberdeen Works
- Children & Young People's Strategic Planning Group
- Community Engagement
- Community Safety Partnership
- Culture
- Equalities Action Group
- Environment
- Homes
- Land Use
- Lifelong Learning
- Locality Planning
- Sports
- Transport & Connections

### **Community Councils**

**Disability Advisory Group,**

**MPs and MSPs**

**Schools Parents Councils.**

**Staff**

**Trade Unions**

**Voluntary Sector Liaison Group**

**Overall Timetable**

The timetable up to February 2009 is set out below.

In order to ensure that the changes required can be fully delivered in 2009/10 it is essential that most of the decisions requiring consultation, service changes and HR changes are approved as soon as possible. The timetable therefore assumes that 17 December Council will approve the majority of the decisions for the 2009/10 budget and the resulting shortfall (if any) is managed between December and February.

<b>Date</b>	<b>Action</b>
Weeks of 3.11, 10.11 & 17.11	Engagement with TACA partners, TACA challenge forums, the Voluntary Sector Liaison Group, the Disability Advisory Group, Schools Parents Councils, Community Councils and Neighbourhood Networks
Weeks of 3.11, 10.11, & 17.11	Engagement with Councillors by Corporate Directors
6.11	Challenge Meeting (9.00 to 12.00) Housing , Environment & Infrastructure
11.11	Leadership Board
12.11	Press conference
13.11	Neighbourhood Community Engagement Session (North)
13.11	First circulation of Resources Management Committee papers
13.11	Challenge Meeting (9.00 to 12.00) Culture & Learning
13.11	Challenge Meeting (2.00 to 5.00) Strategic Leadership, Continuous Improvement, Resources Management
15.11	Civic Forum
18.11	Leadership Board Meeting
18.11	Second circulation of Resources Management Committee papers
18.11 & 19.11	Elected Member Budget Sessions
20.11	Challenge Meeting (9.00 to 12.00) Social work
25.11	Resources Management Committee
Weeks of 1.12 & 8.12	Engagement with Councillors by Corporate Directors
2.12	Leadership Board Meeting
5.12	Council papers deadline
9.12	Leadership Board Meeting
17.12	Council (General Fund Revenue Budget)_
26.01	First circulation of Resources Management Committee papers
5.02	Resources Management Committee (Rescheduled from 27/1/09)
02.02	Council papers deadline
12.02	Council Budget meeting – set Council tax

## **APPENDIX 6**

<b><u>Service</u></b>	<b><u>Lead Director/Officer</u></b>
<b>6.1 Summary – All Services</b>	
<b>6.2 Continuous Improvement</b>	<b>Deirdre Robertson</b>
<b>6.3 Council Expenses</b>	<b>Ciaran Monaghan</b>
<b>6.4 Culture, Learning &amp; Sport</b>	<b>John Tomlinson</b>
<b>6.5 Environment &amp; Infrastructure</b>	<b>Gordon McIntosh</b>
<b>6.6 Housing</b>	<b>Pete Leonard</b>
<b>6.7 Miscellaneous Services</b>	<b>Ciaran Monaghan</b>
<b>6.8 Neighbourhood Services – Central</b>	<b>Pete Leonard</b>
<b>6.9 Neighbourhood Services – North</b>	<b>John Tomlinson</b>
<b>6.10 Neighbourhood Services – South</b>	<b>Gordon McIntosh</b>
<b>6.11 Resources Management</b>	<b>Gordon Edwards</b>
<b>6.12 Social Work</b>	<b>Philip Cotterill</b>
<b>6.13 Strategic Leadership</b>	<b>Abigail Tierney</b>

**APPENDIX 6.1**

	<b>2009/10</b>	<b>2010/11</b>	<b>2011/12</b>
	<b>£000</b>	<b>£000</b>	<b>£000</b>
Continuous Improvement	1,245	1,370	1,370
Council Expenses	16	16	16
Learning, Culture & Sport			
- Culture	1,570	2,005	2,007
- Sport	643	643	643
- Learning	10,369	12,391	13,981
Environment & Infrastructure			
- Waste & Fleet	543	543	543
- Car Parking	970	830	820
- Roads / TPU	530	530	530
- Facilities	150	180	210
- Trading Standards	41	41	41
- Environmental Services	1,845	1,865	1,865
- Public Analyst	64	64	64
- Public Protection/Health	240	240	240
- Environmental Sustainability	36	36	36
Housing	1,182	1,138	1,138
Miscellaneous Services	10	-	-
Area Central	143	143	143
Area North	107	112	117
Area South	98	98	98
Resources Management	1,508	1,417	1,417
Social Work	8,598	9,786	9,786
Strategic Leadership	445	435	435
<b>Total Per Schedules</b>	<b>30,353</b>	<b>33,883</b>	<b>35,500</b>

Notes to detailed schedules:

1. Totals per schedules is based on maximised income where a range is outlined.
2. Personnel Impact - figures in brackets for full time equivalent (FTE) and head count relate to posts which are currently vacant.

APPENDIX 6.2

SERVICE : CONTINUOUS IMPROVEMENT

BUDGET PROPOSALS

SUMMARY INFORMATION

Category - IG - Income Generation, E - Efficiency, SC - Service Changes

Ref No.	Title of Service Change	Category	Financial Impact (£'000)			Personnel Impact		
			2008/09 £'000	2009/10 £'000	2010/11 £'000	2011/12 FTE Head Count	2009/10 FTE Head Count	2010/11 FTE Head Count
<b>Staffing reductions/Savings</b>								
C101	Graphics Design Officer L52123 18834 (CC)	SC	42	42	42	1.0	1.0	1.0
C102	Design and Print Production Officer L52123 18838 (CC)	E	36	36	36	1.0	1.0	1.0
C103	Events Officer A12431 16212 (CC)	SC	34	34	34	1.0	1.0	1.0
C104	Marketing Officer J66300 18534 (CC)	SC	18	18	18	0.5	1.0	0.5
C105	Events Delivery Manager (CC)	E	50	50	50	(1.0)	(1.0)	(1.0)
C106	Service redesign & Efficiencies Cust. Serv .Del (CRM)	E	169	169	169	(7.5)	(8.0)	(7.5)
C107	Restructure of Debt Recovery Teams (CRM)	E	104	104	104	2.0	2.0	2.0
C108	Business Development Analyst (CRM)	SC	37	37	37	(1.5)	(2.0)	(1.5)
C109	Restructure of Income Management Team (CRM)	E	23	23	23	(1.0)	(1.0)	(1.0)
C110	Removal of Cash Offices (CRM)	SC			125	125	1.0	1.0
C111	Delete vacant Investigations Manager post (PMQA)	SC	32	32	32	(0.6)	(1.0)	(0.6)
C112	EG3 -WCAPO post (SDD)	SC	5	5	5	(1.0)	(1.0)	(1.0)
C113	M1 -Delete 2 Change Manager posts (SDD)	E	76	76	76	2.0	2.0	2.0
C114	M5 - Delete vacant Budget Analyst post (SDD)	E	10	10	10	(1.0)	(1.0)	(1.0)
C115	O13 - Disestablish vacant hours following minor structure change in Operations (2 <sup>nd</sup> line support co-ordinator) (SDD)	E	23	23	23	(0.6)	(1.0)	(0.6)

## APPENDIX 6.2

## **SERVICE : CONTINUOUS IMPROVEMENT**

BUDGET PROPOSALS

## SUMMARY INFORMATION

**Category - iG - Income Generation, E - Efficiency, SC - Service Changes**

## APPENDIX 6.2

### SERVICE : CONTINUOUS IMPROVEMENT

#### BUDGET PROPOSALS

#### SUMMARY INFORMATION

#### Category - IG - Income Generation, E - Efficiency, SC - Service Changes

Ref No.	Title of Service Change	Category	Financial Impact (£'000)				Personnel Impact				
			2008/09 £'000	2009/10 £'000	2010/11 £'000	2011/12 £'000	FTE Head Count	2008/09 FTE Head Count	2009/10 FTE Head Count	2010/11 FTE Head Count	2011/12 FTE Head Count
CI30	TIS1 - Reduce ad-hoc support budget (SDD)	E		10	10	10		0	0	0	0
	<b>Budget Reductions</b>										
CI31	M6 - Reduce Training Budget (SDD)	SC		1	1	1		0	0	0	0
CI32	M8 - Reduce Modernisation Fund (SDD)	E		20	20	20		0	0	0	0
CI33	Accord Card function (CRM)	SC		121	121	121		0	0	0	0
CI34	Kittybrewster Contact Team (CRM)	E		10	10	10		0	0	0	0
	<b>TOTAL</b>			1,245	1,370	1,370		30.2	33.0	35.2	38.0
	<b>Abbreviations:</b>										
	CC – Corporate Communications										
	CRM – Customer Relations Management										
	PMQA – Performance Management & Quality Assurance										
	SDD – Service Design & Development										
	DIREC/SUPP – Directorate & Operational Support										

**APPENDIX 6.2**  
**SERVICE: Continuous Improvement**

## **BUDGET PROPOSALS**

DETAILED INFORMATION

**Category - IG - Income Generation, E - Efficiency, SC - service Changes**

**APPENDIX 6.2**  
**SERVICE: Continuous Improvement**

**BUDGET PROPOSALS**

**DETAILED INFORMATION**

**Category - IG -Income Generation, E - Efficiency, SC - service Changes**

Ref.	Service Change	Category	Financial Impact (£'000)				Personnel Impact			
			2008/09	2009/10	2010/11	2011/12	2008/09	2009/10	2010/11	2011/12
No.	Design and Print Production Officer L52123		£'000	£'000	£'000	£'000	FTE	Head Count	1.0	1.0
	<b>Title of proposed service change</b>	E		36	36		36		1.0	1.0
C102	<b>DESCRIPTION</b>	Design and print production officer								
		Cost - L52123								
		Account Code - 11111/6/7								
		<b>Deliverability Issues/Risks/Legislative Background:</b>								
		New central procurement of printing services will mean that this post is no longer required.								
		<b>Consultation required:</b>								
		Human resources								
		Unions								
		Staff								

**APPENDIX 6.2**  
**SERVICE: Continuous Improvement**

## BUDGET PROPOSALS

## DETAILED INFORMATION

**Category - G -Income Generation, E - Efficiency, SC - service Changes**

**APPENDIX 6.2**  
**SERVICE: Continuous Improvement**

**BUDGET PROPOSALS**

**DETAILED INFORMATION**

**Category - IG -Income Generation, E - Efficiency, SC - service Changes**

Ref.	Service Change No.	Category	Financial Impact (£'000)			Personnel Impact				
			2008/09 £'000	2009/10 £'000	2010/11 £'000	2011/12 FTE	2008/09 Head Count	2009/10 FTE	2010/11 Head Count	2011/12 FTE
	<b>Marketing officer J66300 18534</b>	SC		18	18	18				
	<b>Title of proposed service change</b>									
	<b>Marketing officer</b>									
<b>C104 DESCRIPTION</b>	<b>Cost Centre - J66300 Account Code - 11111/6/7</b>		<b>Deliverability Issues/Risks/Legislative Background:</b>			Giving up 50% of FT marketing officer. Application for voluntary severance is for the senior marketing officer role. We would support the application on a voluntary redundancy basis, removing 50% of marketing officer role. There may be a reduction in the speed of service as a result.				
			<b>Consultation required:</b>			Human resources Unions Staff				

**APPENDIX 6.2**  
**SERVICE: Continuous Improvement**

**BUDGET PROPOSALS**

**DETAILED INFORMATION**

**Category - IG -Income Generation, E - Efficiency, SC - service Changes**

Ref.	<b>Service Change</b>	Financial Impact (£'000)				Personnel Impact				
		2008/09	2009/10	2010/11	2011/12	FTE	2008/09	2009/10	2010/11	2011/12
No.	<b>Events Delivery Manager G27110</b>	£'000	£'000	£'000	£'000	Head Count	(1.0)	(1.0)	(1.0)	(1.0)
	<b>Title of proposed service change</b>	E		50	50	50	(1.0)	(1.0)	(1.0)	(1.0)
	<b>Events Delivery Manager</b>									
<b>C105 DESCRIPTION</b>	<b>Cost Centre - G27110 Account Code - 111111/6/7</b>									
	<b>Deliverability Issues/Risks/Legislative Background:</b>	Post held open for member of staff on secondment unlikely to return to the organisation.								
		<b>Consultation required:</b> Human resources Unions Staff								

**APPENDIX 6.2**  
**SERVICE: Continuous Improvement**

## BUDGET PROPOSALS

## **DETAILED INFORMATION**

**Category - IG -Income Generation, E - Efficiency, SC - service Changes**

**APPENDIX 6.2**  
**SERVICE: Continuous Improvement**

**BUDGET PROPOSALS**

**DETAILED INFORMATION**

**Category - IG -Income Generation, E - Efficiency, SC - service Changes**

Ref.	Service Change	Category	Financial Impact (£000)			FTE	Personnel Impact			2011/12
			2008/09	2009/10	2010/11		2011/12	2009/10	2010/11	
No.		£000	£000	£000	£000					
	<b>Title of proposed service change</b>	E		104	104	HEAD COUNT		(1.5)	(1.5)	2.0 (1.5) (2.0)
C07	<b>DESCRIPTION</b>	Restructure of Debt Recovery Teams						(2.0)	(2.0)	2.0 (2.0) (2.0)
	<b>Cost Centre - F09270, F09280, F09285, &amp; P09045</b>	<b>Account Code - Various</b>								
	<b>Deliverability Issues/Risks/Legislative Background:</b>									
	The merger of the Business Rates, Sundry Debt, Car Parking and Housing Benefit overpayments teams will provide a streamlined management structure and more efficient service. Specialisms will continue until all staff have been trained on all procedures.									
	<b>Consultation required:</b>									
	HR									
	Unions									
	Staff									

**APPENDIX 6.2**  
**SERVICE: Continuous Improvement**

## BUDGET PROPOSALS

## **DETAILED INFORMATION**

Category - IG -Income Generation, E - Efficiency, SC - Service Changes

**APPENDIX 6.2**  
**SERVICE: Continuous Improvement**

**BUDGET PROPOSALS**

**DETAILED INFORMATION**

**Category - IG -Income Generation, E - Efficiency, SC - service Changes**

Ref.	Service Change	Category	Financial Impact (£'000)			Personnel Impact					
			2008/09	2009/10	2010/11	2011/12	FTE	2008/09	2009/10	2010/11	2011/12
No.		£'000	£'000	£'000	£'000						
	<b>Title of proposed service change</b>	<b>E</b>									
	Restructure of Income Management Team			23	23	23					
<b>C109</b>	<b>DESCRIPTION</b>	<b>Cost Centre – F09230 Account Code - Various Codes</b>	<b>Deliverability Issues/Risks/Legislative Background:</b>			The removal of 1 post will provide a more streamlined management structure.					
			<b>Consultation required:</b>			HR Unions Staff					

**APPENDIX 6.2**  
**SERVICE: Continuous Improvement**

**BUDGET PROPOSALS**

**DETAILED INFORMATION**

**Category - IG -Income Generation, E - Efficiency, SC - service Changes**

Ref.	Service Change No.	Category	Financial Impact (£'000)			FTE	HEAD COUNT	Personnel Impact		
			2008/09 £'000	2009/10 £'000	2010/11 £'000			2008/09 2009/10	2010/11	2011/12
	<b>Title of proposed service change</b>	<b>SC</b>			125	125			5.0	5.0
	<b>Removal of Cash Offices</b>								5.0	5.0
<b>C110 DESCRIPTION</b>	<b>Cost Centre - F09230 Account Code - Various Codes</b>									

**Deliverability Issues/Risks/Legislative Background:**

Cash payments are expensive to administer and Moray and Fife Councils have successfully removed their cash offices. Customers pay through the Post Office and other outlets that has Paypoint facilities. A Tender process would probably achieve 40p per transaction cost compared to 72p in our main cash office. There would also be reductions in staff in Culter, Bucksburn and Mastrick Offices, FTE 7, c£150k but these are employed by other Services. There would be no head count reduction as staff would be redeployed.

Members will be required to support this move away from Cash and promote more effective methods of collection.

Resources Management will need to be consulted on with proposals re Bucksburn/Culter.

**Consultation required:**

HR
Unions
Staff

**APPENDIX 6.2**  
**SERVICE: Continuous Improvement**

**BUDGET PROPOSALS**

**DETAILED INFORMATION**

**Category - IG -Income Generation, E - Efficiency, SC - service Changes**

Ref.	Service Change	Category	Financial Impact (£'000)			FTE	Personnel Impact			
			2008/09 £'000	2009/10 £'000	2010/11 £'000		2008/09 Head Count	2009/10 (0.6)	2010/11 (1.0)	2011/12 (0.6)
	<b>Title of proposed service change</b>	<b>SC</b>		32	32	32		(1.0)	(1.0)	(1.0)
C111	<b>DESCRIPTION</b>	Delete vacant Investigations Manager post								
		Cost Centre - G51128 Account Code - 11111/6/7								
		<b>Deliverability Issues/Risks/Legislative Background:</b>								
		There is no legislative requirement to have a dedicated Investigations Unit. Indeed it is very rare in Scotland. The risk is that less Investigations resource will result in less direct capacity to undertake high level and complex investigations across all services in the Council. This will mean that Services, themselves, will more often require identifying an Investigating Officer, taking them away from their substantive duties. The dedicated Unit also bring a skill level which may not be apparent in other parts of the organisation.								
		<b>Consultation required:</b>								
		CMT require to agree and the Continuous Improvement Committee is likely to have a view.								

**APPENDIX 6.2**  
**SERVICE: Continuous Improvement**

**BUDGET PROPOSALS**

**DETAILED INFORMATION**

**Category - IG -Income Generation, E - Efficiency, SC - service Changes**

Ref. No.	Service Change	Category	Financial Impact (£'000)				Personnel Impact				
			2008/09 £'000	2009/10 £'000	2010/11 £'000	2011/12 £'000	FTE	2008/09	2009/10	2010/11	2011/12
	<b>Title of proposed service change</b>	SC		5	5	5	5	(1.0)	(1.0)	(1.0)	(1.0)
	EG3 WCAPo post							(1.0)	(1.0)	(1.0)	(1.0)
C112	<b>DESCRIPTION</b>	Cost Centre - T57851 Account Code - 11111/6/7									
		<b>Deliverability Issues/Risks/Legislative Background:</b>									
		Initial work to create a draft job description and person specification has been completed.									
		However, there are major risks in terms of how we can continue to deliver an adequate level of service, both to internal Services and to external website users.									
		Therefore, before we proceed with this, it is recommended that we benchmark with other comparable authorities, and carry out an operational risk assessment.									
		The savings are an estimate at the moment, pending evaluation of the post.									
		<b>Consultation required:</b>									
		Consultation with TUS would be required, as would evaluation of the new post..									

**APPENDIX 6.2**  
**SERVICE: Continuous Improvement**

**BUDGET PROPOSALS**

**DETAILED INFORMATION**

**Category - IG -Income Generation, E - Efficiency, SC - service Changes**

Ref. No.	<b>Service Change</b>	<b>Category</b>	<b>Financial Impact (£'000)</b>				<b>Personnel Impact</b>		
			<b>2008/09</b>	<b>2009/10</b>	<b>2010/11</b>	<b>2011/12</b>	<b>FTE</b>	<b>2008/09</b>	<b>2009/10</b>
			£'000	£'000	£'000	£'000			
	<b>Title of proposed service change</b>	<b>E</b>			76	76		2.0	2.0
	Delete 2 Change Manager posts						Head Count	2.0	2.0
<b>C113</b>	<b>DESCRIPTION</b>	<b>Cost Centre - G60500 Account Code - 111111/6/7</b>							
		<b>Deliverability Issues/Risks/Legislative Background:</b>							
			The saving can be achieved if the Council gets to a position where it has an agreed change programme that is prioritised and thought through in terms of its deliverability, and that all services are committed to delivering – this results in a more productive usage of existing Change Management resource						
			Less Change Management resource will result in less direct assistance and guidance across all services in the Council in respect of defining and implementing changes to services delivery which may have a negative impact on the Council's ability to achieve its objectives such as responding to external inspection findings, budget savings, etc.						
		<b>Consultation required:</b>							
			CMT require to agree that the volume and pace of change required can be achieved without the 2 Change Manager posts						

**APPENDIX 6.2**  
**SERVICE: Continuous Improvement**

**BUDGET PROPOSALS**

**DETAILED INFORMATION**

**Category - IG -Income Generation, E - Efficiency, SC - service Changes**

Ref. No.	<b>Service Change</b>	Category	Financial Impact (£000)				Personnel Impact			
			2008/09 £000	2009/10 £000	2010/11 £000	2011/12 £000	FTE	2008/09 Head Count	2009/10 (1.0)	2010/11 (1.0)
	<b>Title of proposed service change</b>	E			10	10			(1.0)	(1.0)
	M5 Delete vacant Budget Analyst Post									
C114	<b>DESCRIPTION</b>	Cost Centre - G60500 Account Code - 11111/6/7								
		<b>Deliverability Issues/Risks/Legislative Background:</b>								
		The saving can be achieved through improvements to the processes and system in relation to the provision and analysis of financial information to services (undertaken by Finance staff) so that information is provided in a coherent/understandable from and readily accessible so that services can make informed and timely decisions in managing their budgets.								
		The purpose of this post is to support the budgeting, forecasting, planning and management reporting functions. Performing detailed analysis of budget and actual performance, including the preparation of routine monthly management reporting with analysis giving explanations for major variances.								
		It should be noted that the post offered as savings has never been filled, and so no change to service presently provided.								
		<b>Consultation required:</b>								
		None								

**APPENDIX 6.2**  
**SERVICE: Continuous Improvement**

**BUDGET PROPOSALS**

**DETAILED INFORMATION**

**Category - IG -Income Generation, E - Efficiency, SC - service Changes**

Ref.	Service Change	Category	Financial Impact (£'000)			FTE	2008/09	2009/10	2010/11	2011/12	Personnel Impact
			2008/09 £'000	2009/10 £'000	2010/11 £'000						
	<b>Title of proposed service change</b>	<b>E</b>									
	13 Disestablish Operations Support Analyst post			23	23	23					
<b>CI15</b>	<b>DESCRIPTION</b>	<b>Cost Centre - T57724</b>									
		<b>Account Code - 11111/6/7</b>									
		<b>Deliverability Issues/Risks/Legislative Background:</b>									
		None. Vacant hours since November 2007 which are only being held to allow minor structure changes within Operations Team.									
		<b>Consultation required:</b>									
		Internal SDD, Trade unions									

**APPENDIX 6.2**  
**SERVICE: Continuous Improvement**

**BUDGET PROPOSALS**

**DETAILED INFORMATION**

**Category - IG -Income Generation, E - Efficiency, SC - service Changes**

Ref.	Service Change	Category	Financial Impact (£000)			FTE	Personnel Impact			2011/12
			2008/09	2009/10	2010/11		2009/10	2010/11		
No.			£000	£000	£000					
	<b>Title of proposed service change</b>	<b>E</b>								
	<b>Support Services – Finance Function</b>		10	10	10					
<b>C116</b>	<b>DESCRIPTION</b>	<b>Cost Centre - G27100 (dependant on realignment of budget) Account Code - 111111/6/7</b>								
		<b>Deliverability Issues/Risks/Legislative Background:</b>								
		Current restructuring of the payment of invoices across Continuous Improvement have identified possible savings in bringing this function into a central resource. This is a low risk approach, with staff to be made fully aware of the changes that are taking place. The move is to facilitate a quick response to Council credit payment performance.								
		<b>Consultation required:</b>								
		HRA Unions Staff								

**APPENDIX 6.2**  
**SERVICE: Continuous Improvement**

**BUDGET PROPOSALS**

**DETAILED INFORMATION**

**Category - IG -Income Generation, E - Efficiency, SC - service Changes**

Ref. No.	Service Change	Category	Financial Impact (£'000)				FTE	Head Count	Personnel Impact	2010/11	2011/12
			2008/09 £'000	2009/10 £'000	2010/11 £'000	2011/12 £'000					
	<b>Title of proposed service change</b>	<b>E</b>			19	19				(1.0)	(1.0)
	Support Services- Reception									(1.0)	(1.0)
<b>C117</b>	<b>DESCRIPTION</b>	<b>Cost Centre - G27100 Account Code - 111111/6/7</b>									
		<b>Deliverability Issues/Risks/Legislative Background:</b>									
		The plan of action is to streamline the reception facility, towards the Corporate Approach of that to be adopted within the move to Marischal College.									
		Service delivery will be required to be continually monitored to ensure customer satisfaction levels are not compromised.									
		<b>Consultation required:</b>									
		HRA Unions Staff									

**APPENDIX 6.2**  
**SERVICE: Continuous Improvement**

**BUDGET PROPOSALS**

**DETAILED INFORMATION**

**Category - IG -Income Generation, E - Efficiency, SC - service Changes**

Ref.	Service Change No.	Category	Financial Impact (£'000)				Personnel Impact				
			2008/09 £'000	2009/10 £'000	2010/11 £'000	2011/12 £'000	FTE	2008/09	2009/10	2010/11	2011/12
	<b>Title of proposed service change</b>	<b>E</b>									
	TIS2 Disestablish Database Analyst										
<b>C118</b>	<b>DESCRIPTION</b>	<b>Cost Centre - T57871</b> <b>Account Code - 1111116/7</b>									
	Database team has 4 Database Analyst Posts. Two posts are currently vacant and one current post holder is not fully skilled and therefore only supporting limited elements of the work.	<b>Deliverability Issues/Risks/Legislative Background:</b>  It is felt that the Database support can be fulfilled by 3 fully skilled Database Analysts or a combination of 2 analysts and a managed service to support specific DBA work (the cost of this service to be equal to or less than that of a full time DBA).  The disestablishment of this post can only happen once we are confident that the above arrangement is in place. One vacant post is currently being advertised and discussions are ongoing with managed service providers re additional support.  It is possible that the current postholder who requires additional skills may be released through Voluntary Severance Early Retirement.									
		<b>Consultation required:</b>  SDD only									

**APPENDIX 6.2**  
**SERVICE: Continuous Improvement**

**BUDGET PROPOSALS**

**DETAILED INFORMATION**

**Category - IG -Income Generation, E - Efficiency, SC - service Changes**

Ref. No.	<b>Service Change</b>	<b>Category</b>	<b>Financial Impact (£'000)</b>				<b>Personnel Impact</b>		
			<b>2008/09</b> <b>£'000</b>	<b>2009/10</b> <b>£'000</b>	<b>2010/11</b> <b>£'000</b>	<b>2011/12</b> <b>£'000</b>	<b>FTE</b>	<b>2008/09</b>	<b>2009/10</b>
	<b>Title of proposed service change</b>	<b>E</b>		38	38	38		1	1
	M11 - ICT Security Officer – Voluntary Severance							1	1
<b>C119</b>	<b>DESCRIPTION</b>	<b>Cost Centre - G60500 Account Code - 1111/6/7</b>							
		<b>Deliverability Issues/Risks/Legislative Background:</b>							
		Absorb the responsibilities of this post to the existing ICT Security group within the SD&D Operations Team							
		Reduced ability to produce and maintain current and effective ICT Security policy and strategy that counters the ever changing threats and risks to the Council's ICT service delivery and, as a consequence, the infrastructure that supports corporate service delivery							
		<b>Consultation required:</b>							
		SD&D Operations Service Manager							

**APPENDIX 6.2**  
**SERVICE: Continuous Improvement**

**BUDGET PROPOSALS**

**DETAILED INFORMATION**

**Category - IG -Income Generation, E - Efficiency, SC - Service Changes**

Ref. No.	<b>Service Change</b>	<b>Category</b>	Financial Impact (£'000)				Personnel Impact				
			2008/09 £'000	2009/10 £'000	2010/11 £'000	2011/12 £'000	FTE	2008/09	2009/10	2010/11	2011/12
	<b>Title of proposed service change</b>	<b>SC</b>		51	51	51		1	1	1	1
	16 Request for Voluntary Severance Account Manager x 1							1	1	1	1
<b>C120</b>	<b>DESCRIPTION</b>	<b>Cost Centre - T57724 Account Code - 1111/6/7</b>	<b>Deliverability Issues/Risks/Legislative Background:</b>								
	Reduce Account Managers from 4fte to 3fte.		SDD Account Managers have dual responsibility for a) Customer Account Management for all aspects of ICT and b) line management of small team of operational support analysts. By reducing the number of Account Managers, means that the pool of operational support analysts would need to be reallocated to the 3 remaining Account Managers, while there would also need to be a reallocation of Services between the Account Managers. This will mean that the Account Managers will spend even more time on staffing issues and less time on the Customer Account Manager role. In turn, Service Design & Development will potentially lose contact with Services needs and miss opportunities to assist in delivering service efficiencies through technology.								
			<b>Consultation required:</b> Internal customer consultation.								

**APPENDIX 6.2**  
**SERVICE: Continuous Improvement**

**BUDGET PROPOSALS**

**DETAILED INFORMATION**

**Category - IG -Income Generation, E - Efficiency, SC - service Changes**

Ref. No.	<b>Service Change</b>	<b>Category</b>	<b>Financial Impact (£000)</b>			<b>Personnel Impact</b>				
			<b>2008/09</b> <b>£000</b>	<b>2009/10</b> <b>£000</b>	<b>2010/11</b> <b>£000</b>	<b>2011/12</b> <b>£000</b>	<b>FTE</b>	<b>2008/09</b> <b>Head Count</b>	<b>2009/10</b> <b>1</b>	<b>2010/11</b> <b>1</b>
	<b>Title of proposed service change</b>	<b>E</b>			39	39	39			
	<b>Disestablish Database Analyst - Vol. Severance</b>									
<b>CI21</b>	<b>DESCRIPTION</b>	<b>Cost Centre - T57871 Account Code - 11111/6/7</b>								
	<b>Database team has 4 Database Analyst Posts. Two posts are currently vacant and one current post holder is not fully skilled and therefore only supporting limited elements of the work.</b>									
		<b>Deliverability Issues/Risks/Legislative Background:</b>								
		It is felt that the Database support can be fulfilled by 3 fully skilled Database Analysts or a combination of 2 analysts and a managed service to support specific DBA work (the cost of this service to be equal to or less than that of a full time DBA).								
		The disestablishment of this post can only happen once we are confident that the above arrangement is in place. One vacant post is currently being advertised and discussions are ongoing with managed service providers re additional support.								
		It is possible that the current postholder who requires additional skills may be released through Voluntary Severance Early Retirement.								
		<b>Consultation required:</b>								
		SDD only								

**APPENDIX 6.2**  
**SERVICE: Continuous Improvement**

**BUDGET PROPOSALS**

**DETAILED INFORMATION**

**Category - IG -Income Generation, E - Efficiency, SC - service Changes**

Ref.	Service Change No.	Category	Financial Impact (£'000)				Personnel Impact				
			2008/09 £'000	2009/10 £'000	2010/11 £'000	2011/12 £'000	FTE	2008/09 Head Count	2009/10 Head Count	2010/11 Head Count	2011/12 Head Count
		<b>Title of proposed service change</b>	E		84	84	84	2	2	2	2
		M10 - 2 x Project Leaders – Voluntary Severance						2	2		
C122	<b>DESCRIPTION</b>	<b>Cost Centre - T576121 Account Code - 1111116/7</b>	<b>Deliverability Issues/Risks/Legislative Background:</b> It is proposed to disestablish 2 posts. The Business Transformation Team largely manage technical capital projects. If organisational demand leads to a need for further project leaders, these costs can almost certainly be capitalised. This brings an element of flexibility to the resource whilst maintain a core of permanent and experienced project leaders.  The other 2 posts will be retained, but the current occupants will be allowed to take voluntary severance on the basis of efficiency since they are on preserved salaries.								
		4 permanent Project Leaders in the Business Transformation Team to take voluntary severance.	<b>Consultation required:</b> None specifically.								

**APPENDIX 6.2**  
**SERVICE: Continuous Improvement**

**BUDGET PROPOSALS**

**DETAILED INFORMATION**

**Category - IG -Income Generation, E - Efficiency, SC - service Changes**

Ref.	Service Change No.	Category	Financial Impact (£'000)				Personnel Impact			
			2008/09 £'000	2009/10 £'000	2010/11 £'000	2011/12 £'000	FTE	2008/09 Head Count	2009/10 0	2010/11 0
	<b>Subvention fund for conferences</b>	E		50	50	50				
	<b>Title of proposed service change</b>									
	6 Subvention fund for conferences									
C123	<b>DESCRIPTION</b>	<b>Cost Centre - J66330 Account Code - 71521</b>								
		<b>Deliverability Issues/Risks/Legislative Background:</b>								
		Removing this budget may affect the city's ability to attract conferences. If conferences require subvention this will be subject to an individual committee report.								
		<b>Consultation required:</b>								
		Elected members								

**APPENDIX 6.2**  
**SERVICE: Continuous Improvement**

**BUDGET PROPOSALS**

**DETAILED INFORMATION**

**Category - IG -Income Generation, E - Efficiency, SC - service Changes**

Ref.	Service Change	Category	Financial Impact (£000)			FTE	Head Count	Personnel Impact			
			2008/09 £000	2009/10 £000	2010/11 £000			2008/09	2009/10	2010/11	2011/12
	E			13	13			0	0	0	0
	<b>Title of proposed service change</b>										
C124	<b>DESCRIPTION</b>	<b>Cost Centre - T57724</b> <b>Account Code - 31111</b>									
	Following installation of newer, more efficient printers at Aberdeenshire's Bulk Printing Service, contract has been reviewed and new pricing agreed for same print volumes.	<b>Deliverability Issues/Risks/Legislative Background:</b>									
		None other than noted.									
		Options for further reduction in pricing if print volumes significantly reduce.									
		<b>Consultation required:</b>									
		None required.									

**APPENDIX 6.2**  
**SERVICE: Continuous Improvement**

**BUDGET PROPOSALS**

**DETAILED INFORMATION**

**Category - IG -Income Generation, E - Efficiency, SC - service Changes**

Ref.	Service Change No.	Category	Financial Impact (£'000)				FTE	Head Count	Personnel Impact		
			2008/09	2009/10	2010/11	2011/12			2008/09	2009/10	2010/11
			£'000	£'000	£'000	£'000					
	<b>Title of proposed service change</b>	E									
O7	Revision of BT Commsure Contract			10	10	10			0	0	0
<b>C125</b>	<b>DESCRIPTION</b>	<b>Cost Centre - T57732</b> <b>Account Code - 55312</b>									
		<b>Deliverability Issues/Risks/Legislative Background:</b>									
		Following review of our Business Continuity Requirements for telephony, the contract for BT Commsure has been revised while still retaining adequate contingency for the main telephone system in St Nicholas House.									
		<b>Consultation required:</b>									
		None required.									

**APPENDIX 6.2**  
**SERVICE: Continuous Improvement**

**BUDGET PROPOSALS**

**DETAILED INFORMATION**

**Category - IG -Income Generation, E - Efficiency, SC - service Changes**

<b>Ref.</b>	<b>Service Change</b>	<b>Category</b>	<b>Financial Impact (£'000)</b>			<b>Personnel Impact</b>			
			<b>2008/09</b>	<b>2009/10</b>	<b>2010/11</b>	<b>2011/12</b>	<b>FTE</b>	<b>2008/09</b>	<b>2009/10</b>
<b>No.</b>		<b>£'000</b>	<b>£'000</b>	<b>£'000</b>			<b>Head Count</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>
	<b>Title of proposed service change</b>	E		6	6	6		0	0
	O9 Revision of AutoCAD Support Contract								0
<b>C126</b>	<b>DESCRIPTION</b>	<b>Cost Centre - T57724</b> <b>Account Code - 55322</b>							
		<b>Deliverability Issues/Risks/Legislative Background:</b>							
		AutoCAD Support supplier is not meeting our requirements and while options are explored our current support contract has been revised to minimum support with funding built in for installation/upgrades with other suppliers. It is anticipated that a new contract can be arranged that meets our needs at lower costs.							
		<b>Consultation required:</b>							
		Resources Management, Resource Design & Development.							

**APPENDIX 6.2**  
**SERVICE: Continuous Improvement**

**BUDGET PROPOSALS**

**DETAILED INFORMATION**

**Category - IG -Income Generation, E - Efficiency, SC - service Changes**

Ref. No.	Service Change	Category	Financial Impact (£'000)			Personnel Impact		
			2008/09 £'000	2009/10 £'000	2010/11 £'000	2011/12 FTE	2008/09 Head Count	2009/10 0
	<b>Title of proposed service change</b>	E		50	50	50	0	0
	11 Revision of Server Maintenance Contract							0
<b>C127</b>	<b>DESCRIPTION</b>	<b>Cost Centre - T57724</b> <b>Account Code - 55312</b>						
		<b>Deliverability Issues/Risks/Legislative Background:</b>						
			New supplier will need to be closely monitored to ensure service levels are adhered to. Regular review meetings will be held to ensure that equipment decommissioned is removed from maintenance quickly and new equipment added timely to ensure adequate cover at all times.					
		<b>Consultation required:</b>						
			None – consultation already done with CPU and Aberdeenshire Council.					

## APPENDIX 6.2

### SERVICE: Continuous Improvement

## BUDGET PROPOSALS

## **DETAILED INFORMATION**

**Category - IG - Income Generation, E - Efficiency, SC - service Changes**

**APPENDIX 6.2**  
**SERVICE: Continuous Improvement**

## BUDGET PROPOSALS

## DETAILED INFORMATION

**Category - IG -Income Generation, E - Efficiency, SC - service Changes**

**APPENDIX 6.2**  
**SERVICE: Continuous Improvement**

**BUDGET PROPOSALS**

**DETAILED INFORMATION**

**Category - IG -Income Generation, E - Efficiency, SC - service Changes**

Ref. No.	<b>Service Change</b>	<b>Category</b>	<b>Financial Impact (£'000)</b>			<b>Personnel Impact</b>					
			2008/09 £'000	2009/10 £'000	2010/11 £'000	2011/12 £'000	FTE	2008/09 Head Count	2009/10 Head Count	2010/11 Head Count	2011/12 Head Count
		E		10	10	10	0	0	0	0	0
	<b>Title of proposed service change</b>										
C130	TIS1- Reduction of ad-hoc T&IS Support	<b>DESCRIPTION</b>	<b>Cost Centre - T57871</b> <b>Account Code - 55312</b>								
		<b>Deliverability Issues/Risks/Legislative Background:</b>									
		Ad-hoc Technology & Information Systems budget is used to allow ad-hoc services for resolution via 3 <sup>rd</sup> party of particularly complex Incidents.									
		Reducing budget decreases funding available for ad-hoc services and there is risk that towards end of the financial year that that complex incidents take longer to resolve, if there is no budget left to call in 3 <sup>rd</sup> party.									
		<b>Consultation required:</b>									
		SDD.									

**APPENDIX 6.2**  
**SERVICE: Continuous Improvement**

## BUDGET PROPOSALS

## DETAILED INFORMATION

**Category - IG -Income Generation, E - Efficiency, SC - service Changes**

**APPENDIX 6.2**  
**SERVICE: Continuous Improvement**

**BUDGET PROPOSALS**

**DETAILED INFORMATION**

**Category - IG -Income Generation, E - Efficiency, SC - service Changes**

Ref.	Service Change No.	Category	Financial Impact (£'000)			Personnel Impact					
			2008/09 £'000	2009/10 £'000	2010/11 £'000	2011/12 £'000	FTE	2008/09 Head Count	2009/10 Head Count	2010/11 Head Count	2011/12 Head Count
		<b>Title of proposed service change</b>	E		20	20	20	0	0	0	0
M8	Reduce Modernisation Fund										
C132	<b>DESCRIPTION</b>	<b>Cost Centre - G60500</b> <b>Account Code - 111119</b>									
		<b>Deliverability Issues/Risks/Legislative Background:</b>	The saving can be achieved if the Council gets to a position where it has an agreed change programme that is prioritised and thought through in terms of its deliverability, and that all services are committed to delivering (based on available resource) – this results in a more productive usage of existing Change Management resource, and lessened reliance on backfill resource								
			Less resource from the Modernisation Fund will result in a reduced ability to provide backfill resource to services so as to release staff with business expertise to work directly on change projects, which may have a negative impact on the Council's ability to achieve its objectives such as responding to external inspection findings, budget savings, etc.								
		<b>Consultation required:</b>	None								

**APPENDIX 6.2**  
**SERVICE: Continuous Improvement**

**BUDGET PROPOSALS**

**DETAILED INFORMATION**

**Category - IG -Income Generation, E - Efficiency, SC - service Changes**

Ref.	Service Change	Category	Financial Impact (£'000)				Personnel Impact											
			2008/09	2009/10	2010/11	2011/12	2008/09	2009/10	2010/11	2011/12								
No.		£'000	£'000	£'000	£'000	£'000	FTE											
C133	<b>Title of proposed service change</b>	SC			121	121	121	0	0	0								
	Restructure of Accord Card function						Head Count											
<b>DESCRIPTION</b>		<b>Cost Centre - G60 200 Account Code - 54126, 31114, 31231</b>																
<b>Deliverability Issues/Risks/Legislative Background:</b>																		
<b>Card Purchase</b>																		
The risk in removing this funding is that we will no longer be in a position should there be a requirement for the re-issue of the Accord card, in the event that the card would have to be issued across Aberdeen City Council in its entirety would involve going back to Committee and asking for the funding to be reinstated. The only funding retained within this Service will be that of a maintenance function.																		
<b>Reduction of Administration, Stationery, Photocopying, Postage</b>																		
This is linked to the above statement.																		
<b>Consultation required:</b>																		
HR Unions Staff																		

**APPENDIX 6.2**  
**SERVICE: Continuous Improvement**

**BUDGET PROPOSALS**

**DETAILED INFORMATION**

**Category - IG -Income Generation, E - Efficiency, SC - service Changes**

Ref. No.	Service Change	Category	Financial Impact (£'000)			Personnel Impact		
			2008/09 £'000	2009/10 £'000	2010/11 £'000	2011/12 £'000	FTE	2008/09 Head Count
C134	Title of proposed service change	E			10	10	10	0
	Kittybrewster Contact Team							0
	<b>DESCRIPTION</b>	<b>Cost Centre - G60 520</b> <b>Account Code - 54426</b>						
		<b>Deliverability Issues/Risks/Legislative Background:</b>						
		Reduction of equipment hire budget, the impact will be reduced with the implementation of forward planning and the utilisation of existing equipment as far as feasibly possible.						
		<b>Consultation required:</b>						
		HR Unions Staff						

**APPENDIX 6.3**

## SERVICE : Council Expenses

## BUDGET PROPOSALS

## **SUMMARY INFORMATION**

Category - IG - Income Generation, E - Efficiency, SC - Service Changes

SERVICE : Council ExpensesBUDGET PROPOSALSDETAILED INFORMATIONCategory - IG - Income Generation, E - Efficiency, SC - Service Changes

Ref No.	Service Change	Category	Financial Impact (£'000)			Personnel Impact		
			2008/09 £'000	2009/10 £'000	2010/11 £'000	2011/12 £'000	FTE	Head Count
1	Discontinue annual sponsorship for Chair of Public Policy at Robert Gordon's University (RGU)	SC	11	16	16	16		
<b>DESCRIPTION</b>		<b>Deliverability Issues/Risks/Legislative Background:</b>						
		<p>These savings will be made through discontinuing annual sponsorship payments made for the Chair of Public Policy at Robert Gordon's University (RGU).</p> <p>There is an current budgeted contribution of £16,000 per annum.</p>						
		<b>Consultation required:</b> Robert Gordon's University						

BUDGET PROPOSALSSUMMARY INFORMATION - SAVINGSCategory - IG - Income Generation, E - Efficiency, SC - Service Changes

Ref No.	Title of Service Change	Category	Financial Impact (£'000)				Personnel Impact							
			2008/09 £'000	2009/10 £'000	2010/11 £'000	2011/12 £'000	2008/09 FTE	2009/10 FTE	2010/11 FTE	2011/12 FTE	2008/09 Head Count	2009/10 Head Count	2010/11 Head Count	2011/12 Head Count
C01	Reductions to Strategic Leadership sports and cultural grants	E	49.5	170.00	400.00	396.00			0	0	0	0	0	0
C02	6% Reduction in Culture & learning grants to Community Projects	SC		43.00	43.00	43.00			0	0	0	0	0	0
C03	6% reduction in grants to leased community centres	SC		33.00	33.00	33.00			0	0	0	0	0	0
C04	Reduction of opening hours of libraries	SC		110.00	110.00	110.00			5	5	5	5	5	5
C05	Reduce Central Library opening hours	SC		36.00	64.00	64.00			3	3	3	3	3	3
C06	Closure of Branch Libraries	SC		101.00	151.00	151.00			5	5	5	5	5	5
C07	Close Tolbooth	SC		13.00	13.00	13.00			0	0	0	0	0	0
C08	Community Training Unit - Staff Reductions	SC		27.20	27.20	27.20			1	1	1	1	1	1
C09	CRIS limited centralist model	SC		12.40	9.40	9.40			0.9	1	0.9	1	0.9	1
C10	Staff Reducation of NCPO Posts	SC		150.00	150.00	150.00			4	4	4	4	4	4
C11	Reduction in Staffing - Museums & Galleries	SC		100.00	100.00	100.00			5	5	5	5	5	5

BUDGET PROPOSALSSUMMARY INFORMATION - SAVINGSCategory - IG - Income Generation, E - Efficiency, SC - Service Changes

Ref No.	Title of Service Change	Category	Financial Impact (£'000)				Personnel Impact							
			2008/09 £'000	2009/10 £'000	2010/11 £'000	2011/12 £'000	2008/09 FTE	2009/10 FTE	2010/11 Head Count	2011/12 Head Count	2008/09 FTE	2009/10 FTE	2010/11 Head Count	2011/12 Head Count
C12	CLD Staff Reductions	SC	228.00	228.00	228.00	228.00			7	7	7	7	7	7
C13	Citywide Creche Income Increases	IG	12.80	20.00	26.00				0	0	0	0	0	0
C14	Deliver arts development service through neighbourhood based facilities	SC	10.00	20.00	20.00				0	0	0	0	0	0
C15	City Moves Service reduction	SC	17.00	17.00	17.00				0	0	0	0	0	0
<u>Culture, Heritage &amp; Communities</u>			<b>49.50</b>	<b>1,063.40</b>	<b>1,385.60</b>	<b>1,387.60</b>			<b>30.90</b>	<b>30.90</b>	<b>30.90</b>	<b>30.90</b>	<b>30.90</b>	<b>30.90</b>
<u>Sub - Total</u>														
<u>Alternative Proposals</u>														
C16	Reduction of Opening Hours of Libraries	SC	120.00	120.00	120.00				5.5	5.5	5.5	5.5	5.5	
C17	Reduction of opening hours of Central Library	SC	41.00	69.00	69.00				3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	
C18	Closure of Branch Libraries	SC	106.00	156.00	156.00				5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	
C19	CLD Staff Reductions	SC	100.00	100.00	100.00				0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	
C20	CRIS advisory model	SC	50.00	59.00	59.00				2.90	2.90	2.90	2.90	2.90	
C21	City Moves - Refocus of service	SC	90.00	115.00	115.00				2.50	2.50	2.50	2.50	2.50	
<u>Culture, Heritage &amp; Communities Alternatives</u>			<b>507</b>	<b>619</b>	<b>619</b>				<b>19.4</b>	<b>19.4</b>	<b>19.4</b>	<b>19.4</b>	<b>19.4</b>	

BUDGET PROPOSALSPROPOSED BUDGET SAVINGS - DETAILED INFORMATIONCategory - IG - Income Generation, E - Efficiency, SC - Service Changes

Ref	Service Change	Category	Financial Impact (£'000)				Personnel Impact			
			2008/09	2009/10	2010/11	2011/12	2008/09	2009/10	2010/11	2011/12
C01	<b>Reductions to Strategic Leadership sports and cultural grants</b>		£000	£000	£000	£000				
	Staffing Reduction	E	49.5	170	400	396	FTE	0	0	0
	<b>DESCRIPTION</b>									
	1. Reduce sinking fund payments as follows: 2008/09 - £49,468 2009/10 - £58,470 2010/11 - £54,970 2011/12 - £31,370 2 Reduce grant payment to Garthdee Alpine Trust in 2010/11 by £212,900.		A reduction of £250K by 2010/2011 represents 60% of the City Council's service payments to Garthdee. The effective <b>delivery</b> of this proposal is dependent on identifying a resource to support Garthdee Alpine Trust in 2009/2010 in identifying efficiencies and alternative sources of income. Without this resource there is a significant <b>risk</b> that a) the facility has to reduce opening hours and is unable to deliver the service specifications agreed with ACC and RGU b) there is insufficient revenue funding to cover essential maintenance and repairs which means part or all of the facility closes c) the facility may have to close if it is not able to identify additional income sources. GAS is due to submit a business plan setting out how they will achieve these efficiencies by 31/10/08. Savings from sinking fund are due to original sinking fund requirements being overestimated.							
	2. Cease contributions to International Football Festival - saving £54K		If the Council ceases to fund the International Football Festival, it will not be sustainable. This will result in the loss of a popular annual cultural and sporting event to the city which attracts international teams.							
	3. Reduce grant payment to Aberdeen Performing Arts. 2% efficiency reduction equates to 20k per annum.		The <b>risk</b> is that APA will not be able to provide exactly the same level of service; a 2% efficiency saving is may result in a reduction in development activities or activities that benefit communities of interest. APA have been informed that their contribution may decrease by 2%, and they are developing their business plan with this in mind							
	4. Cease funding to Dons in the community – saving of £25k		In addition to monies from the City Council, the Dons in the Community Programme is funded by the Shire, Shell and the Scottish Football Association; a risk for the residents of Aberdeen is that the football club will focus its efforts in the Shire. The potential losses in the City are a) the Dons in the Community Programme is delivering the exit strategy in relation to the loss of the Football Development Officer Women/Girls post, specifically there would be a loss of coaching for the City's only Premier Women's Football Team and partnership working to ensure the sustainability of the work developed by the Football Development Officer. b) The Dons in the Community Programme also delivers part of the exit strategy for the loss of a Sports Development Officer with responsibility for disability sports, specifically managing and coaching a Disability Squad at participation level. c) The Dons in the Community Programme also uses the AFC brand and popularity to increase participation in football and to identify and train volunteers. d) The Dons in the Community Programme also supports Active Schools in Aberdeen and assists with Club Accreditation.							

**APPENDIX 6.4**

## SERVICE : Culture, Heritage & Communities.

## BUDGET PROPOSALS

## PROPOSED BUDGET SAVINGS - DETAILED INFORMATION

**BUDGET PROPOSALS****PROPOSED BUDGET SAVINGS - DETAILED INFORMATION****Category - IG - Income Generation, E - Efficiency, SC - Service Changes**

Ref	Service Change	Category	Financial Impact (£'000)				Personnel Impact			
			2008/09	2009/10	2010/11	2011/12	2008/09	2009/10	2010/11	2011/12
		£'000	£'000	£'000	£'000	£'000	FTE	FTE	Nil	Nil
C2	<b>6% reduction in C&amp;L grants to community projects</b>	SC			43	43	43	43	Nil	Nil
<b>DESCRIPTION</b>										
<b>Deliverability Issues/Risks/Legislative Background:</b>										
<p>To reduce the Council's annual grant to community projects by up to 6%.</p> <p>6% grant reduction would deliver a saving in 2009/10 of £43,500.</p> <p>4% grant reduction would deliver a saving in 2009/10 of £29,000.</p> <p>2% grant reduction would deliver a saving in 2009/10 of £14,500.</p> <p>Budget codes affected are B47491, B47495, B47503, E60265, E80268, E80421, E80561</p>										
<p>Deliverability would be achieved through reduction in grant payments, in line with other Council budgets. Impact of grant reduction may include a reduction in the level of service provided through these Community Project and may result in redundancy of staff employed by these projects. Alternatively, projects may have to either identify new income streams to sustain their level of operation, or to reduce their outgoings to reflect the reduction in grant aid. However, in a number of cases, these Community Projects have a reasonable amount of monies within their reserves, which may be able to sustain their operations. Furthermore, many of these Projects, unlike Council-run initiatives, are eligible to apply for external funding, which particularly supports activities within the Regeneration Areas, in which these are located, (eg. Fairer Scotland Funding).</p> <p>Policy Impact: Neutral As these Projects are based within and provide support to some of the City's most deprived and disadvantaged communities, they contribute to: National Outcome No.7: 'We have tackled the significant inequalities in Scottish society'; and the Local Outcome to; 'Improve the quality of life in our most deprived areas.'</p> <p>Budget Holder: Brian Morgan/ David Wright</p> <p><b>Consultation required:</b></p> <p>Local Elected Members</p> <p>Management Committees of community projects (Aberdeen Lads Club; Fersands Family Project; Fersands &amp; Fountain Community Project; Middlefield Community Project; Printfield Community Project; St Machar Parent Support Project)</p>										

BUDGET PROPOSALSPROPOSED BUDGET SAVINGS - DETAILED INFORMATIONCategory - IG - Income Generation, E - Efficiency, SC - Service Changes

Ref No.	Service Change	Category	Financial Impact (£'000)				Personnel Impact				
			2008/09 £'000	2009/10 £'000	2010/11 £'000	2011/12 £'000	FTE	2008/09 FTE	2009/10 FTE	2010/11 FTE	2011/12 FTE
C3	6% reduction in grants to leased community centres	SC		33	33	33		0	0	0	0
<b>DESCRIPTION</b>											
<b>Deliverability Issues/Risks/Legislative Background:</b>											
<p>To reduce the Council's annual grant to leased community centres by up to 6%.</p> <p>6% grant reduction would deliver a saving in 2009/10 of £33,000.</p> <p>4% grant reduction would deliver a saving in 2009/10 of £22,000.</p> <p>2% grant reduction would deliver a saving in 2009/10 of £11,000.</p> <p>Budget codes affected are A12451 – A12475 (A12472 is considered within another proposal)</p>											
<p>Deliverability would be achieved through reduction in grant payments, in line with reductions in other budgets. Impact of grant reduction may include a reduction in the level of service provided through these Community Centres. Alternatively the Centre Management Committees may be able to either identify new income streams to sustain their level of operation, or to reduce their outgoings to reflect the reduction in grant aid. In a number of cases, the Management Committees of these Community Centres have a reasonable amount of reserves within their balance sheets, which may be able to sustain their operation. Furthermore, many of these Community Centres, unlike Council-run facilities, are eligible to apply for external funding to support their activities.</p> <p>Policy Impact: Neutral</p> <p>As these Community Centres provide programmes and support a range of community activities, they contribute to: National Outcome No.11; 'We have strong, resilient and supportive communities where people take responsibility for their own actions and how they affect others.', and the Local Outcome that; 'Every citizen is enabled to be more active in his or her community regardless of their age, gender, sexual orientation, ethnic origin, where they live or disability, to ensure everyone can contribute to 'active citizenship'.'</p> <p>Budget Holders: Brian Morgan, Jane Nicklen, David Wright</p> <p><b>Consultation required:</b></p> <p>Elected Members</p> <p>Management Committees of leased community centres (Airyhall CC, Altens CC, Balgownie CC, Balnagask CC, Balgownie CC, Cairncry CC, Catherine St CC, Cummings Park CC, Danestone CC, Ferryhill CC, Froghall CC, Hanover CC, Hilton CC, Inchgarth CC, Kingwells CC, LP Alex Collie CC, LP Henry Rae CC, Pittodrie CC, Sheddocksley CC, Tillydrone CC, Woodside CC)</p>											

BUDGET PROPOSALSPROPOSED BUDGET SAVINGS - DETAILED INFORMATIONCategory - IG - Income Generation, E - Efficiency, SC - Service Changes

Ref	Service Change	Category	Financial Impact (£'000)						Personnel Impact					
			2008/09		2009/10		2010/11		2011/12		2008/09	2009/10	2010/11	2011/12
			No.	£'000	£'000	£'000	£'000	FTE						
C4	Reduction of opening hours of Libraries	SC			110	110				5	5	5	5	
<b>DESCRIPTION</b>														
<b>Deliverability Issues/Risks/Legislative Background:</b>														
Increase in opening hours in 2007 did not result in increase in use. Usage has continued to drop and there has been no major uptake of services. Propose to realign hours with usage and community need on an individual basis per library. Ensuring that there is a spread of hours amongst libraries within a Neighbourhood. Cognisance of Libraries associated with the 3R's initiative and Customer Access Points and the potential to develop more Customer Access Points to also be considered. Actual hours to be affected will require consideration of current business needs.														
Savings may not be achieved all in year one as previous experience has shown in time taken to redeploy or make staff redundant also changing staff timetables is very time consuming as requires consultation and agreement with all staff at branches. This will require dedicated input from other services such as HR.														
Savings based on reducing opening hours at 11 of 17 libraries if 3 to be closed. Option to reduce opening hours at 14 libraries if closure option not pursued.														
Impact on communities by reduced access, SPIs, loss of Income														
Option for other services to use library building out with opening hours to deliver community services.														
Risk – changing opening hours may require additional Committee approval, changing staff timetables involves considerable consultation and a large amount of time.														
Work currently ongoing to boost network capability and to install network to make it possible to have all branches connected to Council telephone network therefore reduce call charges. Part of project is to install public and staff WiFi network at several branches across the city.														
VSIER														
Possibly 11 posts to be affected - 3 PT staff from branches applied for VSIER. 6 PT posts currently vacant at branches – or being filled on a fixed term basis														
Policy Impact: Negative														
9. Branch library profiles will be available to inform any decisions; they include details of business levels.														

**BUDGET PROPOSALS****PROPOSED BUDGET SAVINGS - DETAILED INFORMATION**

<b>Category - IG - Income Generation, E - Efficiency, SC - Service Changes</b>
<p>C4 (cont)</p> <p>Policy Impact (continued) Reduction in access to all services provided by community libraries (information, Internet &amp; PC use, books &amp; multi media items for lending &amp; Others) Negative impact on PC usage, number of borrowers and visitor SPIs, Impact on income collected from charges, fines, printing etc. Significant impact on learning and literacy. Impact on partnerships e.g. Aberdeen College, Police and other agencies. There will be a significant Equalities and Human Rights impact in particular to the elderly, young people and the disabled.</p> <p>SOA1,2,3,4,5,6,7,9,10,11,14,15</p> <p>VDFL Implement the ambitious £100 million 3Rs schools project. Establish a network of community learning hubs across the city Continue work to raise the achievement of vulnerable children and close the attainment gap across the City. Adopt policies to tackle the skills shortage in our city Promote the City as tourist destination Modernise service delivery and ensure there is appropriate investment in staff training, equipment and accommodation to deliver this.</p> <p>Budget Holder: Neil Bruce/Jane Nicklen/David Wright</p> <p><b>Consultation required:</b> Consultation with – communities, elected members, staff, unions, Aberdeen College, council services in adjoining buildings</p>

BUDGET PROPOSALSPROPOSED BUDGET SAVINGS - DETAILED INFORMATIONCategory - IG - Income Generation, E - Efficiency, SC - Service Changes

Ref	Service Change	Category	Financial Impact (£'000)					Personnel Impact				
			2008/09 £'000	2009/10 £'000	2010/11 £'000	2011/12 £'000	FTE	2008/09	2009/10	2010/11	2011/12	
C5	Reduction of opening hours of Central Library	SC	36	64	64			3	3	3	3	
	<b>DESCRIPTION</b>	<b>Deliverability Issues/Risks/Legislative Background:</b>										
		<p>To change the opening hours to better reflect current usage. Information services floor currently opens until 8pm Monday to Thursday while rest of building closes at 7pm.</p> <p>On consideration of other Central library opening hours in Scotland it is proposed to reduce and realign opening hours to meet current demand. To reduce opening hours to 54 or 56 hours per week. Currently Edinburgh Central Library opens 51 hours, Dundee 51.5, Perth 50, Inverness 55 and Stirling 50.5 hours per week.</p> <p>Preferred Option</p> <p>Reduce and realign opening hours to open until 8pm two nights per week and close at 6pm for the other two leaving the Friday and Saturday closing at 5pm OR two nights open until 8pm with the rest closing at 5pm. Savings in utilities and reduction of staff numbers whilst also providing longer access to all services if the whole building was open until 8pm at least two nights per week.. (Reduction of opening hours from 8pm to 7pm in 1999 saw a large drop in visitors).</p> <p>Other options have been considered including bringing opening hours into line for whole building i.e. closing all at 7pm but this will not meet required savings -reducing power and heating costs only- savings difficult to determine as relate purely to utility costs which have increased significantly.</p> <p>Risks</p> <p>Increase in fuel bills may negate any savings to be made.</p> <p>Changes to timetables and ways of working for staff will require detailed consultation to implement.</p> <p>Impact</p> <p>We will no longer have any library operating 60 hours per week, however to mitigate this and improve access to services, a three year programme of work will be developed to increase online content, such as local studies, reference information (frequently asked questions, for example), provision of a virtual reference service in partnership with other Scottish libraries, reader development work, parents guides, and so on. This will require support and input from colleagues in the library IT and systems team.</p> <p>Impact on SPIs, visitors, and possibly local businesses depending on which evenings we are open, loss of income</p>										

BUDGET PROPOSALSPROPOSED BUDGET SAVINGS - DETAILED INFORMATION

Ref	Service Change No.	Category - IG - Income Generation, E - Efficiency, SC - Service Changes						Personnel Impact					
		Category	Financial Impact (£'000)		2008/09	2009/10	2010/11	2011/12	FTE	2008/09	2009/10	2010/11	2011/12
			£'000	£'000	£'000	£'000	£'000	£'000					
	VS/ER	3 posts to be reduced are at frontline. There are a number of VS/ER notes of interest currently. Possible Ongoing costs to service of up to 20%											
<p>Policy Impact: Negative Reduction in access to services including information, Internet &amp; PC use, books and multi media items for lending, and other services</p> <p>Reduction in opportunities to access services, negative impact on SPIs for PC usage, number of borrowers and visitors, and impact on income collected from charges, fines, printing etc. Significant impact on learning and literacy. Impact on partnerships e.g. Aberdeen College, Police and other agencies. There will be a significant Equalities and Human Rights impact in particular to the elderly, young people and the disabled.</p>													
		SOA1,2,3,4,5,6,7,9,10,11,14,15											
<p>VDFL</p> <p>Establish a network of community learning hubs across the city</p> <p>Continue work to raise the achievement of vulnerable children and close the attainment gap across the City.</p> <p>Adopt policies to tackle the skills shortage in our city</p> <p>Promote the City as tourist destination</p> <p>Modernise service delivery and ensure there is appropriate investment in staff training, equipment and accommodation to deliver this.</p>													
		Budget Holder: Neil Bruce/Fiona Clark											
<p><b>Consultation required:</b></p> <p>Consult with current users, wider community, elected members, partners such as Aberdeen College, European Commission, other Council colleagues who rely on us to deliver information on their behalf</p>													

## **BUDGET PROPOSALS**

## **PROPOSED BUDGET SAVINGS - DETAILED INFORMATION**

Category - IG - Income Generation, E - Efficiency, SC - Service Changes

**APPENDIX 6.4****SERVICE : Culture, Heritage & Communities.****BUDGET PROPOSALS****PROPOSED BUDGET SAVINGS - DETAILED INFORMATION**

Ref	Service Change No.	Category - IG - Income Generation, E - Efficiency, SC - Service Changes	Financial Impact (£'000)						Personnel Impact		
			2008/09	2009/10	2010/11	2011/12	2008/09	2009/10	2010/11	2011/12	
			£'000	£'000	£'000	FTE					
		Policy Impact (continued)									
C06 (cont)	Implications for other Council Services include facilities management, adjacent services in same building, services delivered through libraries, e.g. Accord, access to leisure, electoral services, Democratic services, CLD and other partners delivering learning, schools and community group use. Election Unit has also used libraries.	Withdrawal of access to all services provided by community libraries including information, Internet and PC use, books and multi media items for lending, and other services e.g. Photocopying, Access to leisure Withdrawal of opportunities to access services in community facility, negative impact on PC usage, number of borrowers and visitor SPIs, impact on income collected from charges, fines, printing etc. Significant impact on learning and literacy. Impact on partnerships e.g. Aberdeen College, Police and other agencies. There will be a significant Equalities and Human Rights impact in particular to the elderly, young people and the disabled.									
		SOA1,2,3,4,5,6,7,9,10,11,14,15									
		VDFL									
		Implement the ambitious £100 million 3Rs schools project.									
		Establish a network of community learning hubs across the city									
		Continue work to raise the achievement of vulnerable children and close the attainment gap across the City.									
		Adopt policies to tackle the skills shortage in our city									
		Promote the City as tourist destination									
		Modernise service delivery and ensure there is appropriate investment in staff training, equipment and accommodation to deliver this.									
		Budget Holder: Neil Bruce/Jane Nicklen/David Wright									
		<b>Consultation required:</b>									
		Consultation required with community, elected members, other adjacent services in same building e.g. CLD and staff, unions, other stakeholders/partners									

BUDGET PROPOSALS

PROPOSED BUDGET SAVINGS - DETAILED INFORMATION

Category - IG - Income Generation, E - Efficiency, SC - Service Changes

Ref No.	Service Change Description	Category	Financial Impact (£'000)				Personnel Impact				
			2008/09 £'000	2009/10 £'000	2010/11 £'000	2011/12 £'000	FTE	2008/09 FTE	2009/10 FTE	2010/11 FTE	2011/12 FTE
C07	<b>Closure of Tolbooth</b>	SC			13	13	13		0	0	0
<b>Deliverability Issues/Risks/Legislative Background:</b>											
<p>Presently a seasonal facility, reflecting the civic history of the city, open during July – September. Closure may require a budget to maintain the integrity of the building, which is listed. Consideration as to which section of the Council will provide intruder and fire alarm cover. (the above predicted saving takes account of this potential budget need)</p> <p>Consideration needs to be given to long term condition and storage of objects currently on display</p> <p>Reduction of around 10,000 visitors per annum which will impact on Museums SPI return and may impact on upper quartile SPI placing. This could be mitigated against by providing a limited private tour service at cost.</p> <p>The potential loss of a museum facility which presents the City's civic heritage to visitors will be mitigated by reviewing the exhibition programme in other museum sites, the talks and events programme and on-line access to collections.</p>											
<p><b>Policy Impact:</b> Single Outcome Agreement:</p> <p><b>SO1 - Negative Impact :</b> The Tolbooth provide access to heritage collections and local history information to both local citizens and visitors to the City. Historic buildings such as the Tolbooth are tourist attractions in their own right. Contributions to the City's ability to promote Aberdeen as a great place to live and work.</p> <p><b>SO6 – Negative Impact.</b> The Tolbooth is a destination for local interest groups and communities, providing access to heritage to stimulate and improve mental skills and inform healthy living.</p> <p><b>SO10 – Negative Impact.</b> Museum collections contain and make available community and local information and histories.</p> <p><b>SO12 – Neutral Impact.</b> Mothballing the Tolbooth will reduce access to this historic site, although the budget saving does take into account the need to preserve and care for the venue.</p> <p><b>SO13 – Negative Impact.</b> Reduced opportunity to access the City's cultural identity and history. This will be mitigated by on-line and web access information and talks, but this does not provide the same level of access as a real visit/encounter and the distinctive atmosphere of the building</p> <p><b>SO14 – Negative Impact.</b> Reduction in the service's response to the public desire for heritage activities.</p>											
<p>Cease to open the Tolbooth Museum during the summer season.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Anticipated recurring saving of £13,000 per annum. This includes the costs of hiring casual staff to open the building during this period</li> <li>• Budget code affected: A13141</li> <li>• No direct structural changes, however the relevant professional substantive posts will be redesigned to take this into account as part of the proposed Museums and Galleries structural change outlined on another Budget Savings paper.</li> <li>• It is not anticipated that Voluntary Severance will impact on this savings proposal as casual seasonal staff have traditionally been employed to provide security for the building.</li> <li>• Loss of a museum building will reduce opportunities to access collections and heritage.</li> <li>• Anticipated loss of approximately 10,000 visits per annum to museum venues ; reduction in cultural and heritage opportunities for citizens and tourists.</li> </ul>											

## APPENDIX 6.4

### SERVICE : Culture, Heritage & Communities.

#### BUDGET PROPOSALS

#### PROPOSED BUDGET SAVINGS - DETAILED INFORMATION

##### Category - IG - Income Generation, E - Efficiency, SC - Service Changes

<b>C07 (cont)</b>	<p><u>Vibrant Dynamic &amp; Forward Looking</u></p> <p>Culture Arts and Sport Strand</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Support arts venues to bring the best and most innovative performances to the city.</li> <li>• Continue to support the best city festivals</li> <li>• Recognise contribution of Sport, Culture and Arts to promoting the area as a tourist attraction</li> <li>• Promote the City as a tourist destination</li> <li>• Recognise the role of Sports and the Arts in tackling anti-social behaviour</li> </ul> <p><b>Negative Impact</b> on all of these policies: the Tolbooth is a tourist attraction and venue for local interest and community groups, including immigrants to the city. Programmes of activity at the Tolbooth have included hosting popular dramatic re-enactments and participating in city festivals, such as the Winter Festival.</p> <p><u>SPI</u> Number of visits to/usages of Council funded or part-funded museums per 1,000. This is SPI is only in the second year of reporting and it is anticipated that Aberdeen's museums will be in the upper quartile for 2007/8. <b>Negative impact:</b> around 10,000 people visit the Tolbooth each year.</p> <p><b>Budget Holder:</b> Neil Bruce/Christine Rew <b>Consultation required:</b></p> <p>Elected members, local citizens, Visit Scotland, ACSEF, user groups and partners, Friends of Aberdeen Art Gallery &amp; Museums, Museums Galleries Scotland.</p> <p>Facilities Management re ongoing maintenance etc of the building.</p>
-------------------	---

BUDGET PROPOSALSPROPOSED BUDGET SAVINGS - DETAILED INFORMATION

Ref No	Service Change	Category - IG - Income Generation, E - Efficiency, SC - Service Changes	Category	Financial Impact (£'000)				Personnel Impact			
				2008/09 £'000	2009/10 £'000	2010/11 £'000	2011/12 £'000	2008/09 FTE	2009/10 FTE	2010/11 FTE	2011/12 FTE
C08	<b>Community Training Unit</b>		SC	27.2	27.2	27.2	27.2	1	1	1	1
	Reductions in Employability / Learning posts have been considered in 3 graded stages. All Stages involve losing posts possibly facilitated as a consequence of VS/ER noted interests and disestablishing posts which are currently vacant.	All options will impact in varying degrees on the ability of the service to deliver the range of services it currently provides. Option 3 represents a major curtailment of the service involving the potential closure of the Community Economic Development Service. This option would disestablish 2 posts (presently vacant) Option details are:  <b>OPTION 1</b> – Disestablish 1 x 0.5 FTE Snr. Training Officer Post and 1x 0.5 FTE Admin Assistant Post. This is facilitated by an employee request in respect of the former and may be facilitated by an ER/V/R request in respect of the latter. <b>TOTAL SAVINGS = £ 27.201</b> (allowing for ongoing severance costs) (c.6% Current Budget)  <b>OPTION 2</b> -Disestablish an increased number of posts across the team taking into account current service demands and likely future changes in service direction. This is facilitated by current vacancies and may be further facilitated by current VS/ER noted interests. The posts are – 1x 1.0 FTE Training Officer (ER/V/S request); 1x 1.0 FTE Work Experience Co-ordinator (vacant);1x 0.5 FTE Snr Training Officer Post (ER/V/S request)  <b>TOTAL SAVINGS= £ 65.767</b> (including on-going costs) <b>OPTION 1 AND 2 = £ 92.968</b> (c.20% Current Budget)	Deliverability Issues/Risks/Legislative Background:  Deliverability - All options will allow the continued delivery of core learning , inclusion and employment priorities in line with the Single Outcome Agreement. The Scottish Government Skills Strategy, the Curriculum For Excellence and Getting It Right For Every Child. The reduction in resources would be compensated for by increased partnership working with CL&D Services as demonstrated via the current joint working agreement to provide local LearnDirect services to communities on a citywide basis. The need to enhance employer engagement services including those provided to all city schools will involve the prioritisation of service delivery functions and the integration of current adult services and pupil services to enable more efficient use of resources and technology. This re-alignment may be considered a constructive service change which will facilitate operational synergies between the CTU and CLD in the context of the new educational curriculum.  In relation to work experience the re-alignment of training officers in lieu of the disestablishment of the work experience co-ordinator will support the further development of this unit in respect of the introduction of personalised work experience programmes for children deemed to benefit from vocational learning pathways .  Risk - The run down of the CEDU service will substantially reduce the ability of the Council to support the development of Social Enterprises in the Community and provide leadership to other local groups and agencies. If the run down is approved it will be necessary to engage with external partners with a view to encouraging their greater involvement in social enterprise development. Clearly this entails a degree of risk as a consequence of the loss of direct managerial control. The development of social enterprise models in the local community – such as the empowerment of local management committees to run community centres- remains a high government priority at the present time. If this option is approved it will require timescales to be planned to allow the managing out of economic support services currently delivered to the communities  Policy Impact : Initially Neutral but leading to Negative with degree of impact depending on option chosen. Savings of over 20% will definitely reduce ability to support SOA outcomes 1 Attractive Place to do Business ;SOA 2 Realise Full Economic Potential; SOA 3Better Educated, More Skilled; SOA 4 Young People are Successful Learners ; SOA7 Tackle Significant Inequalities; SOA 8 Improved Life Chances.								

## APPENDIX 6.4

### SERVICE : Culture, Heritage & Communities.

#### BUDGET PROPOSALS

#### PROPOSED BUDGET SAVINGS - DETAILED INFORMATION

	<u>Category - IG - Income Generation, E - Efficiency, SC - Service Changes</u>	<u>Budget Holder: Brian Morgan</u>
<b>C08</b> (cont)	<p><b>OPTION 3-</b> Implement the run down of the Community Economic Development Service. This equates to the disestablishment of 2 Community Economic Development Officer posts. (2 vacant) This proposal would retain 1x Social Enterprise Advisor</p> <p>Total Savings - £73276</p> <p>SAVINGS OPTION 1+2+3 = £ 166,244 (C 35% OF CURRENT BUDGET)</p>	<p><b>Consultation required:</b> Further consultation will be required with Community Planning and Regeneration in respect of Aberdeen Works linking to the Scottish Governments priorities for Linking Opportunity And Need.</p> <p>Resources Management will require to be consulted with in respect of the Local Employment Partnership Agreement.</p> <p>Strategic Leadership , Economic &amp; Environmental Sustainability ,will require to be consulted in respect of the continued delivery of the Workforce Strategy for Aberdeen City and the delivery of the Scottish Governments , Social Economy Strategy aspects of which are currently delivered by the Community Economic Development service based in CTU</p> <p>Further consultation with ACVO will be required in relation to the delivery of Community Economic Development Services.</p>

**BUDGET PROPOSALS****PROPOSED BUDGET SAVINGS - DETAILED INFORMATION****Category - IG - Income Generation, E - Efficiency, SC - Service Changes**

Category	Financial Impact (£'000)	Personnel Impact							
		2008/09	2009/10	2010/11	2011/12	2008/09	2009/10	2010/11	2011/12
SC	12.4	9.4	9.4	FTE		0.9	0.9	0.9	0.9
<b>DESCRIPTION</b>		<b>Deliverability Issues/Risks/Legislative Background:</b>							
C9	<b>CRIS Limited centralist model</b> (c.f. link to C20 Efficiencies from Education Support)	Maintain 4 posts (2 librarians and 2 assistants). Continue to offer economies of scale and Best Value to users by sharing resources throughout the city. Reduced budget will give less choice of resources, and schools may buy own resources, resulting in unnecessary resource duplication (V/D &FL) to support educational, social development and health promotion elements of National Outcomes 4, 5, 6, 8. Disband themed boxes – teachers will select from shelf resources. Focus on advisory services to schools and support delivery of 3-14 curriculum. Where possible, and dependent on the restructuring of the Museums & Galleries Service, access to themed boxes may continue. CPD of school staff and social development of children. Schools will have to make other arrangements to access resources for post-14 curriculum. School LRC Co-ordinator courses reduced from 4.5 days to 2 days each year. School LRC Co-ordinators to undertake more professional work. Secondary schools will have to pay higher direct support fees (from £175 to £395 each year) to library management company (Heritage) without CRIS staff intervention. Smaller budgets in schools mean that CRIS resources will be even more important to deliver the curriculum and support CPD. While recognising that online resources do not offer the same access to materials, there will be a development over the next three years of quality-controlled content available on the Aberdeen part of the national GLOW network for students and teachers, including links to other Council resources, such as in Museums and Libraries.	VS/ER – Principal Officer CRIS post supported. Ongoing cost to service of 20% - £7,600 There is a risk that any reduction in the CRIS service will have a knock on impact on the wider Library service.						
		1. Focus on advisory services to schools and teachers and limited amounts of quality resources for 3-14 curriculum 2. Eliminate 0.9 post, with savings £15,738 3. E45160 111111 (£16218); raise income in Year 1 E45160 99411 (£3000); efficiency savings E45160 51913 (£1000) Years 1-3 4. Eliminate 0.9 post; 5. N.B. Library Assistant and Recording Technician posts already lost in 08/09 Principal Officer (CRIS) post disestablished in L&IS restructuring and Childrens Services Manager post established to manage an integrated Childrens service 6. Present range of multimedia resources cannot be maintained 7. Users will self-select resources rather than receiving pre-packaged themed collections 8. More use made of public library resources. School LRC Co-ordinators will need to do more professional work 9. There will be more emphasis on advisory services to help schools with quality issues concerning delivery of the curriculum and CPD of staff 10. CRIS can sell off some resources from the boxed collections – this could be approximately £3000 in the first year							

## APPENDIX 6.4

### SERVICE : Culture, Heritage & Communities.

#### BUDGET PROPOSALS

#### PROPOSED BUDGET SAVINGS - DETAILED INFORMATION

<u>Category - IG - Income Generation, E - Efficiency, SC - Service Changes</u>	
C09 (cont)	<p><b>Policy Impact:</b> Negative</p> <p><b>Impact</b> Reduction in opportunities to access quality resources to support essential elements of curriculum delivery and staff CPD support. Schools will have to buy more of their own resources and spend more on library management system support. Staff will spend more time in collating resources for classroom work. School librarians will do more professional work.</p> <p><b>CRIS</b> supports national outcomes 3,4, 5, 6 and 8. This involves supporting the curriculum, improving pupil attainment (including literacy and numeracy) and Additional Support Needs, supporting CPD of teaching and other school staff, promoting healthy living, Curriculum for Excellence and GLOW, social development, citizenship, eco-schools and PSE.</p> <p><b>VD&amp;FL</b> Ensure expenditure on education delivers maximum benefit to pupils' education Continue work to improve attainment across city schools Ensure education is appropriate to pupils' needs and ensure pupils leave school with skills essential for living Ensure Aberdeen's teachers receive appropriate training and CPD to deliver the best quality education Review best teaching practice and trial projects in Aberdeen and beyond to identify the best ways of delivering an even higher quality education to all pupils Allocate additional resources to support for learning, targeted to areas of greatest need Continue work to raise the achievement of vulnerable children and close the attainment gap across the City.</p> <p><b>Budget Holder:</b> Fiona Clark</p> <p><b>Consultation required:</b> Staff, schools, partner nurseries, community workers, youth workers, unions</p>

## APPENDIX 6.4

SERVICE : Culture, Heritage & Communities.

### BUDGET PROPOSALS

#### PROPOSED BUDGET SAVINGS - DETAILED INFORMATION

Category - IG - Income Generation, E - Efficiency, SC - Service Changes

Service Change	Category	Financial Impact (£000)				Personnel Impact			
		2008/09	2009/10	2010/11	2011/12	2008/09	2009/10	2010/11	2011/12
		£000	£000	£000	£000	FTE			
Ref No C10									

BUDGET PROPOSALSPROPOSED BUDGET SAVINGS - DETAILED INFORMATION

Service Change	Category	Financial Impact (£000)					Personnel Impact			
		2008/09	2009/10	2010/11	2011/12	£000	FTE	2008/09	2009/10	2010/11
Ref No C10										
Eduction in Neighbourhood Community Planning Officer Posts (NCPO) posts	SC	150	150	150	150			4	4	4
<b>DESCRIPTION</b>										
<b>Deliverability Issues/Risks/Legislative Background:</b>										
<p>The outcome of the current round of VS/ER is unknown and consequently realignment could result in the disestablishment of posts rather than posts being lost through VS/ER. The post of City Centre Manager requires to be considered along with the NCPO posts. There could be possible ongoing costs to the service as a result of VS/ER outcomes. The present figures are based on an advised calculation of c. 20%. Due to individual variation it is not possible to be more precise at this stage.</p>										
<p>There is a high degree of network sensitivity within Neighbourhood Areas to Neighbourhood Community Planning and community reactions are likely. These are most likely to be transmitted to elected members and similarly voiced through the Challenge Forums.</p>										
<p>This proposal will require to be followed up by specific discussions regarding the future role and structural shape of the neighbourhood planning process. The proposal to reduce the number of posts from 9 to 5 will require a radical reassessment/ redesign of the service, and a realisation that some NCPO functions and added value work will no longer be able to take place.</p>										
<p><b>Policy Impact:</b> Potentially negative, due to reduced capacity to co-ordinate Neighbourhood Community Planning. Community Planning is a statutory requirement and its two main aims can be described as:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Making sure people and communities are genuinely engaged in the decisions made on public services which affect them</li> <li>- Developing a commitment from organisations to work together, in providing better public services.</li> </ul> <p>Whilst Neighbourhood Community Planning has a policy impact across a wide range of national and local outcomes, the most obvious fit is with National Outcome 15, 'Our public services are high quality, continually improving, efficient and responsive to local people's needs.', and Local Outcome, 'Continue to develop neighbourhood planning to deliver quality of life improvements at the local level.'</p>										
<p><b>Budget Holders:</b> Jane Nicklen, David Wright, Brian Morgan</p>										

## APPENDIX 6.4

### SERVICE : Culture, Heritage & Communities.

#### BUDGET PROPOSALS

#### PROPOSED BUDGET SAVINGS - DETAILED INFORMATION

Service Change	Category	Financial Impact (£000)				Personnel Impact				
		2008/09	2009/10	2010/11	2011/12	2008/09	2009/10	2010/11	2011/12	
Ref No	C10	£000	£000	£000	FTE					
										<p><b>Consultation required:</b> Further consultation city-wide will be required to establish agreement on the future structure and role of NCPOs. Following upon that a process of community engagement will also be required. Changes to NCPO roles to date has brought substantial community reaction as evidenced in the relevant Challenge Forums e.g. Locality Planning Forum and at public participation events.</p>

BUDGET PROPOSALSPROPOSED BUDGET SAVINGS - DETAILED INFORMATIONCategory - IG - Income Generation, E - Efficiency, SC - Service Changes

Ref No.	Service Change Description	Category	Financial Impact (£'000)				Personnel Impact				
			2008/09 £'000	2009/10 £'000	2010/11 £'000	2011/12 £'000	FTE	2008/09 FTE	2009/10 FTE	2010/11 FTE	2011/12 FTE
C11	Reduction in staffing Museums & Galleries	SC	100	100	100	100		5	5	5	5
<b>Deliverability Issues/Risks/Legislative Background:</b>											
<p>Service is not statutory; however the Local Government Scotland Act (2003) provides the legislative basis and places a statutory duty on local authorities to ensure adequate provision of cultural facilities, which explicitly includes museums.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Proposed changed responsibilities within the archaeological team will have implications for the Council's obligations and responsibility to reduce areas of potential conflict between development and preservation noted in Planning Advice 42: Archaeology – the Planning Process and Scheduled Monuments Procedures</li> <li>Impact on number and quality of museums exhibitions and displays</li> <li>Impact on wider public and professional access to the collections and the unique specialist knowledge of individual curators.</li> <li>Implications for career progression opportunities for Council employees and therefore professional staff retention.</li> <li>Any staff vacancies not filled prior to the completion of the structural redesign will result in the service being unable to meet its current service standards, potentially including internal audit recommendations. Measures will be taken to minimise this impact, including "buying-in" capacity if required.</li> <li>Success of meeting financial savings targets is based on assumption that a redesigned structure for Museums &amp; Galleries is approved and agreed quickly within the timescale by all parties.</li> <li>Success of meeting financial savings targets is based on assumption that Human Resources has the capacity to process VS/ER applications and JE evaluations of redesigned posts quickly.</li> </ul> <p>The new structure is intended to facilitate:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>New focus for professional staff on public programming and services whilst retaining the specialist knowledge and expertise which has developed world-class collections for Aberdeen</li> <li>Maximising the commercial potential of the service</li> <li>Redesign of posts to enable the service to respond effectively to Internal Audit and external professional accreditation assessments</li> </ul> <p><b>Policy Impact</b></p> <p><u>Single Outcome Agreement:</u></p> <p>Museums enhance the quality of life of Aberdonians and visitors to the city in a way that is challenging, relevant and responsive to their cultural needs now and in the future.</p> <p><b>SO1 - Negative Impact:</b> The Museums service provides access to heritage collections and local history information to both local citizens and visitors to the City. A reduction in specialist expertise will impact on quantity and quality of exhibitions, development and interpretation of collections and a lack of expertise and capacity to answer public enquiries/object identifications locally.</p>											
<p>Savings are linked to a reconfiguring of the staffing resource within the Museums &amp; Gallery Service. Savings have already been made in front line salaries during 2008/9 and additional salary savings are now only possible through structural redesign.</p> <p>The Collections Management and Curatorial Teams of Applied Art, Archaeology, Fine Art and Science and Maritime History will be combined under a new post of team leader. The opportunity to achieve this has been created by a team leader vacancy in Collections Management.</p> <p>Each post will require a new job description and person specification and job evaluated. The new posts created will reflect the strengths of the collections, an aspiration to respond more effectively to community history and local heritage and requirements to meet internal audit targets. Alternative ways of delivering the commercial archaeological work from within Museums and Galleries is part of the proposal. It is proposed that the remaining two teams will be created by combining Visitor Services and Venues Management teams and the Lifelong Learning and Cultural Services Promotions teams.</p>											

BUDGET PROPOSALSPROPOSED BUDGET SAVINGS - DETAILED INFORMATION

Ref No.	Service Change	Category - IG - Income Generation, E - Efficiency, SC - Service Changes				Personnel Impact			
		Category	Financial Impact (£'000)			FTE	2008/09	2009/10	2010/11
			£'000	£'000	£'000				
C11(Cont)	The new structure detail may be revised in response to the responses from consultees, however, it is anticipated that 5 posts will be deleted and/or reconfigured in 2009/10.	SO6 – Negative Impact. The museum service provides lifelong learning opportunities. Priorities will be focussed on continued community engagement, however a reduction in specialist knowledge will impact on type of activity offered.							
<u>Impact of voluntary severance</u>									
A number of staff within Museums and Galleries have indicated an interest in taking early retirement or voluntary severance. Requests have been supported and will assist in making the structural changes. There may also be a need for redundancy.									
<u>Implications for service users</u>									
Reduction in Archaeological advice; changed priorities and responsibilities within curatorial team and within the current Lifelong Learning team will lead to a more focussed audience engagement programme. Realigned responsibilities will also focus on the development of web based applications to access the service. Increased focus on commercial activity and corporate hire opportunities.									
<u>Implications for other Council Services</u>									
Current budget cost centres will require to be reconfigured to reflect new team structure. Potential changes to the current procedure for providing archaeological developer and planning advice to Planning and Infrastructure.									
<u>Anticipated impact of service changes on service performance</u>									
Once the service redesign is completed there will be renewed priorities on customer and visitor engagement. Staff costs will also reduce when the current terms and conditions for frontline staff are revised through the Equal Pay and Modernisation process. The continued current practice of enhanced Saturday and Sunday working allowances when the days are worked as part of a 5-day rota, not overtime/additional hours, continue to contribute to high fixed costs and affect the service's ability to deliver in a cost effective manner.									
<u>Any potential for increasing income generation relating to the service.</u>									
Not related specifically to this saving option, but there is a potential through revising the structure of the current commercial aspects of the visitor services team.									
<u>Consultation required:</u>									
• Staff,									
• Trade Unions									
• Museums Galleries Scotland (MGS), Museums, Libraries and Archives Council (MLA) to ascertain whether this proposal would impact on the service's MGS Significance status and MLA's Accreditation of the service.									
• Elected members									
• Users of the Archaeological service, including Planning and Infrastructure.									
• Discussions with University of Aberdeen Historic Collections and Aberdeenshire Museum Service will form part of consultation.									

## APPENDIX 6.4

### SERVICE : Culture, Heritage & Communities.

#### BUDGET PROPOSALS

#### PROPOSED BUDGET SAVINGS - DETAILED INFORMATION

Ref No.	Service Change	Category - IG - Income Generation, E - Efficiency, SC - Service Changes	Financial Impact (£'000)				Personnel Impact			
			2008/09	2009/10	2010/11	2011/12	2008/09	2009/10	2010/11	2011/12
C12	CLD Staff Reductions	SC	£000	£000	£000	FTE		7	7	7
	<b>DESCRIPTION</b>	<b>Deliverability Issues/Risks/Legislative Background</b>								
	Deletion of seven Principal Community Learning Worker post (7x£40k = £280k)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The reduction in some PCLW posts may be made through VS/ER. The risk is that VS/ER may not be achieved by April 1<sup>st</sup> 2009 and therefore the predicted savings might not be made. It is important that a process is put in place immediately to ensure that the necessary can be made from 1<sup>st</sup> April.</li> <li>• The proposal to delete a total of eight PCLW posts reduces the promoted post provision of the service. It will be necessary to reconfigure the service in the city with the likelihood of less staff attached to buildings; the rationalisation of building provision; the development of Community Learning Hubs in each of the 3 areas and greater focus on integrated service provision including the use of libraries and schools. This can be viewed as an improvement agenda as well as a response to budget pressures.</li> <li>• The potential risk to service quality will require on-going monitoring.</li> </ul>								
	Replacement of additional Principal Community Learner Worker post by 1 Community Learning Worker post (1X £5K = £5K)									
	(Full year savings have been adjusted downwards by 20% to cover possible ongoing revenue costs on pension fund. I.e. £80% of £285K = £228K)									
	Structural adjustments include changing the staff structure in Neighbourhood Community Learning Teams to deliver specialists for the 3 national priorities for Community Learning and Development, namely work with adults; work with young people; and building community capacity.									
		<b>Policy Impact:</b> The loss of eight promoted posts may have an impact on the Council's ability to meet fully the standards required by inspection regimes in relation to the 3 CLD national priorities (work with adults, young people and community capacity building). SOA The proposal may have negative implications on delivering the SOA in relation to outcomes 3, 4, 7 and 11. We may not be able to meet our commitments in relation to learning, regeneration and health inequalities. VDFL The proposal will have a positive impact on the establishment of a network of Community Learning Hubs but may have a negative impact on improving attainment and ensuring pupils leave school with skills essential for living.								
		Budget Holders: K Beveridge, B Morgan, J Nicklen & D Wright								
		<b>Consultation required:</b> Consultation is required with staff, Unions, HR, partner organisations (Aberdeen College; WEA and Organisations in the Voluntary Sector) and Community Centre Management Committees. Negotiation with the latter will be particularly important and may incur substantial local opposition particularly if certain centres are deemed to be at risk of closure								

BUDGET PROPOSALS

## **PROPOSED BUDGET SAVINGS - DETAILED INFORMATION**

Category - IG - Income Generation, E - Efficiency, SC - Service Changes

BUDGET PROPOSALSPROPOSED BUDGET SAVINGS - DETAILED INFORMATIONCategory - IG - Income Generation, E - Efficiency, SC - Service Changes

Ref No.	Service Change	Category	Financial Impact (£'000)				Personnel Impact				
			2008/09 £'000	2009/10 £'000	2010/11 £'000	2011/12 £'000	FTE	2008/09 FTE	2009/10 FTE	2010/11 FTE	2011/12 FTE
C14	Deliver Arts Development service through neighbourhood based facilities.	SC		10	20	20					
<b>DESCRIPTION</b>											
	Closing White Space with the intention of delivering current activity at a range of partner venues. (eg. Lemon Tree, Gordon Highlanders Museum, Community Centres).		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Service is not statutory</li> <li>Use of other venues is dependent on negotiations with partners and at this stage is not guaranteed - asset management plan required</li> <li>There are considerable logistical problems with bulky and shared equipment which need to be resolved</li> <li>There is likely to be considerable bad publicity and a large number of complaints to contend with</li> <li>Traditionally the venue has been used as an 'in kind' contribution for funding applications - this will no longer be possible</li> <li>Arts Development Critical Success Factors calculates the number of participants using the service per year. The closure of the building will impact on our ability to meet those targets</li> <li>The Arts Development revenue budget is an integral part of the business plan for the PVA Northern Lights development. Any building closure and loss of revenue funds will impact on that business plan. Similarly, there is a budget built in to relocate the services in Frederick street as part of the sale of the site to NHS Grampian. Closure of the WhiteSpace facility before the new PVA building is complete will mean the service will need costs to relocate on two occasions, or additional revenue funds for rent back from the NHS.</li> <li>All arts development staff need to be co-located. With a small team the sharing of workload means a service is maximised. If the team are split amongst local venues this may result in the fragmentation of the service</li> <li>Logistics: storage for materials (secure/delivery of materials, disabled access) access to creches (currently close to shoppers creche) need to be considered to ensure outreach can still be delivered</li> <li>Asset management strategy required: implication for charges for use of other spaces, school lets charges/accessibility</li> <li>Contract termination for some facilities may have cost implications (ie, coffee machines)</li> <li>Potential loss of revenue from programme</li> <li>Arts Development is the only learn/direct branded arts venue in the North of Scotland, and is able to accept ILAs's</li> <li>Needs to be considered in the light of a potential move to a culture trust</li> <li>Consideration needs to be given to the needs of the collection's relocation and the relocation of the archaeology unit - should be considered as part of an asset management strategy for Frederick street</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Positives</li> <li>Could improve access for some people if more activities happen in local venues</li> <li>Benefits to partners - improving audience development (ie, Gordon Highlanders volunteer programme expanded)</li> <li>Running cost reduction</li> <li>Staff freed up from building duties with more time for grant fundraising and development work</li> <li>More local delivery</li> <li>Partnerships are already in place which will enable a reasonably quick transition</li> </ul>							
	Closing White Space with the intention of delivering current activity at a range of partner venues. (eg. Lemon Tree, Gordon Highlanders Museum, Community Centres).		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Service is not statutory</li> <li>Use of other venues is dependent on negotiations with partners and at this stage is not guaranteed - asset management plan required</li> <li>There are considerable logistical problems with bulky and shared equipment which need to be resolved</li> <li>There is likely to be considerable bad publicity and a large number of complaints to contend with</li> <li>Traditionally the venue has been used as an 'in kind' contribution for funding applications - this will no longer be possible</li> <li>Arts Development Critical Success Factors calculates the number of participants using the service per year. The closure of the building will impact on our ability to meet those targets</li> <li>The Arts Development revenue budget is an integral part of the business plan for the PVA Northern Lights development. Any building closure and loss of revenue funds will impact on that business plan. Similarly, there is a budget built in to relocate the services in Frederick street as part of the sale of the site to NHS Grampian. Closure of the WhiteSpace facility before the new PVA building is complete will mean the service will need costs to relocate on two occasions, or additional revenue funds for rent back from the NHS.</li> <li>All arts development staff need to be co-located. With a small team the sharing of workload means a service is maximised. If the team are split amongst local venues this may result in the fragmentation of the service</li> <li>Logistics: storage for materials (secure/delivery of materials, disabled access) access to creches (currently close to shoppers creche) need to be considered to ensure outreach can still be delivered</li> <li>Asset management strategy required: implication for charges for use of other spaces, school lets charges/accessibility</li> <li>Contract termination for some facilities may have cost implications (ie, coffee machines)</li> <li>Potential loss of revenue from programme</li> <li>Arts Development is the only learn/direct branded arts venue in the North of Scotland, and is able to accept ILAs's</li> <li>Needs to be considered in the light of a potential move to a culture trust</li> <li>Consideration needs to be given to the needs of the collection's relocation and the relocation of the archaeology unit - should be considered as part of an asset management strategy for Frederick street</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Positives</li> <li>Could improve access for some people if more activities happen in local venues</li> <li>Benefits to partners - improving audience development (ie, Gordon Highlanders volunteer programme expanded)</li> <li>Running cost reduction</li> <li>Staff freed up from building duties with more time for grant fundraising and development work</li> <li>More local delivery</li> <li>Partnerships are already in place which will enable a reasonably quick transition</li> </ul>							

## APPENDIX 6.4

## SERVICE : Culture, Heritage & Communities.

### BUDGET PROPOSALS

#### PROPOSED BUDGET SAVINGS - DETAILED INFORMATION

Ref No.	Service Change	Category - IG - Income Generation, E - Efficiency, SC - Service Changes		Financial Impact (£'000)				Personnel Impact		
		2008/09 £'000	2009/10 £'000	2010/11 £'000	2011/12 £'000	FTE	2008/09 2009/10	2010/11	2011/12	
C14(cont)	The Northern Lights building, proposed for Union Terrace includes arts development revenue funds as part of a business plan and this will obviously affect the viability of the business plan. The business plan takes into account that NHS will pay to relocate us once but this proposal will involve being relocated twice and funds will need to be found for this.									

Impact on PVA 'Northern Light' development:

- The Arts Development revenue budget is an integral part of the business plan for the PVA Northern Lights development. Any building closure and loss of revenue funds will impact on that business plan, and will severely limit arts developments opportunity to function in that building. Similarly, there is a budget built in to relocate the services in Frederick street as part of the sale of the site to NHS Grampian. Closure of the WhiteSpace facility before the new PVA building is complete will mean the service will need costs to relocate on two occasions, or additional revenue funds for rent back from the NHS. PVA see Arts Development as key to their fundraising strategy, in terms of how they evidence engaging with hard to reach groups, and if Arts development are no longer in a position to be part of that project, this could have immeasurable effects on the viability of the whole development.

Policy Impact overview: the proposal will have a negative impact on the SOA&D & FL objectives around health, learning, quality of life and social inclusion/regeneration. The building supports a programme of outreach work targeted to deliver on these objectives which will be logically and financially impeded without this central resource, with increased travel and planning time for staff and increased travel costs. However, the loss of the building would shift the emphasis of the city centre programme to a range of outreach venues making the classes potentially more accessible to new audiences, and supporting the audience development strategies of partners.

Policy context: SOA3, 4, 5,6,7,11,13

VD &FL Continue to drive regeneration: Support arts venues: recognise the role of the arts and sports in tackling anti social behaviour:  
Budget Holder: Neil Bruce/Lesley Thomson

**Consultation required:**

- Service users
- Elected members
- Partners (ie, learn direct, museums, voluntary sector etc)
- Asset management staff to agree suitable relocation
- Archaeology unit and collections- to agree smooth transition and practical arrangements
- Funders - in situations where we have used the venue as an in kind contribution

BUDGET PROPOSALSPROPOSED BUDGET SAVINGS - DETAILED INFORMATIONCategory - IG - Income Generation, E - Efficiency, SC - Service Changes

Ref No.	Service Change	Category	Financial Impact (£000)			Personnel Impact					
			2008/09 £000	2009/10 £000	2010/11 £000	2011/12 £000	FTE	2008/09 Head Count	2009/10 Head Count	2010/11 Head Count	2011/12 Head Count
C15	Citymoves service reductions	SC			17	17	17				
<b>DESCRIPTION</b>											
			<b>Deliverability Issues/Risks/Legislative Background:</b>			Elimination in non-profitable classes and in distribution costs, No legislative requirements.					
			<b>Impact on Local Authority Policy- VDFL: Neutral</b>			Economic Development: Continue to drive regeneration: Culture, Arts and Sports: Support arts venues + Recognise the role of the arts and sports in tackling anti social behaviour					
			<b>Impact on Single Outcome Agreement: Neutral</b>			Policy Impact: SOA3, 4, 5,6,7,11,13					
						Budget Holder: Neil Bruce/Annette Murray					
			<b>Consultation required:</b>			Part of usual pre-term review of classes – no particular consultation required.					

BUDGET PROPOSALS

PROPOSED BUDGET SAVINGS - DETAILED INFORMATION

Ref	Service Change No.	Category - IG - Income Generation, E - Efficiency, SC - Service Changes	Financial Impact (£'000)				Personnel Impact				
			2008/09 £'000	2009/10 £'000	2010/11 £'000	2011/12 £'000	FTE	2008/09 FTE	2009/10 FTE	2010/11 FTE	2011/12 FTE
C16	Reduction of opening hours of Libraries	Reduction			120	120			5.5	5.5	5.5
<b>DESCRIPTION</b>											
<p>Reduce opening hours at branch libraries in order to reduce staff compliment savings of £10,000 on average per branch. Recommended to retain current opening hours at larger, busier libraries in the city.</p> <p>10. Reduction in opening hours and resulting reduction in library staff</p> <p>11. Current 5 posts vacant across city and 3 post holders interested in VS/ER</p> <p>12. No structural adjustments other than change of staff timetables</p> <p>13. Only 3 PT staff at branches have noted an interest in voluntary severance</p> <p>14. Reduction in access to services by users while quality is maintained. Possibility to use building to deliver other community services out with library opening hours</p> <p>15. Implications re the delivery of services on behalf of other Council services e.g. Accord Card, access to leisure, democratic services – re consultations and information</p> <p>16. SPIs potentially could be affected – could be offset if use self issue machines to allow items to be issued out with opening hours.</p> <p>17. If other services use building may be able to contribute towards running costs</p> <p>18. Branch library profiles will be available to inform any decisions; they include details of business levels.</p>											
<p><b>Deliverability Issues/Risks/Legislative Background:</b></p> <p>Increase in opening hours in 2007 did not result in increase in use. Usage has continued to drop and there has been no major uptake of services. Propose to realign hours with usage and community need on an individual basis per library. Ensuring that there is a spread of hours amongst libraries within a Neighbourhood. Cognisance of Libraries associated with the 3R's initiative and Customer Access Points and the potential to develop more Customer Access Points to also be considered. Actual hours to be affected will require consideration of current business needs.</p> <p>Savings may not be achieved all in year one as previous experience has shown in time taken to redeploy or make staff redundant also changing staff timetables is very time consuming as requires consultation and agreement with all staff at branches. This will require dedicated input from other services such as HR.</p> <p>Savings based on reducing opening hours at 11 of 17 libraries if 3 to be closed. Option to reduce opening hours at 14 libraries if closure option not pursued.</p> <p>Impact on communities by reduced access, SPIs, loss of Income Option for other services to use library building out with opening hours to deliver community services.</p> <p>Risk – changing opening hours may require additional Committee approval, changing staff timetables involves considerable consultation and a large amount of time.</p> <p>Work currently ongoing to boost network capability and to install network to make it possible to have all branches connected to Council telephone network therefore reduce call charges. Part of project is to install public and staff WiFi network at several branches across the city.</p> <p>Possibly 11 posts to be affected - 3 PT staff from branches applied for VS/ER. 6 PT posts currently vacant at branches – or being filled on a fixed term basis</p> <p>Policy Impact: Negative</p>											

**BUDGET PROPOSALS****PROPOSED BUDGET SAVINGS - DETAILED INFORMATION**

Category - IG - Income Generation, E - Efficiency, SC - Service Changes												
Ref No.	Service Change	Category	Financial Impact (£'000)			Personnel Impact			2008/09	2009/10	2010/11	2011/12
			£'000	£'000	£'000	FTE	FTE	FTE				
		Policy Impact (continued)										
		Reduction in access to all services provided by community libraries (information, Internet & PC use, books & multi media items for lending & Others)										
		Negative impact on PC usage, number of borrowers and visitor SPIS, Impact on income collected from charges, fines, printing etc. Significant impact on learning and literacy. Impact on partnerships e.g. Aberdeen College, Police and other agencies. There will be a significant Equalities and Human Rights impact in particular to the elderly, young people and the disabled.										
		SOA1,2,3,4,5,6,7,9,10,11,14,15										
		VDFL										
		Implement the ambitious £100 million 3Rs schools project.										
		Establish a network of community learning hubs across the city										
		Continue work to raise the achievement of vulnerable children and close the attainment gap across the City.										
		Adopt policies to tackle the skills shortage in our city										
		Promote the City as tourist destination										
		Modernise service delivery and ensure there is appropriate investment in staff training, equipment and accommodation to deliver this.										
		Budget Holder: Neil Bruce/Jane Nicklen/David Wright										
		<b>Consultation required:</b>										
		Consultation with – communities, elected members, staff, unions, Aberdeen College, council services in adjoining buildings										
		<b>Additional Impact:</b>										
		C4A v C4										
		Further reduction in access to all services to value of £10,000, staff 0.5 FTE. Actual hours which will be affected will require consideration of current business needs.										
		G/Group/Everyone/Budget 09-10/Savings Proposals/ R&M Committee 25-11-08/Culture detail										

**BUDGET PROPOSALS****PROPOSED BUDGET SAVINGS - DETAILED INFORMATION**

Ref No.	Service Change	Category - IG - Income Generation, E - Efficiency, SC - Service Changes	Financial Impact (£'000)						Personnel Impact		
			2008/09 £'000	2009/10 £'000	2010/11 £'000	2011/12 £'000	FTE	2008/09 FTE	2009/10 FTE	2010/11 FTE	2011/12 FTE
C17	Reduction of opening hours of Central Library	SC	41	69	69			3	3	3	3
<b>DESCRIPTION</b>		<b>Deliverability Issues/Risks/Legislative Background:</b>									
<p>To change the opening hours to better reflect current usage. Information services floor currently opens until 8pm Monday to Thursday while rest of building closes at 7pm.</p> <p>On consideration of other Central library opening hours in Scotland it is proposed to reduce and realign opening hours to meet current demand. To reduce opening hours to 54 or 56 hours per week. Currently Edinburgh Central Library opens 51 hours, Dundee 51.5, Perth 50, Inverness 55 and Stirling 50.5 hours per week.</p> <p>Preferred Option:</p> <p>Reduce and realign opening hours to open until 8pm two nights per week with the rest closing at 5pm. Savings in utilities and reduction of staff numbers whilst also providing longer access to all services if the whole building was open until 8pm at least two nights per week.. (Reduction of opening hours from 8pm to 7pm in 1999 saw a large drop in visitors).</p> <p>Other options have been considered including bringing opening hours into line for whole building i.e. closing all at 7pm but this will not meet required savings -reducing power and heating costs only– savings difficult to determine as relate purely to utility costs which have increased significantly.</p> <p>Risks</p> <p>Increase in fuel bills may negate any savings to be made.</p> <p>Changes to timetables and ways of working for staff will require detailed consultation to implement.</p> <p>Impact</p> <p>We will no longer have any library operating 60 hours per week, however to mitigate this and improve access to services, a three year programme of work will be developed to increase online content, such as local studies, reference information (frequently asked questions, for example), provision of a virtual reference service in partnership with other Scottish libraries, reader development work, parents guides, and so on. This will require support and input from colleagues in the library IT and systems team.</p> <p>Impact on SPIs, visitors, and possibly local businesses depending on which evenings we are open, loss of income</p>											

BUDGET PROPOSALSPROPOSED BUDGET SAVINGS - DETAILED INFORMATION

<u>Ref</u>	<u>Service Change</u>	<u>Category - IG - Income Generation, E - Efficiency, SC - Service Changes</u>	<u>Financial Impact (£'000)</u>	<u>Personnel Impact</u>					
<u>No.</u>		<u>2008/09</u>	<u>2009/10</u>	<u>2010/11</u>	<u>2011/12</u>	<u>2008/09</u>	<u>2009/10</u>	<u>2010/11</u>	<u>2011/12</u>
		<u>£'000</u>	<u>£'000</u>	<u>£'000</u>	<u>£'000</u>	<u>FTE</u>			
	VS/ER								

VS/ER  
3 posts to be reduced are at frontline. There are a number of VS/ER notes of interest currently. Possible Ongoing costs to service of up to 20%

Policy Impact: Negative  
Reduction in access to services including information, Internet & PC use, books and multi media items for lending, and other services  
Reduction in opportunities to access services, negative impact on SPIs for PC usage, number of borrowers and visitors, and impact on income collected from charges, fines, printing etc. Significant impact on learning and literacy. Impact on partnerships e.g. Aberdeen College, Police and other agencies. There will be a significant Equalities and Human Rights impact in particular to the elderly, young people and the disabled.

SOA1,2,3,4,5,6,7,9,10,11,14,15

VDFL  
Establish a network of community learning hubs across the city  
Continue work to raise the achievement of vulnerable children and close the attainment gap across the City.  
Adopt policies to tackle the skills shortage in our city  
Promote the City as tourist destination  
Modernise service delivery and ensure there is appropriate investment in staff training, equipment and accommodation to deliver this.

Budget Holder: Neil Bruce/Fiona Clark

**Consultation required:**

Consult with current users, wider community, elected members, partners such as Aberdeen College, European Commission, other Council colleagues who rely on us to deliver information on their behalf

**Additional Impact:**

C5A v C5 Opening hours changed from 60 to 54 hours per week.

BUDGET PROPOSALSPROPOSED BUDGET SAVINGS - DETAILED INFORMATIONCategory - IG - Income Generation, E - Efficiency, SC - Service Changes

Ref No.	Service Change	Category	Financial Impact (£'000)				Personnel Impact				
			2008/09 £'000	2009/10 £'000	2010/11 £'000	2011/12 £'000	FTE	2008/09	2009/10	2010/11	2011/12
C18	<b>Closure of branch libraries</b>	SC		106	156	156			5	5	5
<b>DESCRIPTION</b>											
Reduce the total number of branch libraries across the city indicatively by three but potentially more as revenue costs vary from branch to branch											
<b>Deliverability Issues/Risks/Legislative Background:</b>											
<p>Impact - withdrawal of service in communities, SPIs, Loss of income (variable figure)</p> <p>Risks – previous experience of library closure— resulted in decision being overturned and libraries reopened</p> <p>Cognisance of Libraries associated with the 3R's initiative and Customer Access Points and the potential to develop more Customer Access Points to also be considered.</p> <p>Buildings would have to be maintained and secured or disposed of. Staff would have to be redeployed or made redundant – current experience is that this cannot be undertaken within timescale required to meet savings by 1<sup>st</sup> April. Buildings of historic value e.g. Carnegie Libraries Stock, equipment and network etc to be removed – used elsewhere or stored where?</p> <p>Network costs paid corporately – new supplier just agreed for 3 yr commitment</p>											
<p>Estimated savings to be reduced by approximately a third in first year to accommodate above.</p> <p>V/SER</p> <p>Total posts possibly up to 9 – 3 PT library assistants across city indicated interest in VSER not currently supported.</p> <p>Possible ongoing costs to the service of 20%</p>											
<p>Branch library profiles will be available to inform any decisions; they include details of business levels.</p> <p>Reduce compliment of staff</p> <p>Impact of voluntary severance as defined</p> <p>Implications for stakeholders such as Aberdeen college, all organisations who rely on libraries to deliver information to communities, participate in consultations,</p> <p>Scottish Parliament (if partner library) Careers Scotland</p> <p>Implications for other Council Services</p> <p>include facilities management, adjacent services</p>											
<p>Policy Impact: Negative</p> <p>Withdrawal of access to all services provided by community libraries including information, Internet and PC use, books and multi media items for lending, and other services e.g. Photocopying, Access to leisure Withdrawal of opportunities to access services in community facility, negative impact on PC usage, number of borrowers and visitor SPIs, impact on income collected from charges, fines, printing etc. Significant impact on learning and literacy.</p> <p>Impact on partnerships e.g. Aberdeen College, Police and other agencies. There will be a significant Equalities and Human Rights impact in particular to the elderly, young people and the disabled.</p>											

## APPENDIX 6.4

### SERVICE : Culture, Heritage & Communities.

#### BUDGET PROPOSALS

#### PROPOSED BUDGET SAVINGS - DETAILED INFORMATION

Ref No.	Service Change	Category - IG - Income Generation, E - Efficiency, SC - Service Changes	Financial Impact (£'000)					Personnel Impact		
			2008/09 £'000	2009/10 £'000	2010/11 £'000	2011/12 £'000	FTE	2008/09 FTE	2009/10 FTE	2010/11 FTE
	in same building, services delivered through libraries, e.g. Accord, access to leisure Electoral Services, Democratic services, CLD and VDFL	SOA1,2,3,4,5,6,7,9,10,11,14,15								
	other partners delivering learning, schools and community group use. Election Unit has also used libraries.	Implement the ambitious £100 million 3Rs schools project. Establish a network of community learning hubs across the city Continue work to raise the achievement of vulnerable children and close the attainment gap across the City. Adopt policies to tackle the skills shortage in our city Promote the City as tourist destination Modernise service delivery and ensure there is appropriate investment in staff training, equipment and accommodation to deliver this.								
		Budget Holder: Neil Bruce/Jane Nicklen/David Wright								
		<b>Consultation required:</b> Consultation required with community, elected members, other adjacent services in same building e.g. CLD and staff, unions, other stakeholders/partners								
		<b>Additional Impact:</b> C6A v C6 – will require additional value to be found from closure of libraries and also potential earlier withdrawal of service.								

**BUDGET PROPOSALS****PROPOSED BUDGET SAVINGS - DETAILED INFORMATION****Category - IG - Income Generation, E - Efficiency, SC - Service Changes**

Ref No.	Service Change	Category	Financial Impact (£000)			Personnel Impact					
			2008/09 £000	2009/10 £000	2010/11 £000	2011/12 £000	FTE	2008/09 FTE	2009/10 FTE	2010/11 FTE	2011/12 FTE
C19 CLD Staff Reductions	SC		100	100	100			0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5
<b>DESCRIPTION</b>											
	In addition to C12	<b>Deliverability Issues/Risks/Legislative Background</b>									
	To deliver an additional 100K full year saving through the following single year reduction in core CLD budgets: Save 46k from 'Development Fund' Save 4k from 'Travelling Expenses' Save 5k from Courses Budget Delete .5 admin post (currently vacant) Save 15k from Adult Learning 'Other Admin' costs Save 5k from Adult Learning 'Equipment' Fund Save 15k from Adult Learning 'Services General'  Revised structure to be in place by October 2009.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The potential risk to service quality will require on-going monitoring.</li> <li>Work will simultaneously go on to further reconfigure and redesign the service with a view to having changes in place by October 2009. This will result in a more streamlined management structure with a focus on frontline service delivery and integration with other services to ensure best value and sustainability. Current area management and strategic posts within the CLD service will be reviewed with the intention of further rationalising the overall service.</li> </ul> <p><b>Policy Impact:</b> The loss of eight promoted posts may have an impact on the Council's ability to meet fully the standards required by inspection regimes in relation to the 3 CLD national priorities (work with adults, young people and community capacity building).</p> <p><b>SOA</b> The proposal may have negative implications on delivering the SOA in relation to outcomes 3, 4, 7 and 11. We may not be able to meet our commitments in relation to learning, regeneration and health inequalities. VDFL The proposal will have a positive impact on the establishment of a network of Community Learning Hubs but may have a negative impact on improving attainment and ensuring pupils leave school with skills essential for living.</p> <p><b>Budget Holders</b> K Beveridge, B Morgan, J Nicklen &amp; D Wright</p>									
		<b>Consultation required:</b>									
		Consultation is required with staff, Unions, HR, partner organisations (Aberdeen College, WEA and Organisations in the Voluntary Sector) and Community Centre Management Committees. Negotiation with the latter will be particularly important and may incur substantial local opposition particularly if certain centres are deemed to be at risk of closure									

## BUDGET PROPOSALS

## **PROPOSED BUDGET SAVINGS - DETAILED INFORMATION**

Category - IG - Income Generation, E - Efficiency, SC - Service Changes

**BUDGET PROPOSALS**

**PROPOSED BUDGET SAVINGS - DETAILED INFORMATION**

Category - IG - Income Generation, E - Efficiency, SC - Service Changes		Financial Impact (£'000)					Personnel Impact			
Ref	Service Change	Category	2008/09	2009/10	2010/11	2011/12	2008/09	2009/10	2010/11	2011/12
No.	C20 (cont)	Policy Impact: Negative								
<b>Impact</b>										
No access to quality resources to support essential elements of curriculum delivery and staff CPD support. Schools will have to buy more of their own resources and spend more on library management system support. Staff will spend more time in collating resources for classroom work. School librarians will do more professional work.										
Impact to learning and illiteracies.										
CRIS supports national outcomes 3,4, 5, 6 and 8. This involves supporting the curriculum, improving pupil attainment (including literacy and numeracy) and Additional Support Needs, supporting CPD of teaching and other school staff, promoting healthy living, Curriculum for Excellence and GLOW, social development, citizenship, eco-schools and PSE.										
<b>VD&amp;FL</b>										
Ensure expenditure on education delivers maximum benefit to pupils' education										
Continue work to improve attainment across city schools										
Ensure education is appropriate to pupils' needs and ensure pupils leave school with skills essential for living										
Ensure Aberdeen's teachers receive appropriate training and CPD to deliver the best quality education										
Review best teaching practice and trial projects in Aberdeen and beyond to identify the best ways of delivering an even higher quality education to all pupils										
Allocate additional resources to support for learning, targeted to areas of greatest need										
Continue work to raise the achievement of vulnerable children and close the attainment gap across the City.										
<b>Budget Holder:</b> Fiona Clark										
<b>Consultation required:</b>										
Staff, schools, partner nurseries, community workers, youth workers, unions										
<b>Additional Impact:</b>										
C20 v C9 – Advisory-only service – no direct provision of resources to schools										
G/Group/Everyone/Budget 09-10/Savings Proposals/ R M Committee 25-11-08/Culture detail										

## APPENDIX 6.4

### SERVICE : Culture, Heritage & Communities.

#### BUDGET PROPOSALS

#### PROPOSED BUDGET SAVINGS - DETAILED INFORMATION

##### Category - IG - Income Generation, E - Efficiency, SC - Service Changes

Ref No.	Service Change	Category	Financial Impact (£'000)			FTE	Personnel Impact			2011/12
			2008/9	2009/10	2010/11		2011/12	£'000	£'000	
C21	<b>Citymoves – refocus of service</b>				90	115	115			
<b>Deliverability Issues/Risks/Legislative Background:</b>										
<p><b>DESCRIPTION</b></p> <p>Withdraw dance provision through Citymoves dance studio and negotiate other means of providing community dance and also, how the need for a Regional Dance Development agency, funded by the Scottish Arts Council can continue.</p> <p>The SAC funding is £70,000 pa. Net cost of service is £144000 including costs and income relating to workshops and classes.</p> <p>Staff impacts: currently there are 3 Full-Time members of staff – and Artistic Director, a General Manager and a Dance Development Officer. There is also a part time clerical assistant, a part time studio assistant and 11 Dance Tutors.</p>										
<p>Potential major impact on the PVA "Northern Lights" project as citymoves operating costs have been included in the business plan. If citymoves does not move into the new building, the business assumptions will need to be reviewed and potentially radically altered, if other means of funding can not be found.</p> <p>There is no legislative basis for the service, however it contributes to health, well being, quality of life, well as skills development agendas. The SAC funding supports professional dance development, which assists support for dance in the North East, including from Dundee and over to the Highlands, and contributes to supporting dancers in these areas. The SAC support continues, with annual reviews, into next year, prior to a wider review of all SAC core funded organisations.</p> <p>Impact on Local Authority Policy – VDFL – Negative</p> <p>Economic Development: Continue to drive regeneration</p> <p>Culture, Arts and Sports: Support Arts venues &amp; recognise the role of the arts and sports in tackling anti-social behaviour</p> <p>Impact on Single Outcome Agreement: Negative</p> <p>Policy Impact: SOA3,4,5,6,7,11,13</p> <p>C15AvC15 provision of only professional dance development agency for the North East.</p> <p>Budget Holder: Neil Bruce</p>										

## APPENDIX 6.4

### SERVICE : Culture, Heritage & Communities.

#### BUDGET PROPOSALS

#### PROPOSED BUDGET SAVINGS - DETAILED INFORMATION

Ref No.	Service Change	Category - IG - Income Generation, E - Efficiency, SC - Service Changes						Personnel Impact		
		Category	Financial Impact (£'000)			2011/12	2010/11	2009/10	2010/11	2011/12
			2008/9	2009/10	£'000					
	C21 (cont)	Consultation required:								
		Scottish Arts Council – core funded organisation – need to negotiate the ongoing provision of dance development in North East. Also involved in this and in their own right, Peacock Visual Arts, given plans to move citymoves operation into the Northern Lights development.								
		Community – dance class users; Active Schools - dance used to encourage physical fitness and health in schools, Arts Education – how dance is used to deliver on Curriculum for Excellence e.g. The Expressive Arts outcomes for CfE requires that schools partner with professional artists and organisation in delivering the curriculum.								

**BUDGET PROPOSALS****SUMMARY INFORMATION****Category - IG - Income Generation, E - Efficiency, SC - Service Changes**

Ref	Title of Service Change	Category	Financial Impact (£'000)						Personnel Impact					
			2008/09 £'000	2009/10 £'000	2010/11 £'000	2011/12 £'000	FTE	Head Count	FTE	Head Count	FTE	Head Count	FTE	Head Count
L01	Staffing Adjustments due to School Roll Reduction	E	288.00	432.00	432.00	432.00			11.2	TBC	11.2	TBC	11.2	TBC
L02	School Transport	E	160.00	160.00	160.00	160.00			0	0	0	0	0	0
L03	Pre School Nursery Restructuring	SC	73.00	73.00	73.00	73.00			0	0	0	0	0	0
L04	Facilities management restructuring	E	700.00	840.00	980.00				50	80	70	70	110	90
L05	Reduction in overall staff costs for Bucksburn Academy, Mile End/Beechwood, Heathersburn and Manorpark Schools	E	185.00	278.00	278.00	278.00			5	5	5	5	5	5
L06	Redesign of Culture & Learning Support Teams across the city	E	200.00	250.00	250.00				6	6	6	6	6	6
L07	Schools Estate Strategy	E	0.00	1,000.00	2,000.00				0	0	10	10+	10	10+
L08	Reduction in Out of Authority Placements (learning Element)	E	1,100.00	1,767.00	1,767.00				0	0	0	0	0	0

**BUDGET PROPOSALS****SUMMARY INFORMATION****Category - IG - Income Generation, E - Efficiency, SC - Service Changes**

Ref	Title of Service Change	Category	Financial Impact (£'000)						Personnel Impact					
			2008/09	2009/10	2010/11	2011/12			2008/09	2009/10	2010/11	FTE	Head Count	
No.			£'000	£'000	£'000	£'000			FTE	Head Count	FTE	Head Count	FTE	Head Count
L09	2% efficiency saving across special schools	E		78.00	118.00	118.00			tbc	tbc	tbc	tbc	tbc	tbc
L10	Efficiency saving across ASN city-wide services	E		402.00	444.00	444.00			4	4	4	4	4	4
L11	Efficiencies from Non-statutory Education Services	E	313.00	313.00	313.00			tbc	tbc	tbc	tbc	tbc	tbc	tbc
L12	Review Of Breakfast Provision	E	600.00	0.00	0.00			0	0	0	0	0	0	0
L13	Review Of Additional PE Teachers	E	304.00	0.00	0.00			0	0	0	0	0	0	0
L14	Reconfiguration of School Week	E		tbc	tbc			tbc	tbc	tbc	tbc	tbc	tbc	tbc
L15	Review Pupil Support Assistant (PSA) provision	SC	1,500.00	2,250.00	2,250.00			125	200	125	200	125	200	200
L16	Reduction in teaching staff across Primary and Secondary Schools	SC	0.00	0.00	0.00			0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Learning Total</b>			<b>5,903.0</b>	<b>7925.0</b>	<b>9,065.0</b>			<b>201.3</b>	<b>295.0</b>	<b>231.2</b>	<b>335.0</b>	<b>251.2</b>	<b>365.0</b>	

BUDGET PROPOSALSSUMMARY INFORMATIONCategory - IG - Income Generation, E - Efficiency, SC - Service Changes

Additional Savings Proposals (Not Recommended)		Financial Impact (£'000)										Personnel Impact				
Ref No.	Title of Service Change	Category	2008/09 £'000	2009/10 £'000	2010/11 £'000	2011/12 £'000	FTE	Head Count	FTE	Head Count	FTE	Head Count	FTE	Head Count	FTE	Head Count
L17	Schools Estate Strategy (alternative)	SC			450.00	1,000.00	2,000.00			10+	20+			20+	30+	
L18	Efficiencies from Education Support Provision (alternative)	E			2,916.00	2,916.00	2,916.00			tbc	tbc	tbc	tbc	tbc	tbc	
L19	Reduction in teaching staff across Primary and Secondary Schools	SC			1,100.00	550.00	0.00					41.43 – 42.78	tbc	41.43 – 42.78	tbc	

**APPENDIX 6.4**

SERVICE : Culture & Learning

## **BUDGET PROPOSALS**

## **PROPOSED BUDGET SAVINGS - DETAILED INFORMATION**

Category - G - Income Generation. E - Efficiency. SC - Service Changes

**APPENDIX 6.4****SERVICE : Culture & Learning****BUDGET PROPOSALS****PROPOSED BUDGET SAVINGS - DETAILED INFORMATION****Category - IG - Income Generation, E - Efficiency, SC - Service Changes**

Ref	Service Change No.	Category	Financial Impact (£'000)			FTE	Head Count	Personnel Impact			
			2008/09	2009/10	2010/11			2008/09	2009/10	2010/11	2011/12
L02	School Transport	E	£000	£000	£000	160	160	FTE	0	0	0
<b>DESCRIPTION</b>											
<b>Deliverability Issues/Risks/Legislative Background:</b>											
<p>Estimated savings as per Passenger Transport Unit, based upon 2008-09 expenditure. This is a continuation of current efficiency strategies</p> <p>Criteria for approving transport requests will continue to be applied rigorously.</p>											
<p>Process is already imbedded and being applied</p> <p>Impact on Local Council Policy - VDFL: Neutral</p> <p>Impact on Single Outcome Agreement : Neutral</p>											
<b>Consultation required:</b>											
<p>No consultation required, as this is a continuation of existing criteria</p> <p>A reissuing of guidelines for accessing school transport is recommended</p>											

**APPENDIX 6.4****SERVICE : Culture & Learning****BUDGET PROPOSALS****PROPOSED BUDGET SAVINGS - DETAILED INFORMATION****Category - IG - Income Generation, E - Efficiency, SC - Service Changes**

Ref	Service Change No.	Category	Financial Impact (£'000)				FTE	Personnel Impact			2011/12
			2008/09	2009/10	2010/11	2011/12		2008/09	2009/10	2010/11	
L03	Pre School Education Nursery Savings	SC	£000	£000	£000	£000	FTE	0	0	0	0
<b>DESCRIPTION</b>											
Proposed interim saving with a commitment to bring forward proposals for staffing efficiencies once the review of pre-school education is complete											
Reduce per capita and equipment budgets across LA and partner providers											
Improved management of staff in LA nurseries in term 1 (children are not eligible for pre-school education until the term after their third birthday) on average one third of places remain vacant in term one £16,000											
Partner provider equipment budget reduction of £15,000.											
Pre-school development team part year staff saving of £20,000											
Reduction in the partner provider training budget £22,000											
<b>Deliverability Issues/Risks/Legislative Background:</b>											
These interim savings will reduce capacity to support local authority Nurseries and pre-school partner providers.											
The review of Pre-School Education will have to consider that he Local Authority has a duty to provide pre-school education 475 hours per annum for all four year olds and all three year olds whose parents want places. From Aug 2010 the hours will increase to 570. The Scottish Government policy is that every child should have access to a teacher and Aberdeen City Council's Policy at this time has been to employ a teacher for every nursery class.											
There is a Policy and Strategy Committee's decision not to reduce any early years /early intervention budgets until we have the outcome of the national strategy.											
Consultation required:											
Care Commission											
All Teaching Unions UNISON											
Impact on Council Policy: Negative ( minimal)											
This is likely to have a negative impact on overall performance of pre-school children											
VDFL No. 5, 6											
Implications for the Single Outcome Agreement: Neutral/minimal											

**APPENDIX 6.4****SERVICE : Culture & Learning****BUDGET PROPOSALS****PROPOSED BUDGET SAVINGS - DETAILED INFORMATION****Category - IG - Income Generation, E - Efficiency, SC - Service Changes**

Ref	Service Change	Category	Financial Impact (£'000)				Personnel Impact				
			2008/09	2009/10	2010/11	2011/12	FTE	2008/09	2009/10	2010/11	2011/12
No.			£'000	£'000	£'000	£'000					
L04	Facilities management restructuring	E	Overall	£1M	£1.2M	£1.4M	Head Count	5	70	90	90
<b>DESCRIPTION</b>											
<p>The structure and resources deployed are to be redesigned to reflect the changes required due to a number of factors e.g. 3Rs, outcome of market testing etc.</p> <p>Reduction in janitorial, cleaning and catering hours will result in the service to schools being provided in a different way and services such as cleaning of other operational properties being revised.</p> <p>Since this is a saving (overall £1M in 09/10) that is through a trading service the saving is split approx 70% Education 15% Env &amp; Inf (Council Offices) 10% Health &amp; Care (SW) 5% Housing (sheltered housing etc)</p>											
<p><b>Deliverability Issues/Risks/Legislative Background:</b></p> <p>There will be a need to redesign the job descriptions of many of the posts leading to changes in the service delivery. The changes to service delivery may generate negative feedback from services who are used to current service standards and levels of service.</p> <p><u>Policy Impact</u> Nil</p> <p>Staff, Trade Unions and Heads of Establishment of Services</p>											

**APPENDIX 6.4****SERVICE : Culture & Learning****BUDGET PROPOSALS****PROPOSED BUDGET SAVINGS - DETAILED INFORMATION****Category - IG - Income Generation, E - Efficiency, SC - Service Changes**

Ref No.	Service Change	Category	Financial Impact (£'000)				Personnel Impact			
			2008/09	2009/10	2010/11	2011/12	2008/09	2009/10	2010/11	2011/12
		£'000	£'000	£'000	£'000	FTE		5	5	5
L05	Reduction in overall staff costs for Bucksburn Academy, Mile End/Beechwood, Heatherburn and Manorpark Schools (3Rs new and combined schools)	E	185	278	278	Head Count		5	5	5
<b>DESCRIPTION</b>										
<i>This would result in savings through;</i>										
Savings from School rationalisation will be accrued by: Staffing – teaching and non-teaching Running costs of the school										
New management structures are being developed for the new schools as they are bringing together more than one existing school. This will result in a reduction in the number senior managers.										
There will also be a reduction in support and administrative staff.										
<b>Deliverability Issues/Risks/Legislative Background:</b>										
Requirement to ensure that new combined schools are staffed in accordance with appropriate revised staffing formulae allocations.										
A properly planned transition to the new 3R schools will be required. This requires a dedicated project team throughout the implementation period.										
<b>Consultation required:</b> Head Teachers and staff, Trades Unions – teaching and non-teaching, Parent Councils of relevant schools, Elected members										
Impact on Council Policy – VDFL - positive In line with Council 3Rs policy - VDFL 1 If rationalisation is properly delivered this will make education more efficient and effective and therefore better placed to deliver on all VDFL commitments										
Impact on Single Outcome Agreement - positive A more efficient and effective service will be better placed to deliver SOA										

**APPENDIX 6.4****SERVICE : Culture & Learning****BUDGET PROPOSALS****PROPOSED BUDGET SAVINGS - DETAILED INFORMATION****Category - IG - Income Generation, E - Efficiency, SC - Service Changes**

Ref No.	Service Change	Category	Financial Impact (£'000)				Personnel Impact					
			2008/09 £'000	2009/10 £'000	2010/11 £'000	2011/12 £'000	FTE	Head Count	2008/09	2009/10	2010/11	2011/12
L06	<i>Redesign and restructuring of Culture &amp; Learning Support teams across the city.</i>	E		200	250	250			6*	6	6	6
<b>DESCRIPTION</b>												
<b><i>This would result in savings through;</i></b> 10% efficiency across all Culture & Learning Central and Area support teams through service redesign and restructuring.												
Have identified a range of staff at senior management and support level that currently contributes towards Culture & Learning support functions. These staff are funded through the following budget codes G10130, G12130, G12160, G14130, G16110, G27160, E1005, G16100.												
<b>Deliverability Issues/Risks/Legislative Background:</b> Capacity to deliver during time of change Voluntary Severance /redundancy costs.  Some of the postholders who would fall within the scope of a review have expressed an interest and been progressed to stage 2 of the VS/ER process .  (Need to clarify with Areas re staff associated with culture & learning not yet allocated to C&L)												
<b>Consultation required:</b> <i>unions</i>  Noted interests in VS/ER may facilitate the implementation of this proposal.  Need to review the support requirements of a single Culture & learning service  *fe based upon an average salary.												
<i>Impact on Council policy – Reduce support and challenge for schools in the delivery of an education for 21<sup>st</sup> Century VDFL No: 3, 5, 6, 8,9 10, 11, 12, &amp; 13</i>  Single Outcome Agreement: To be determined through the impact assessment process												

**APPENDIX 6.4****SERVICE : Culture & Learning****BUDGET PROPOSALS****PROPOSED BUDGET SAVINGS - DETAILED INFORMATION****Category - IG - Income Generation, E - Efficiency, SC - Service Changes**

Ref	Service Change	Category	Financial Impact (£'000)					FTE	Head Count	2008/09	2009/10	2010/11	2011/12	Personnel Impact	
			2008/09	2009/10	2010/11	2011/12									
No.			£'000	£'000	£'000	£'000									
L07	School Estate Strategy	E	0	1,000	2,000										
<b>DESCRIPTION</b>															
	Recommend that there are no new school closures in 2009/10, to enable time for closures in 08/09 to be consolidated, and for the completion of the 3Rs project.														
	School Estate Strategy to be continued in 2010/11														
	Savings from School Estate rationalisation are accrued by:														
	Staffing – teaching and non-teaching														
	Running costs of the school														
	Disposal of the site														
	Indicative savings for a Secondary School - 500K														
	Indicative savings from a Primary School - 150K														
	<b>Deliverability Issues/Risks/Legislative Background:</b>														
	There are significant efficiencies that need to be realised from the School Estate ( see separate Strategy Paper). However in order to this effectively it is proposed that 2009/10 is a period of planning and dialogue with stakeholders. Implementation would be in 2010/11 onwards in line with the agreed Strategy for Learning.														
	A properly planned school estate strategy would require:														
	Capacity to implement with a dedicated project team throughout the implementation period.														
	Significant lead in time required as per Scottish Government guidance – 6 month minimum														
	Legal, property and planning support required														
	Costs of any modifications required to absorb pupils into receiving school/s														
	DDA/Fire Standards cost implications														
	Implications for Regeneration Strategy and community links/pupils														
	Public/parental/political engagement														
	Potential historical tension between communities/pupils														
	Saving requires immediate disposal of school site upon closure to obtain full property savings.														
	<b>Consultation Required:</b>														
	Requires Statutory Consultation period														
	Teachers' Consultative Forum														
	Union Management Forum														
	Parent Councils														
	Schools														
	Committee process														
	Impact on Council Policy – Positive VDFL 1,2,3.														
	If rationalisation is properly delivered this will make education more efficient and effective and therefore better placed to deliver on all VDFL commitments														
	<b>Impact on Single Outcome Agreement</b>														
	A more efficient and effective service will be better placed to deliver SOA														

**APPENDIX 6.4****SERVICE : Culture & Learning****BUDGET PROPOSALS****PROPOSED BUDGET SAVINGS - DETAILED INFORMATION****Category - IG - Income Generation, E - Efficiency, SC - Service Changes**

Ref	Service Change No.	Category	Financial Impact (£000)				Personnel Impact				
			2008/09 £000	2009/10 £000	2010/11 £000	2011/12 £000	FTE	2008/09	2009/10	2010/11	2011/12
L08	Social Work Children's Service, Reduction in Out of Authority Placements (learning Element)  LEAD OFFICER: Dave Tumelty	E		1,100	1,100 + additional £667 in this year	1,767		0	0	0	0
<b>DESCRIPTION</b>											
<b>Deliverability Issues/Risks/Legislative Background:</b>											
09/10  The £1.1m saving will be achieved by a reduction in the Out of Area Residential placement for Children from 42 in August 08 to an average of 25 for 09/10. Allowing for a re-investment of 25% of the cost of the external placement to support each child back in Aberdeen this saving should be achieved.  Costs of Residential Schools vary from £5,300 per week to £1,500 with 7 of the most used providers in the £4,000+ per week range. For calculation purposes an average cost of £4,000 per week has been assumed. 60% of these costs come from the Social Work budget and the remaining 40% from Education 40% investment in care packages = £1,100,000  Net saving to Council = £1,650,000											
There are a number of variables which could affect the ability to deliver this budget saving. There is currently a team working on reviewing the individual circumstances of each child and creating custom made packages of care to support each child in their return to the City, where it is safe and appropriate to do so.  This team will need to continue beyond the end of its current remit of December 2008.  The ability to deliver this budget saving is also dependent on the assessed need of each individual child who is currently, or who may potentially be placed in a resource outwith the City.  The circumstances of each child will be appropriately risk assessed as part of the review.  An additional potential risk is the failure to provide / secure / commission appropriate alternative resource within the City, although action is being taken to address this.  Not placing children in resources far away from their families and homes will result in better outcomes for vulnerable children.  <b>Consultation required:</b> There may be the need to undertake contractual and other negotiations with current and potential service providers, to ensure the provision of appropriate resources to support vulnerable children and young people.											

**APPENDIX 6.4****SERVICE : Culture & Learning****BUDGET PROPOSALS****PROPOSED BUDGET SAVINGS - DETAILED INFORMATION****Category - IG - Income Generation, E - Efficiency, SC - Service Changes**

Ref No.	Service Change	Category	Financial Impact (£000)				FTE	Personnel Impact
			2008/09	2009/10	2010/11	2011/12		
			£000	£000	£000	£000		
	<b>Social Work Children's Service, Reduction in Out of Authority Placements (learning Element) (Continued)</b>	The project team are already working closely with colleagues in Education and other Council services to ensure a joint approach to supporting the return of these children to the City, including ensuring access to appropriate educational supports.						
		It is proposed that if the team and the approach continues, that additional children can either be returned to the City or prevented from entering expensive resources, due to the individual tailoring of packages of care and support, thereby achieving additional savings / reduction in expenditure which would otherwise be occurred.						

**APPENDIX 6.4****SERVICE : Culture & Learning****BUDGET PROPOSALS****PROPOSED BUDGET SAVINGS - DETAILED INFORMATION****Category - IG - Income Generation, E - Efficiency, SC - Service Changes**

Ref No.	Service Change	Category	Financial Impact (£'000)				Personnel Impact				
			2008/09 £'000	2009/10 £'000	2010/11 £'000	2011/12 £'000	FTE	2008/09 tbc	2009/10 tbc	2010/11 tbc	2011/12 tbc
L09	2% efficiency saving across special schools	E			78	118	118				
<b>DESCRIPTION</b>											
This would result in savings through											
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>➤ Review the application of the revised formula for special schools teaching staff</li> <li>➤ % Reduction in teaching formula following review of setting of formula for 08/09</li> </ul> <p>Implications for clients/customers</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>➤ Reduced 1-1 support</li> <li>➤ Reduction in subject choice</li> </ul>											
<b>Deliverability Issues/Risks/Legislative Background:</b> <p>Reduced capacity to meet pupil needs            Challenge/resistance from parents/staff/pupils/elected members/legal services            ASL Act – increase in requests for Independent Adjudication and ASN Tribunal            Impact on ability of schools to be flexible with regard to mid-year intake            Possible health and Safety risks – reduced capacity to support very challenging pupils            Potential increase in violent incidents and exclusions leading to increase in out-with authority placements and increase in related costs            Potential impact on Social Work budgets</p>											
<b>Consultation required:</b> <p>Trade unions – teaching and non-teaching            Parent Councils of affected schools            Headteachers and staff            Elected Members</p> <p>Impact on Council Policy– Negative/ minimal This is likely to impact on the achievement of some of our most vulnerable children VDFL No: 6, 12            Single Outcome Agreement – Neutral</p>											

**APPENDIX 6.4****SERVICE : Culture & Learning****BUDGET PROPOSALS****PROPOSED BUDGET SAVINGS - DETAILED INFORMATION****Category - IG - Income Generation, E - Efficiency, SC - Service Changes**

Ref No.	Service Change	Category	Financial Impact (£'000)			Personnel Impact		
			2008/09 £'000	2009/10 £'000	2010/11 £'000	FTE	2008/09 Head Count	2009/10 Head Count
L10	Efficiency saving across ASN city-wide services	E	402	444	444	Head Count	4	4
<b>DESCRIPTION</b>								
This would result in savings through;								
Re application of 2008/2009 savings for English as an Additional Language (EAL) Unit delaying further implementation of formula								
2% Efficiencies								
➤ Restructuring of Sensory Support Service ( <i>Hearing and Visual Impairment</i> ) £8.2k (PY)								
➤ Restructuring of Pupil Support Service £60k (PY)								
➤ Further review of EAL staffing formula (PY)								
19.44k								
➤ Hospital and Home Tuition Service 6.6k								
➤ Autism Outreach 2.48K								
➤ Looked After Children provision 0.88K								
➤ Family Liaison provision 1.06K								
Review of Education Social Worker provision in Cordyce.								
➤								
<b>Deliverability Issues/Risks/Legislative Background:</b>								
Variable capacity to meet pupil needs								
Challenge/resistance from parents/staff/pupils/elected members/legal services								
Possible health and Safety risks – reduced capacity to support very challenging pupils in their local area								
Potential increase in violent incidents and exclusions leading to increase in out-with authority placements and increase in related costs if not staffed adequately								
Potential impact on Social Work budgets								
<b>Consultation required:</b>								
Trade unions – teaching and non-teaching								
Parent Councils of affected schools								
Headteachers and staff								
Elected Members								
Impact on Council Policy: Negative Impact on some of our most vulnerable children VDFL 6 & 12								
Single Outcome Agreement – Negative/None								

## APPENDIX 6.4

### SERVICE : Culture & Learning

#### BUDGET PROPOSALS

#### PROPOSED BUDGET SAVINGS - DETAILED INFORMATION

#### Category - IG - Income Generation, E - Efficiency, SC - Service Changes

Ref No.	Service Change	Category	Financial Impact (£'000)				Personnel Impact			
			2008/09		2009/10	2010/11	2011/12		2008/09	
			£'000	£'000	£'000	£'000	FTE	FTE	4	4
	<b>Efficiency saving across ASN city-wide services (Continued)</b>									
<p>6% efficiencies</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>➤ TASSCC service 6K</li> <li>➤ Movement and Handling training 4.62K</li> </ul> <p>Structural adjustment required would be;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>➤ Service redesign</li> <li>➤ Job sizing for new management posts</li> <li>➤ Relocation</li> <li>➤ Voluntary severance/early retirement/redeployment/redundancy</li> </ul> <p>Implications for clients/customers</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>➤ Potential improvement on quality and consistency of support from Pupil Support Service - Could lead to positive impact</li> <li>➤ Increased travel to access services</li> <li>➤ Possible relocation of learning base/provision</li> </ul>										

**APPENDIX 6.4**

**SERVICE : Culture & Learning**

## BUDGET PROPOSALS

## **PROPOSED BUDGET SAVINGS - DETAILED INFORMATION**

## Category - IG - Income Generation, E - Efficiency, SC - Service Changes

**APPENDIX 6.4****SERVICE : Culture & Learning****BUDGET PROPOSALS****PROPOSED BUDGET SAVINGS - DETAILED INFORMATION****Category - IG - Income Generation, E - Efficiency, SC - Service Changes**

Ref No.	Service Change	Category	Financial Impact (£000)			Personnel Impact		
			2008/09	2009/10	2010/11	2011/12	2008/09	2009/10
		£000	£000	£000	£000	FTE	tbc	tbc
	Council contribution to Reading Bus Outdoor Education Service Arts Education Service Curriculum Development (HR budget) Support for Teachers (HR budget) ASN INSET Curriculum for Excellence inter-authority work ASL Act implementation CPD Probationers Revenue Contribution to Barnardos Volunteers project Area Children's Services Development Teams Contribution to SHMU			0				
	2% from (which are primarily staffing budgets): School based student counsellors School Welfare Officers National Qualifications budget Health Improvement Officer							

**APPENDIX 6.4****SERVICE : Culture & Learning****BUDGET PROPOSALS****PROPOSED BUDGET SAVINGS - DETAILED INFORMATION****Category - IG - Income Generation, E - Efficiency, SC - Service Changes**

Ref No.	Service Change	Category	Financial Impact (£000)				Personnel Impact			
			2008/09	2009/10	2010/11	2011/12	2008/09	2009/10	2010/11	2011/12
		£000	£000	£000	£000	£000	FTE	FTE	tbc	tbc
	Family Learning Team Contribution to school based police officers > 6% from: Travel Plan budget reduce by 30% (£30K) Children's Service Development Budget reduce by 10% (£7K) Music Service reduce by 10% (£80K)	<b>Consultation required:</b> All stakeholders in Education - parents, pupils, staff trade unions and politicians, A range of partners such as other local authorities, NHS, Grampian Police and the voluntary sector.  Impact on Local Authority Policy - VDFL: Negative Efficiencies – would lead to a reduction in opportunities for pupils to become involved in , for example, environmental, outdoor, music and art education and therefore minimal impact on VDFL No: 3,5, 6, 7,8,11,12, &13  Impact on Single Outcome Agreement : Negative Efficiencies – would have some but minimal impact on the ability to deliver on Local Outcomes 3 and 4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

**APPENDIX 6.4****SERVICE : Culture & Learning****BUDGET PROPOSALS****PROPOSED BUDGET SAVINGS - DETAILED INFORMATION****Category - IG - Income Generation, E - Efficiency, SC - Service Changes**

Ref No.	Service Change	Category	Financial Impact (£000)				Personnel Impact				
			2008/09	2009/10	2010/11	2011/12	2008/09	2009/10	2010/11	2011/12	
			£000	£000	£000	£000	FTE	FTE			
L12	Review of Breakfast Provision				600	0	0				
		<b>DESCRIPTION</b>									
		<b>Deliverability Issues/Risks/Legislative Background:</b>	Manifesto commitment to breakfast provision will not be delivered until 2010/11								
			This is for one year only and will require further review for 2010-2011								
			Important to note link to budget proforma 12 and to ensure no double count with EAL Service proposals								
		<b>Consultation required:</b>	Parent Councils Schools and services								
			Impact on Council Policy: Negative Delays strategy for delivering VDFL No: 7								
			Single Outcome Agreement: Negative Delays strategy to meet LO5								

**APPENDIX 6.4****SERVICE : Culture & Learning****BUDGET PROPOSALS****PROPOSED BUDGET SAVINGS - DETAILED INFORMATION****Category - IG - Income Generation, E - Efficiency, SC - Service Changes**

Ref	Service Change No.	Category	Financial Impact (£'000)			Personnel Impact					
			2008/09 £'000	2009/10 £'000	2010/11 £'000	2011/12 £'000	FTE	2008/09	2009/10	2010/11	2011/12
L13	Review of Additional PE teachers				304	0	0				
<b>DESCRIPTION</b>											
<i>This would result in savings through:</i>											
Extending the decision in 08/09 to 09/10 - to postpone the roll-out of the national initiative for increasing Physical Education to 2 hours for every pupil. This was to be achieved by training and employing additional PE teachers											
Delay Additional PE teachers = £304K											
Impact for service users;											
Delay in implementation of 2 hrs PE initiative											
<b>Deliverability Issues/Risks/Legislative Background:</b>											
Policy commitment to PE.											
This is for one year only and will require further review for 2010-2011											
<b>Consultation required:</b>											
Parent Councils											
Schools and services											
Impact on Council Policy: Negative											
VDFLN No: 7,											
Single Outcome Agreement: Neutral											

**APPENDIX 6.4****SERVICE : Culture & Learning****BUDGET PROPOSALS****PROPOSED BUDGET SAVINGS - DETAILED INFORMATION****Category - IG - Income Generation, E - Efficiency, SC - Service Changes**

Ref No.	Service Change	Category	Financial Impact (£'000)				Personnel Impact				
			2008/09 £'000	2009/10 £'000	2010/11 £'000	2011/12 £'000	FTE	Head Count	2008/09	2009/10	2010/11
L14	Reconfiguration of the school week	E	TBC	TBC	TBC	TBC					
<b>DESCRIPTION</b>											
<p>This would result in savings through;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>➤ Reduced costs for covering staff to attend training courses/meetings</li> <li>➤ Marginal effect on running costs of establishments and janitorial services</li> <li>➤ Potential reduction of catering costs</li> </ul> <p>Implications for clients/customers</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>➤ Increased childcare costs for working parents</li> <li>➤ Increased unsupervised time for pupils</li> <li>➤ Readjustment to working week for business sector</li> <li>➤ Readjustment to partner agencies arrangement for meetings</li> <li>➤ Improved access to training opportunities for staff</li> </ul> <p><b>Deliverability Issues/Risks/Legislative Background:</b></p> <p><i>Challenge/resistance from parents/staff/pupils/elected members/legal services</i></p> <p><i>Lead in time of 2-3 years</i></p> <p><i>Restructuring of timetables</i></p> <p><i>Curriculum delivery</i></p> <p><i>Supply and demand for childcare</i></p> <p><i>Out of school behaviour</i></p> <p><b>Consultation required:</b></p> <p><i>Trade unions – teaching and non-teaching</i></p> <p><i>Parent Councils</i></p> <p><i>Headteachers and staff</i></p> <p><i>Elected Members</i></p> <p><i>Business sector</i></p> <p><i>Partner agencies – health and police</i></p> <p><i>Careers Scotland</i></p> <p><i>Voluntary Sector</i></p> <p><i>All Council services</i></p> <p><i>Scottish Government</i></p>											

## BUDGET PROPOSALS

## **PROPOSED BUDGET SAVINGS - DETAILED INFORMATION**

## Category - IG - Income Generation, E - Efficiency, SC - Service Changes

## APPENDIX 6.4

### SERVICE : Culture & Learning

#### BUDGET PROPOSALS

#### PROPOSED BUDGET SAVINGS - DETAILED INFORMATION

#### Category - IG - Income Generation, E - Efficiency, SC - Service Changes

Ref No.	Service Change	Category	Financial Impact (£'000)				FTE	Personnel Impact			
			2008/09 £'000	2009/10 £'000	2010/11 £'000	2011/12 £'000		2008/09 FTE	2009/10 FTE	2010/11 FTE	2011/12 FTE
	<b>Review Pupil Support Assistant (PSA) provision (L15 Continued)</b>	<b>Impact on Council Policy:</b> Overall Negative. The introduction of formulae will ensure improved management and effectiveness of this resource. However the budget limitations and reduction in numbers of posts will have considerable impact on terms & conditions of teaching staff and support for vulnerable children. VDFL No: 5, 6, 11 & 12 <b>Single Outcome Agreement :</b> negative This will reduce the capacity for schools to deliver on the Local Outcome Agreements 3&4									

**APPENDIX 6.4****SERVICE : Culture & Learning****BUDGET PROPOSALS****PROPOSED BUDGET SAVINGS - DETAILED INFORMATION****Category - IG - Income Generation, E - Efficiency, SC - Service Changes**

Ref No.	Service Change	Category	Financial Impact (£'000)			Personnel Impact				
			2008/09	2009/10	2010/11	2011/12	2008/09	2009/10	2010/11	2011/12
L16	<i>Reduction in teaching staff across Primary and Secondary Schools</i>		£000	£000	£000	£000	FTE			
				0	0	0		Head Count		
<b>DESCRIPTION</b>		<b>Deliverability Issues/Risks/Legislative Background:</b>								
		<p>In Session 2008/09 Staffing levels in schools were reduced. This has had considerable impact as was outlined to Council at the Policy and Strategy (Education) Committee September 2008. No further reduction should be made until comprehensive assessment is made of the impact in the classroom.</p> <p>Schools will continue to operate at the reduced staffing levels set in session 08/09, and operate at the reduced level of DEM budgets. This was realised in session 08/09 by a combination of reductions in management time, Support for Learning, internal cover for sickness at no cost, reduced option choice in S5/S6, bigger classes/reduced number teaching periods for senior pupils, and a significant reduction in Pupil Support Assistants.</p>								
		<p>This would result in savings: Recommend no further action on teaching staff while we assess the impact of the formula reduction in 08/09, and benchmarking data.</p> <p>Consultation required: Impact on Council Policy: Neutral Single Outcome Agreement: Neutral</p>								

**APPENDIX 6.4****SERVICE : Culture & Learning****BUDGET PROPOSALS****PROPOSED BUDGET SAVINGS - DETAILED INFORMATION****Category - IG - Income Generation, E - Efficiency, SC - Service Changes**

Ref No.	Service Change	Category	Financial Impact (£'000)					Personnel Impact	2008/09	2009/10	2010/11	2011/12
			2008/09	2009/10	2010/11							
L17	School Estate Strategy (alternative)	SC	£000	£000	£000	FTE		10+	20+	30+		
<b>DESCRIPTION</b>												
<b>This would result in savings through;</b>												
If required 3 Primary schools could be closed in 2009/10 by revisiting the proposals that were not taken to formal consultation in 2008/09 – Policy and Strategy (Education) September 08												
Savings from School Estate rationalisation are accrued by:												
Staffing – teaching and non-teaching												
Running costs of the school												
Disposal of the site												
Indicative savings for a Secondary School - 500K												
Indicative savings from a Primary School - 150K												
<b>Deliverability Issues/Risks/Legislative Background:</b>												
If immediate reductions in the school estate were required then it would be possible to close 3 Primary schools as proposed but not taken to formal consultation in 2008. This would realise savings of around £450K												
A properly planned school estate strategy would require:												
Capacity to implement with a dedicated project team throughout the implementation period.												
Significant lead in time required as per Scottish Government guidance – 6 month minimum												
Legal, property and planning support required												
Costs of any modifications required to absorb pupils into receiving school/s												
DDA/Fire Standards cost implications												
Implications for Regeneration Strategy and community links/culture												
Public/parental/political engagement.												
Potential historical tension between communities/pupils												
Saving requires immediate disposal of school site upon closure to obtain full property savings.												
<b>Consultation required:</b>												
Requires Statutory Consultation period												
Teachers' Consultative Forum												
Union Management Forum												
Parent Councils												
Schools												
Committee process												
Impact on Council Policy – Positive 1,2,3VDFL												
Impact on Single Outcome Agreement To be quantified as part of Impact assessment process												

**APPENDIX 6.4****SERVICE : Culture & Learning****BUDGET PROPOSALS****PROPOSED BUDGET SAVINGS - DETAILED INFORMATION****Category - IG - Income Generation, E - Efficiency, SC - Service Changes**

Ref No.	Service Change	Category	Financial Impact (£'000)			Personnel Impact			
			2008/09	2009/10	2010/11	2011/12	2008/09	2009/10	2010/11
L18	Efficiencies from Education Support Provision (alternative)	£'000	£000	£000	£000	FTE	tbc	tbc	tbc
				2,916	2,916	2,916			
<b>DESCRIPTION</b>		<b>Deliverability Issues/Risks/Legislative Background:</b>							
	To deliver efficiency savings from the following services/budgets:  If required the majority of Education support provision could cease.		Removal of the majority education support provision would significantly damage the educational experience of young people. The Education service would not be able to provide any additional services beyond the classroom and therefore fail to provide normal enhancement opportunities for the majority of young people in Aberdeen. There would be considerable adverse reaction and possibly legal and ministerial challenge especially around areas of Additional Support Needs						
		<b>Consultation required:</b>	All stakeholders in Education - parents, pupils, staff, trade unions and politicians, A range of partners such as other local authorities, NHS, Grampian Police and the voluntary sector.						
			Impact on Local Authority Policy - VDFL: Extremely Negative Closure of services would significantly reduce capacity to deliver on all education priorities within VDFL						
			Impact on Single Outcome Agreement : Extremely Negative Closure would mean we would not be able to deliver on Local Outcomes 3 and 4						

**APPENDIX 6.4****SERVICE : Culture & Learning****BUDGET PROPOSALS****PROPOSED BUDGET SAVINGS - DETAILED INFORMATION****Category - IG - Income Generation, E - Efficiency, SC - Service Changes**

Ref No.	Service Change	Category	Financial Impact (£'000)			FTE	Head count	Personnel Impact			
			2008/09	2009/10	2010/11			2008/09	2009/10	2010/11	2011/12
L19	Reduction in teaching staff across Primary and Secondary Schools	SC			£000	£000	£000	FTE	Option 1: 41.43 Option 2: 42.78	tbc	tbc
<b>Deliverability Issues/Risks/Legislative Background:</b>											
<p>In Session 2008/09 Staffing levels in schools were reduced. This has had considerable impact as was outlined to Council at the Policy and Strategy (Education) Committee September 2008.</p> <p>Schools will continue to operate at the reduced staffing levels set in session 08/09, and operate at the reduced level of DEM budgets. This was realised in session 08/09 by a combination of reductions in management time, Support for Learning, internal cover for sickness at no cost, reduced option choice in S5/S6, bigger classes/reduced number teaching periods for senior pupils, and a significant reduction in Pupil Support Assistants.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Further reductions are made to the staffing levels for one academic year then these would be the issues:</li> </ul> <p>Similar strategies to previous reduction but with greater impact including:            Potential increase in exclusions and violent incidents            Challenge under the Education (Additional Support for Learning) (Scotland) Act 2004 because of lack of capacity to meet pupil needs            Increased pressure on other services and special schools            Reduction in positive inspection outcomes            Pressure from SNCT, COSLA, GTC, HMie re national agreements requirements for class sizes            Parent/Political pressure            Impact on teaching terms and conditions, salaries and job descriptions re McCrone Agreement            Potential strike action by teaching and non-teaching staff            Negative media reaction            ER/V/S/Redundancy Costs</p>											
<p><b>DESCRIPTION</b></p> <p>This would result in savings:            If required the following options could be implemented some of which would be for one academic year:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Option 1 - Reduction in teacher staffing:               <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Further Primary formula reduction of 2.5% (21.72) fte to 94.5%</li> <li>Further Secondary formula reduction of 2.5% (19.71 fte) to 92.55%</li> </ul> </li> <li>Option 2 – Combination of increasing class sizes in Primary 1 and S1/S2 Maths and English; and smaller reduction in formula for primary but no reduction in formula for secondary               <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Increase in class sizes at P1 to 30 – minimum 80k (3fte) plus a further reduction of 2%(17.38fte) to 95%</li> <li>Increase S1 and S2 English and Maths class size to 30 – 597k (22.4fte)</li> </ul> </li> </ul> <p>Structural adjustment required would be:            Compulsory transfer/voluntary severance/early retirement/ and potential redundancy of teachers.</p>											

**APPENDIX 6.4****SERVICE : Culture & Learning****BUDGET PROPOSALS****PROPOSED BUDGET SAVINGS - DETAILED INFORMATION****Category - IG - Income Generation, E - Efficiency, SC - Service Changes**

Ref No.	Service Change	Category	Financial Impact (£'000)			FTE	Personnel Impact			
			2008/09	2009/10	2010/11		2008/09	2009/10	2010/11	2011/12
			£'000	£'000	£'000	£'000				
<b><i>Reduction in teaching staff across Primary and Secondary Schools (Continued)</i></b>										
<p><b>Consultation required:</b> Headteachers, Parent Councils, Trade Unions, Scottish Government, Elected Members and Area Committees, HMIE</p> <p>Impact on Council Policy: Extremely Negative Council Policy is that Head Teachers will not be required to teach in normal circumstances. This would contravene that policy.</p> <p>VDFL No: 2,3,4,5,7,8,9,10,11,12,&amp;13</p> <p>Schools would not have the staffing capacity to deliver on the key educational priorities within VDFL</p> <p>Single Outcome Agreement: Extremely Negative</p> <p>Schools would not have the staffing capacity to deliver on the National Outcomes that relate to formal education</p> <p>National Outcome 3 – better educated more skilled and more successful young people National Outcome 4 – implementation of the Curriculum for Excellence</p>										

BUDGET PROPOSALSSUMMARY INFORMATIONCategory - IG - Income Generation, E - Efficiency, SC - Service Changes

Ref No.	Title of Service Change	Category	Financial Impact (£'000)				Personnel Impact				2011/12		
			2008/09 £'000	2009/10 £'000	2010/11 £'000	2011/12 £'000	FTE	Head Count	FTE	Head Count	FTE	Head Count	
SP01	<b>Beach Leisure Centre</b>	E		17.00	17.00		1.2	3.0	1.2	3.0	1.2	3.0	
SP02	<b>Westburn Tennis Centre</b>	E		8.00	8.00		0.5	1.0	0.5	1.0	0.5	1.0	
SP03	<b>Linksfield Swimming Pool Closure</b>	SC	120.00	120.00			7.0	10.0	7.0	10.0	7.0	10.0	
SP04	<b>Kings Links Golf Course</b>	E	8.00	8.00			0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
SP05	<b>Westburn Outdoor Centre</b>	E	1.00	1.00			0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
SP06	<b>St Machar Outdoor Centre</b>	SC	22.00	22.00			1.2	3.0	1.2	3.0	1.2	3.0	
SP07	<b>Hilton Outdoor Centre</b>	E	1.00	1.00			0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
SP08	<b>Northfield Swimming Pool</b>	SC	6.50	6.50			0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
SP09	<b>Bridge of Don Pool</b>	SC	20.50	20.50			3.1	6.0	3.1	6.0	3.1	6.0	
SP10	<b>Bucksburn Swimming Pool</b>	SC	20.00	20.00			1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	
SP11	<b>Kincorth Sports Centre</b>	SC	40.00	40.00			1.4	2.0	1.4	2.0	1.4	2.0	
SP12	<b>Tullioz Pool</b>	SC	120.00	120.00			6.7	8.0	6.7	8.0	6.7	8.0	
SP13	<b>Aulton Pavilion</b>	E	1.00	1.00			0.2	1.0	0.2	1.0	0.2	1.0	
SP14	<b>Sports Posts</b>	E	75.00	75.00			2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	
<b>Sports Total</b>			<b>460.00</b>	<b>460.00</b>	<b>460.00</b>		<b>24.3</b>	<b>37.0</b>	<b>24.3</b>	<b>37.0</b>	<b>24.3</b>	<b>37.0</b>	
<b>Additional 2%+ Proposals</b>													
SP15	<b>Hilton Outdoor Centre</b>	SC		16.00	16.00			1.2	3.0	1.2	3.0	1.2	3.0
SP16	<b>Withdrawal of P4 Swimming</b>	SC		127.00	127.00			2.1	20.0	2.1	20.0	2.1	20.0
SP17	<b>Peterculter Sports Centre</b>	SC		40.00	40.00			1.4	2.0	1.4	2.0	1.4	2.0

## APPENDIX 6.4

### SERVICE: Aberdeen City Sports—Central

#### BUDGET PROPOSALS

#### DETAILED INFORMATION

#### Category - IG - Income Generation, E - Efficiency, SC - Service Changes

Ref No.	Service Change	Category	Financial Impact (£'000)			FTE	Head Count	Personnel Impact
			2008/09 £'000	2009/10 £'000	2010/11 £'000			
SP01	Beach Leisure Centre	E		17	17	17		1.2
	Staffing Efficiency						3	3
		DESCRIPTION						
		Deliverability Issues/Risks/Legislative Background:						
		Reflects the adjustment of staffing levels and schedules to meet new program, wherein specific groups are self supervised.	Service Impact- Low Risk Increase of duties to leisure attendants. Major cleaning has been undertaken by external contractor for past year and this affords efficiency savings. Already in progress. No impact to members of the public.					
		Deliverability Issues	Implications of the training and monitoring of service by site management to ensure quality of service provision (internal/external). Have already established expectations and sought solicitation for VS/ER which would assist in the absorption of this.					
		Legislative Background	Potential equality challenge regarding the targeting of part time posts in that they are available for the most diverse workforce given their regular hours, shift pattern etc. Potential negative impact on unskilled workers and the opportunities available to them.					
		Policy Impact: Nil						
		Budget Holder: Neil Bruce/Steve Russell						
		Consultation required:						
		Staff, Unions and HR						

**APPENDIX 6.4****SERVICE: Aberdeen City Sports—Central****BUDGET PROPOSALS****DETAILED INFORMATION****Category - IG - Income Generation, E - Efficiency, SC - Service Changes**

Ref	Service Change	Category	Financial Impact (£'000)				Personnel Impact			
			2008/09	2009/10	2010/11	2011/12	2008/09	2009/10	2010/11	2011/12
No. SP02	Westburn Tennis Centre									
	Staffing Efficiency	E	£000	£000	£000	FTE	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5
<b>Deliverability Issues/Risks/Legislative Background:</b>										
Operational efficiencies related to overall budget exercise.										
Reduction in hours of part-time employee to accommodate this change. No impact to members of the public.										
Deliverability issues										
Service Impact- Low Risk										
Re-allocation of schedules and operational rota's to provide less opportunity for site management overlap.										
Reduction in hours of part-time employee to accommodate this change. No impact to members of the public.										
Deliverability issues										
Implications of the ongoing development of the activity and sports as a healthy focus for the City. Practical focus of the site staff will be the deliverability of the program, not the development of the City wide health and physical health agenda. Potential long term implications for the viability of the site and its provision of a healthy option for the community, if development is not actively engaged in.										
Legislative Background										
Potential equality challenge regarding the targeting of part time posts in that they are available for the most diverse workforce given their regular hours, shift pattern etc. Potential negative impact on staff development and ACC as an employer of choice and the development of a skilled, focussed, well motivated workforce.										
Policy Impact: Nil										
Budget Holder: Neil Bruce/Steve Russell										
<b>Consultation required:</b>										

## APPENDIX 6.4

### SERVICE: Aberdeen City Sports—Central

#### BUDGET PROPOSALS

#### DETAILED INFORMATION

##### Category - IG - Income Generation, E - Efficiency, SC - Service Changes

Ref No.	Service Change	Category	Financial Impact (£'000)				FTE	Personnel Impact
			2008/09	2009/10	2010/11	2011/12		
			£'000	£'000	£'000	£'000		
SP02	Westburn Tennis Centre	(cont) Staff, Unions and HR						

**APPENDIX 6.4****SERVICE: Aberdeen City Sports—Central****BUDGET PROPOSALS****DETAILED INFORMATION****Category - IG - Income Generation, E - Efficiency, SC - Service Changes**

Ref	Service Change	Category	Financial Impact (£'000)			FTE	Head Count	Personnel Impact			
			2008/09	2009/10	2010/11			2008/09	2009/10	2010/11	2011/12
No. SP03	<b>Linksfield Swimming Pool</b>		£000	£000	£000			7	7	7	7
	<b>Complete Service Withdrawal</b>	SC		120	120	120		10	10	10	10
<b>DESCRIPTION</b>											
Complete closure of the facility and service											
<b>Deliverability Issues/Risks/Legislative Background:</b>											
<b>High Risk Service Impact</b> - Issue of full service withdrawal within a Regeneration Area, healthy living support to specific users groups, loss of income, community & political											
<b>Financial Impact</b>											
The net revenue budget for Linksfield Swimming Pool for the financial year 2008/09 is £120k											

**APPENDIX 6.4****SERVICE: Aberdeen City Sports—Central****BUDGET PROPOSALS****DETAILED INFORMATION****Category - IG - Income Generation, E - Efficiency, SC - Service Changes**

Ref No.	Service Change	Category	Financial Impact (£'000)			FTE	Head Count	Personnel Impact		
			2008/09	2009/10	2010/11			2008/09	2009/10	2010/11
SP04	Kings Links Golf Course	£'000	£'000	£'000	£'000	E	8	8	0	0
	Staffing Efficiency								0	0
<b>DESCRIPTION</b>										
Operational efficiencies related to overall budget exercise.										
<b>Deliverability Issues/Risks/Legislative Background:</b>										
Service Impact- Low Risk Changes to rotas, to reduce overtime, through closer management of staff. Opportunity to address this with the changing staff complement as a result of Corporate trawl of VS/ER. Limited impact on members of the public.										
Deliverability issues										
None										
Legislative Background										
None										
Policy Impact: Nil										
Budget Holder: Neil Bruce/Steve Russell										

## APPENDIX 6.4

SERVICE: Aberdeen City Sports—Central

### BUDGET PROPOSALS

### DETAILED INFORMATION

#### Category - IG - Income Generation, E - Efficiency, SC - Service Changes

Ref	Service Change	Category	Financial Impact (£'000)				Personnel Impact				
			2008/09	2009/10	2010/11	2011/12	2008/09	2009/10	2010/11	2011/12	
No.	Kings Links Golf Course		£'000	£'000	£'000	FTE		0	0	0	0
SP04											
		<b>Consultation required:</b>									
		Need to consult with users on potential implications of these efficiencies									

**APPENDIX 6.4****SERVICE: Aberdeen City Sports—Central****BUDGET PROPOSALS****DETAILED INFORMATION****Category - IG - Income Generation, E - Efficiency, SC - Service Changes**

Ref No.	Service Change	Category	Financial Impact (£'000)				Personnel Impact			
			2008/09	2009/10	2010/11	2011/12	2008/09	2009/10	2010/11	2011/12
SP05	Westburn Outdoor Centre		£000	£000	£000	£000	FTE	0	0	0
	Staffing Efficiency	E		1	1	1	Head Count	0	0	0
<b>DESCRIPTION</b>										
Operational efficiencies related to overall budget exercise.										
<b>Deliverability Issues/Risks/Legislative Background:</b>										
Service Impact- Low Risk Close monitoring of site "spend" will afford these operational savings. Low risk, although savings may be offset against a reduction in income.										
<b>Deliverability issues</b> Implications of the ongoing development of the activity and sports as a healthy focus for the City. Practical focus of ability of the service to operate at short notice in order to meet service users needs if staff are not trained/ developed to address these needs. Potential long term implications for the viability of the site and the provision of a healthy physical option for the community, if future development is not actively planned.										
<b>Legislative Background</b> Potential equality challenge regarding the targeting of part time posts in that they are available for the most diverse workforce given their hours, shift pattern etc.										
Policy Impact: Nil Budget Holder: Neil Bruce/Steve Russell										
<b>Consultation required:</b> Complete.										

**APPENDIX 6.4****SERVICE: Aberdeen City Sports—Central****BUDGET PROPOSALS****DETAILED INFORMATION****Category - IG - Income Generation, E - Efficiency, SC - Service Changes**

Ref No.	Service Change	Category	Financial Impact (£'000)				Personnel Impact			
			2008/09	2009/10	2010/11	2011/12	2008/09	2009/10	2010/11	2011/12
SP06	St Machar Outdoor Centre		£000	£000	£000	£000	FTE		1.2	1.2
	Staffing/ Service Withdrawal	SC		22	22	22	Head Count		3	3
<b>DESCRIPTION</b>										
<b>Deliverability Issues/Risks/Legislative Background:</b>										
<p>Withdraw the operation of the Outdoor Centre, based upon previous years' usage. Currently the lowest usage of the Council's 7 outdoor centres.</p> <p>Service Impact High Risk – Loss of local facility to community and bowling clubs.</p> <p>Deliverability issues Recognition that on a City wide basis other sites offer more complete option for those seeking to be involved with the activities on offer.</p> <p>Legislative Background None</p> <p>Policy Impact: Nil Budget Holder: Neil Bruce/Steve Russell</p> <p><b>Consultation required:</b> Users, Trade Unions, Staff.</p>										

**APPENDIX 6.4****SERVICE: Aberdeen City Sports—Central****BUDGET PROPOSALS****DETAILED INFORMATION****Category - IG - Income Generation, E - Efficiency, SC - Service Changes**

Ref	Service Change	Category	Financial Impact (£'000)			Personnel Impact			
			2008/09	2009/10	2010/11	2011/12	2008/09	2009/10	2010/11
No. SP 07	Hilton Outdoor Centre								
		£'000	£'000	£'000	£'000	FTE	0	0	0
	Staffing Efficiency	E	1	1	1	Head Count	0	0	0
	<b>DESCRIPTION</b>	<b>Deliverability Issues/Risks/Legislative Background:</b>							
	Operational efficiencies related to overall budget exercise.	Service Impact- Low Risk Close monitoring of site "spend" will afford these operational savings.							
		Deliverability issues							
		None							
		Legislative Background							
		None							
		Policy Impact: Nil Budget Holder: Neil Bruce/Steve Russell							
		<b>Consultation required:</b>							
		Complete.							

## APPENDIX 6.4

### SERVICE: Aberdeen City Sports—Central

#### BUDGET PROPOSALS

#### DETAILED INFORMATION

#### Category - IG - Income Generation, E - Efficiency, SC - Service Changes

Ref	Service Change	Category	Financial Impact (£'000)				Personnel Impact			
			2008/09	2009/10	2010/11	2011/12	2008/09	2009/10	2010/11	2011/12
No. SP 08	Northfield Swimming Pool Service Change		£000	£000	£000	£000	FTE			
	Staffing/Service Reduction	SC		6.5	6.5	6.5		Head Count		
<b>DESCRIPTION</b>										
Reflects the revision of staffing levels and associated rosters to deliver new programme wherein specific groups are self supervised.										
No significant impact to users has been identified as a result of programme revision.										
<b>Deliverability Issues/Risks/Legislative Background:</b>										
<b>Service Impact- Low Risk</b>										
Risks have been addressed through ongoing consultation with the affected groups (staff and users).										
<b>Deliverability Issues</b>										
Budgets have already been revised to incorporate the savings made during 08/09 financial year. Further saving deliverable in 09/10 due to reduced staffing costs experienced during 2008/09.										
However, proportion of savings may be offset against a reduction in income, if usage patterns change over time.										
<b>Legislative Background</b>										
None										
Policy Impact: Nil										
Budget Holder: Dave Sharman										
<b>Consultation required:</b>										
Consultation process complete with revised programme and staffing structure in place										

**APPENDIX 6.4**

## SERVICE: Aberdeen City Sports—Central

## BUDGET PROPOSALS

## **DETAILED INFORMATION**

Category - IG - Income Generation, E - Efficiency, SC - Service Changes

**APPENDIX 6.4**

**SERVICE: Aberdeen City Sports—Central**

## **BUDGET PROPOSALS**

DETAILED INFORMATION

Category - IG - Income Generation, E - Efficiency, SC - Service Changes

Ref	Service Change	Category	Financial Impact (£'000)					Personnel Impact		
			2008/09	2009/10	2010/11	2011/12		2008/09	2009/10	2010/11
No.	SP	£'000	£'000	£'000	£'000		FTE	Head Count		
10	Bucksburn Swimming Pool: Service Change	SC	20	20	20		0	0	1	1
	Staffing Reduction								1	1
	<b>DESCRIPTION</b>	<b>Deliverability Issues/Risks/Legislative Background:</b>								
		Reduce staffing requirement in line with public usage with savings identified through operational structure revision in 09/10.	Service Impact- Low Risk	Employment risks will require to be addressed through consultation with staff and unions.	Deliverability Issues	Saving deliverable in 09/10 due to staffing cost projections on full 12 month staff rota for facility and reducing the staffing by 1 x 37 hrs lifeguard.	Legislative Background	None	Proportion of savings may be offset against a reduction in income, if usage patterns change over time.	
									Policy Impact: Nil	
									Budget Holder: Dave Sharman	
									<b>Consultation required:</b>	Staff, trade unions and user groups.

**APPENDIX 6.4****SERVICE: Aberdeen City Sports—Central****BUDGET PROPOSALS****DETAILED INFORMATION****Category - IG - Income Generation, E - Efficiency, SC - Service Changes**

No.	Kincorth Sports Centre	2008/09	2009/10	2010/11	2011/12	2008/09	2009/10	2010/11	2011/12
SP		£000	£000	£000	£000	FTE			
11	Reduction in Staff and Service	SC	40	40	40	Head Count		2	2

**DESCRIPTION**

1. Reduce opening hours based on historical usage: afternoon openings on days where there has been low usage during mornings and early closures where there has been low evening usage.

2. Reduce staffing from 3 Duty Manager model to 2 Duty Manager model and removal of 2 part time shifts to reflect reduced opening hours

**Deliverability Issues/Risks/Legislative Background:**

Service Impact Medium Risk - Opening hours deliverability—reduction in service provision of some 23.25 hour. User impact - Community and school usage during reduced times impacted. Pre-existing Service Level Agreement with Leaping Leopards for morning crèche service delivery.

Deliverability – Reduction of 1 FTE Duty Manager post plus reduction of 15 hours part-time hours. Could possibly be achieved through VS/ER or dis-establishment of posts.

Policy Impact: Nil

Budget Holder: Jane Nicklen

**Consultation required:**

Community, Staff/Unions, Leaping Leopards, Other Existing Users.

**BUDGET PROPOSALS****DETAILED INFORMATION****Category - IG - Income Generation, E - Efficiency, SC - Service Changes**

Ref	Service Change	Category	Financial Impact (£'000)					Personnel Impact			
			2008/9	2009/10	2010/11	2011/12		2008/9	2009/10	2010/11	2011/12
SP 12	Tullos Swimming Pool	SC	£000	£000	£000	£000	FTE		6.7	6.7	6.7
	Withdrawal of Service and Staff		120	120	120	120					
<b>DESCRIPTION</b>											
<b>Deliverability Issues/Risks/Legislative Background:</b>											
High Risk Service Impact - Issue of full service withdrawal within a Regeneration Area, healthy living support to specific users groups, loss of income, community & political Regeneration Area Impact:											
Financial Impact The revenue budget for Tullos swimming pool for the financial year 2008/09 is £215,665. Income is generated from public and club use, swimming lessons and membership fees. For the financial year 2007/08 takings up to Period 5 (August) were £13,067 and, up to same period this financial year takings were £40,771 an increase of 212%. This does not take account of lesson and membership fees which are payable in Period 12.											
This is a well used facility but due to the current closure and the requirement for significant investment it is recommended that it be considered for permanent closure. Current quotations to make the building safe are some £72K. In addition a further 16K capital expenditure would be required to provide lockers for customers in lieu of the existing basket arrangement and to affect necessary repairs to seating.											
Existing staff have been temporarily transferred to other sports sites where, at times they are surplus to operating requirements.											
Deliverability—implementation would require time to address staffing issues, fully disburse assets/cancel contracts/address closure issues.											
Policy Impact: Nil											
<b>Consultation required:</b> Staff/trade unions/user groups./community/elected members/school/police											

APPENDIX 6.4

## **SERVICE: Aberdeen City Sports—Central**

## BUDGET PROPOSALS

## DETAILED INFORMATION

Category - IG - Income Generation, E - Efficiency, SC - Service Changes

## APPENDIX 6.4

### SERVICE: Aberdeen City Sports—Central

#### BUDGET PROPOSALS

#### DETAILED INFORMATION

##### Category - IG - Income Generation, E - Efficiency, SC - Service Changes

Ref	Service Change	Category	Financial Impact (£'000)				Personnel Impact			
			2008/09	2009/10	2010/11	2011/12	2008/09	2009/10	2010/11	2011/12
No. SP14	<b>Sports posts</b>	£000	£000	£000	£000	FTE	2	2	2	2
	Staff efficiency	E		75	75	Head Count	2	2	2	2
	<b>DESCRIPTION</b>	<b>Deliverability Issues/Risks/Legislative Background:</b>								
	Review of sports structures in line with moving sports facilities into a trust. And reduce sports establishment by 2 posts – there are currently a number of vacancies across the service.	<p>Service Impact- Low Risk The sports service will require to be redesigned and efficiencies will be incorporated into new jobs and structures There will be no significant impact to service users or partners.</p> <p>Deliverability issues The Council's directly provided sports function will require to be reorganised once the Sports Trust is in place and this will afford opportunities to appoint staff to new posts. There are currently a number of vacancies across the service and the Culture and Learning SMT will manage the recruitment processes to ensure that the vacancy figure and savings are realised .</p> <p>Legislative Background None</p> <p>Policy Impact: Nil Budget Holder: C&amp;L officers</p> <p><b>Consultation required:</b> Staff, Unions and HR</p>								

Ref	Service Change	Category	Financial Impact (£'000)	Personnel Impact
G/Group/Everyone/Budget 09-10/Savings Proposals/ R M Committee 25-11-08/Sport Detail				

## APPENDIX 6.4

### SERVICE: Aberdeen City Sports—Central

#### BUDGET PROPOSALS

#### DETAILED INFORMATION

Category - IG - Income Generation, E - Efficiency, SC - Service Changes

No.	SP	DESCRIPTION	2008/09	2009/10	2010/11	2011/12	2008/09	2009/10	2010/11	2011/12
			£000	£000	£000	FTE	Head Count			
15		Hilton Outdoor Centre Withdraw the operation of the outdoor centre based based upon previous years' usage.	SC	16	16	16			1.2	1.2
									3	3

**Deliverability Issues/Risks/Legislative Background:**

Service Impact High Risk –  
Loss of local facility to community and bowling clubs.

Deliverability issues

Implications for the ongoing development of physical activity and sports as a healthy focus for the City - sports strategy. Recognition that there are other sites which offer more opportunities for those seeking to be involved in bowling.

Legislative Background

Potential equality challenge regarding the targeting of part-time, low skill posts.

Policy Impact: Nil  
Budget Holder: Neil Bruce/Steve Russell

**Consultation required:**

Staff and unions/Users Groups/Ground services

## APPENDIX 6.4

### SERVICE: Aberdeen City Sports—Central

#### BUDGET PROPOSALS

#### DETAILED INFORMATION

Category - IG - Income Generation, E - Efficiency, SC - Service Changes

Ref	Service Change	Category	2008/09	2009/10	2010/11	2011/12			2008/09	2009/10	2010/11	2011/12
No. SP 16	<b>Withdrawal of the P4 Swim</b>											
	<b>Service Reduction</b>	£000	£000	£000	£000	FTE	2.12	2.12	2.12	2.12	2.12	2.12
		SC	127	127	127	Head Count	20	20	20	20	20	20
<b>DESCRIPTION</b>												
Reflects the complete withdrawal of the P4 swimming programme.												
<b>Deliverability Issues/Risks/Legislative Background:</b>												
<b>Service Impact-</b>												
There are some risks associated with the withdrawal of this programme.												
High risk for children living in regeneration areas. Research has shown that these children in regeneration areas will not attend swimming lessons either due to cost, transport or parental support issues (Initial work offered an additional block of lessons free to children following the school programme. There was less than 5% take up from regeneration areas.)												
Risk to life and limb and health and well being associated with the environment of the North East and the inability to swim.												
<b>Deliverability Issues</b>												
There would be an impact on the staff involvement and possible redeployment issues to be addressed.												
<b>Legislative Background</b>												
Programme introduced through Quality of life funding in 2001. At the end of the funding stream Aberdeen City Council demonstrated their commitment to the programme and identified funding in the base budget. Currently reflected in VDFL Education point 7, "Promote the health and well being of pupils through healthy eating and exercise, including a daily meal for every pupil and regular access to swimming."												
Culture Arts and Sport point 3, "Increase participation in sport, provide support for athletes and reward excellence" Scottish Swimming have responded to the Scottish Government "Pathway into Sport Inquiry" with the following statement;												
We believe that swimming should be considered at a national level, and that an entitlement should be secured on the primary curriculum. We believe the achievement of an agreed set of skills should be required by the end of Primary 3 and Primary 4, with 20 sessions of 30 minutes per year over two years being offered.												

## BUDGET PROPOSALS

## DETAILED INFORMATION

Category - IG - Income Generation, E - Efficiency, SC - Service Changes

Ref	Service Change No. SP 16	Category	Financial Impact (£000)				Personnel Impact			
			2008/09	2009/10	2010/11	2011/12	2008/09	2009/10	2010/11	2011/12
			£000	£000	£000	£000	FTE	2.12	2.12	2.12

**Consultation required:** We need to consult with staff, community, unions and HR.

**Consultation required:** We need to consult with staff, community, unions and HR.

## APPENDIX 6.4

### SERVICE: Aberdeen City Sports—Central

#### BUDGET PROPOSALS

#### DETAILED INFORMATION

Category - IG - Income Generation, E - Efficiency, SC - Service Changes

Ref	Service Change	Category	Financial Impact (£'000)				Personnel Impact			
			2008/09	2009/10	2010/11	2011/12	2008/09	2009/10	2010/11	2011/12
No. SP 17	Peterculter Sports Centre		£'000	£'000	£'000	FTE				
	Reduction in Staffing and Service	SC	40	40	40	Head Count	2	2	2	2
<b>DESCRIPTION</b>										
<b>Deliverability Issues/Risks/Legislative Background:</b>										
<p>1. Reduce opening hours based on historical usage – 2 weekday late openings (3.00pm) and early closure on a Sunday (3.00pm).</p> <p>2. Reduce staffing from 3 Duty Manager model to 2 Duty Manager model and removal of 2 part time shifts to reflect reduced opening hours.</p> <p>Alternative would be to close the centre and transfer usage to the sports facilities at the new Cults Academy when it opens in October 2009. This would generate an annual saving of some £140K</p>										
<p>Service Impact Medium Risk - Opening hours deliverability-reduction in service provision of some 23.25 hours along with associated opportunity to generate income. User impact - Community and school usage during reduced times impacted. Pre-existing Service Level Agreement with Leaping Leopards for morning crèche service delivery.</p> <p>Deliverability – Reduction of 1 FTE Duty Manager post plus reduction of 15 hours part-time hours. Could possibly be achieved through VS/ER or dis-establishment of posts. Pre-existing Service Level Agreement with Leaping Leopards for morning crèche service delivery to be addressed.</p> <p>Policy Impact: Nil Budget Holder: Jane Nicklen</p> <p><b>Consultation required:</b></p> <p>Community, Culter Primary School, Staff/Unions, Leaping Leopards, Other Existing Users</p>										

## APPENDIX 6.5

### SERVICE : ENVIRONMENT & INFRASTRUCTURE

### BUDGET PROPOSALS

#### SUMMARY INFORMATION

Category - IG - Income Generation, E - Efficiency, SC - Service Changes

Ref No.	Waste Collection & Disposal / Fleet	Category	Financial Impact (£'000)				Personnel Impact			
			2008/09 £'000	2009/10 £'000	2010/11 £'000	2011/12 £'000	FTE	Head Count	FTE	Head Count
W1	Trade waste charges	IG	0	45	45	45	0	0	0	0
W2	Sale of containers	IG	0	5	5	5	0	0	0	0
W3	Sale of recyclate review	IG	0	6	6	6	0	0	0	0
W4	Hire of containers	IG	0	3	3	3	0	0	0	0
W5	Commercial paper collection	IG	0	6	6	6	0	0	0	0
W6	Commercial glass collection	IG	0	4	4	4	0	0	0	0
W7	WEEE savings on transport & treatment costs	E	0	50	50	50	0	0	0	0
W8	For all services to meet a 5% reduction in fleet and fuel use within their budget for 09/10	E	0	300	300	300	0	0	0	0
W9	Terminate monthly Sheddocksley RCV (bulky items - 1 <sup>st</sup> Sunday each month)	SC	0	4	4	4	0	0	0	0
W10	Terminate plastic collection	SC	0	120	120	120	0	0	0	0
	<b>TOTAL</b>		<b>0</b>	<b>543</b>	<b>543</b>	<b>543</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>

BUDGET PROPOSALSSUMMARY INFORMATION

Ref No.	Car Parking	Category - IG - Income Generation, E - Efficiency, SC - Service Changes						Personnel Impact						
		Category	2008/09 £000	2009/10 £000	2010/11 £000	2011/12 £000	2008/09 FTE Head Count	2009/10 FTE Head Count	2010/11 FTE Head Count	2011/12 FTE Head Count	2008/09 FTE Head Count	2009/10 FTE Head Count	2010/11 FTE Head Count	2011/12 FTE Head Count
CP1	Increase in parking charges for on and off street and review of Contractor's Daily ticket Options: (Current Charge £50)	IG	0	150	150	150	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CP2		IG												
	Flat increase of £10		0	90	90	90	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Flat increase of £20		0	180	180	180	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Flat increase of £30 (which would bring it into line with Edinburgh charge for peripheral areas)		0	270	270	270	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Flat increase of £50		0	450	450	450	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Increase second permit by £50		0	155	155	155	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Increase first permit by £10 and second permit by £70		0	280	280	280	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

## APPENDIX 6.5

### SERVICE : ENVIRONMENT & INFRASTRUCTURE

#### BUDGET PROPOSALS

#### SUMMARY INFORMATION

Ref No.	Car Parking	Category - IG - Income Generation, E - Efficiency, SC - Service Changes	Category	Financial Impact (£'000)				Personnel Impact			
				2008/09 £'000	2010/11 £'000	2011/12 £'000	FTE Head Count	2008/09 FTE	2009/10 FTE	2010/11 FTE	2011/12 FTE Head Count
CP3	Introduce charges for residents' permits at Foresterhill Zone	IG									
	Options			0	50	50	50	0	0	0	0
	Current Charge £50				60	60	60	0	0	0	0
	Increased by £10							0	0	0	0
	Increased by £30			0	80	80	80	0	0	0	0
CP4	Car parking Repairs and Maintenance reduction	SC	0	45	30	30	0	0	0	0	0
CP5	Income from bus lane enforcement	IG	0	210	85	75	0	+2	+2	+2	+2
CP6	Ensure all in-house parking permits are procured from the Council	IG	0	35	35	35	0	0	0	0	0
	<b>MAXIMUM TOTAL</b>		<b>0</b>	<b>970</b>	<b>830</b>	<b>820</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>+2</b>	<b>+2</b>	<b>+2</b>

## APPENDIX 6.5

### SERVICE : ENVIRONMENT& INFRASTRUCTURE

#### BUDGET PROPOSALS

#### SUMMARY INFORMATION

##### Category - IG - Income Generation, E - Efficiency, SC - Service Changes

Ref No.	Roads/TPU	Category	Financial Impact (£'000)				Personnel Impact					
			2008/09 £'000	2009/10 £'000	2010/11 £'000	2011/12 £'000	2008/09 FTE	2009/10 FTE	2010/11 Head Count	2011/12 Head Count	2008/09 FTE	2009/10 FTE
R1	Increase Road Occupation charges	IG	0	20	20	20	0	0	0	0	0	0
R2	Introduce a charge for the costs of installing and maintaining a disabled car parking space outside houses	IG	0	15	15	15	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Or											
	Introduce a charge of £25 for application for disabled spaces											
R3	Taxi card – continue with current scheme and adjust base budget to reflect take up	E	0	140	140	140	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Or											
	Introduce a flat rate of subsidy per trip											
R4	Remove Taxicard scheme from those who are in receipt of financial support for travel from the Council e.g. DLA	SC	0	25	25	25	0	0	0	0	0	0
R5	Reduce Taxicard scheme operation to within City boundary	SC	0	10	10	10	0	0	0	0	0	0
R6	Remove subsidy from open top tour bus	SC	0	25	25	25	0	0	0	0	0	0
R7	Remove subsidy from Summer Parks and Links bus service	SC	0	25	25	25	0	0	0	0	0	0
R8	Beach Boulevard Operations	SC	0	60	60	60	0	0	0	0	0	0
R9	Coastal Protection	SC	0	40	40	40	0	0	0	0	0	0
R10	Hedge & Tree Maintenance	SC	0	40	40	40	0	0	0	0	0	0
R11	Winter Maintenance	SC	0	60	60	60	0	0	0	0	0	0

## APPENDIX 6.5

### SERVICE : ENVIRONMENT & INFRASTRUCTURE

#### BUDGET PROPOSALS

#### SUMMARY INFORMATION

##### Category - IG - Income Generation, E - Efficiency, SC - Service Changes

Ref	Roads/TPU	Category	Financial Impact (£'000)			Personnel Impact				
			2008/09	2009/10	2010/11	2011/12	2008/09	2009/10	2010/11	2011/12
			£'000	£'000	£'000	£'000	FTE	Head Count	FTE	Head Count
R12	Roads Service Re-design	E	0	70	70	70	0	0	2	2
		<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>530</b>	<b>530</b>	<b>530</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>2</b>

## APPENDIX 6.5

SERVICE : ENVIRONMENT & INFRASTRUCTURE  
BUDGET PROPOSALS  
SUMMARY INFORMATION

Category - IG - Income Generation, E - Efficiency, SC - Service Changes

Ref	Facilities No.	Category	Financial Impact (£'000)				Personnel Impact							
			2008/09 £'000	2009/10 £'000	2010/11 £'000	2011/12 £'000	2008/09 FTE	2009/10 FTE	2010/11 FTE	2011/12 FTE	2008/09 Head Count	2009/10 Head Count	2010/11 Head Count	2011/12 Head Count
F1		E	0	150	180	210	0	0	7	12	10	16	13	20
		<b>TOTAL</b>	0	<b>150</b>	<b>180</b>	<b>210</b>	0	0	7	12	10	16	13	20

## APPENDIX 6.5

### SERVICE : ENVIRONMENT & INFRASTRUCTURE

#### BUDGET PROPOSALS

#### SUMMARY INFORMATION

##### Category - IG - Income Generation, E - Efficiency, SC - Service Changes

Ref No.	Trading Standards & Commercial Premises	Category	Financial Impact (£000)			Personnel Impact		
			2008/09 £000	2009/10 £000	2010/11 £000	2011/12 £000	2008/09 FTE	2009/10 Head Count
T1	Miscellaneous Savings	IG	0	11	11	11	0	0
T2	Save 5K in rental	E	0	5	5	5	0	0
T3	Lose Smoking Control Officer post	SC	0	25	25	25	0	0
		<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>

## APPENDIX 6.5

### SERVICE : ENVIRONMENT & INFRASTRUCTURE

#### BUDGET PROPOSALS

#### SUMMARY INFORMATION

Category - IG - Income Generation, E - Efficiency, SC - Service Changes

Ref No.	Environmental Services (Grounds, Street Sweeping etc)	Category	Financial Impact (£000)				Personnel Impact			
			2008/09 £000	2009/10 £000	2010/11 £000	2011/12 £000	2008/09 FTE Head Count	2009/10 FTE Head Count	2010/11 FTE Head Count	2011/12 FTE Head Count
ES1	Increased Burial charges	IG	0	19	19	19	0	0	0	0
ES2	Increased Crematorium Charges	IG	0	125	125	125	0	0	0	0
ES3	Introduce parking charges in parks	IG	0	20	40	40	0	0	0	0
ES4	Increase allotment charges	IG	0	10	10	10	0	0	0	0
ES5	Review Grounds Maintenance	E	0	482	482	482	0	0	19	19
ES6	Review of Public Toilets	E	0	45	45	45	0	0	2.5	3
ES7	Restructure Environmental Services	E	0	250	250	250	0	0	9.5	10
ES8	Street Sweeping Review	E	0	100	100	100	0	0	(4)	(4)
ES9	Close Grounds Nursery	E	0	150	150	150	0	0	(4)	(4)
ES10	Review of In Bloom	E	0	29	29	29	0	0	1	1
ES11	Review of Pets Corner	E	0	20	20	20	0	0	0	0
ES12	Duthie Park Store person	E	0	20	20	20	0	0	1	1
ES13	Review of Central Reservation Maintenance	E	0	10	10	10	0	0	0	0
ES14	Reduce Membership of People & Places Scheme	E	0	4	4	4	0	0	0	0
ES15	Closure of Public Toilets	SC	0	36	36	36	0	0	1	1
ES16	Stopping of Mobile Hire of Toilets	SC	0	3	3	3	0	0	0	0
ES17	Review of Patrolmen/Park Attendants	SC	0	75	75	75	0	0	(4)	(4)
ES18	Stop Courtesy Bus for Crematorium	SC	0	20	20	20	0	0	1	1
ES19	Review Sheltered Placement Scheme	SC	0	25	25	25	0	0	2	2

## APPENDIX 6.5

### SERVICE : ENVIRONMENT & INFRASTRUCTURE

#### BUDGET PROPOSALS

#### SUMMARY INFORMATION

##### Category - IG - Income Generation, E - Efficiency, SC - Service Changes

Ref No.	Environmental Services (Grounds, Street Sweeping etc)	Category	Financial Impact (£000)				Personnel Impact			
			2008/09 £000	2009/10 £000	2010/11 £000	2011/12 £000	FTE	Head Count	FTE	Head Count
ES20	Review of Ranger Service	SC	0	82	82	82	0	0	3	4
ES21	House Garden Maintenance	SC	0	20	20	20	0	0	1	1
ES22	Maintenance cost of Sports Facilities	SC	0	300	300	300	0	0	0	0
		<b>Total</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1,845</b>	<b>1,865</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>55</b>	<b>53</b>
										<b>55</b>

## APPENDIX 6.5

### SERVICE : ENVIRONMENT & INFRASTRUCTURE

#### BUDGET PROPOSALS

#### SUMMARY INFORMATION

##### Category - IG - Income Generation, E - Efficiency, SC - Service Changes

Ref No.	Public Analyst	Category	Financial Impact (£000)			Personnel Impact			2011/12		
			2008/09 £000	2009/10 £000	2010/11 £000	2011/12 £000	FTE Head Count				
PA1	Reduce spend on equipment repairs and maintenance	E	0	10	10	10	0	0	0	0	0
PA2	Review charges – increase by 5%	IG	0	24	24	24	0	0	0	0	0
PA3	Delete vacant scientist post	SC	15	30	30	30	0	0	(1)	(1)	(1)
		<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>64</b>	<b>64</b>	<b>64</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>

## APPENDIX 6.5

### SERVICE : ENVIRONMENT & INFRASTRUCTURE

#### BUDGET PROPOSALS

#### SUMMARY INFORMATION

##### Category - IG - Income Generation, E - Efficiency, SC - Service Changes

Ref No.	Public Protection/Health	Category	Financial Impact (£000)			Personnel Impact		
			2008/09 £000	2009/10 £000	2010/11 £000	2011/12 £000	FTE Head Count	FTE Head Count
PP1	Increase in pest control visit charges above the 2.5% level	IG	0	2	2	2	0	0
PP2	Increase in charges for pest control contract charges for work above the 2.5% level	IG	0	2	2	2	0	0
PP3	Increase in charges for HMOs	IG	0	120	120	120	0	0
PP4	Reduction in staffing level of Public Health Section from 3 to 2 Authorised Officers.	SC	0	31	31	31	0	0
PP5	Reduction in staffing level of Contaminated Land Team from 3 to 2.	SC	0	24	24	24	0	0
PP6	Removal of Senior Authorised Officer Post from Pollution Section.	SC	0	38	38	38	0	0
PP7	Reduction of staffing level of Pest Control Team from 4 to 3	SC	0	23	23	23	0	0
	All the above staff reductions will affect the ability of Environmental Protection Team to meet current performance levels.	<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>240</b>	<b>240</b>	<b>240</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>
							<b>4</b>	<b>4</b>
							<b>4</b>	<b>4</b>
							<b>4</b>	<b>4</b>

## APPENDIX 6.5

### SERVICE : ENVIRONMENT & INFRASTRUCTURE

#### BUDGET PROPOSALS

#### SUMMARY INFORMATION

##### Category - IG - Income Generation, E - Efficiency, SC - Service Changes

Ref No.	Environmental Sustainability	Category	Financial Impact (£000)				Personnel Impact			
			2008/09 £000	2009/10 £000	2010/11 £000	2011/12 £000	2008/09 FTE	2009/10 Head Count	2010/11 FTE	2011/12 Head Count
EN1	Rationalise and reduce environmental projects undertaken	E	0	6	6	6	0	0	0	0
EN2	As part of Early Retirement and Voluntary Severance to agree the Voluntary Severance of one post	E	0	25	25	25	0	0	1	1
EN3	Charge for miscellaneous promotional items (£2k) Rationalise subscriptions (£1k) Reduce travel/ conferences out with the City (£2k)	IG	0	5	5	5	0	0	0	0
	<b>TOTAL</b>		0	<b>36</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>

## APPENDIX 6.5

### SERVICE : ENVIRONMENT & INFRASTRUCTURE

#### BUDGET PROPOSALS

#### DETAILED INFORMATION

##### Category - IG - Income Generation, E - Efficiency, SC - Service Changes

Ref No.	Service Change	Category	Financial Impact (£'000)			FTE	Head Count	Personnel Impact			
			2008/09	2009/10	2010/11			2008/09	2009/10	2010/11	2011/12
CP1	<b>Increase in parking charges for on and off street and review of Contractor's Daily ticket</b>	IG	£000	£000	£000	FTE	0	0	0	0	0
<b>DESCRIPTION</b>											
<b>Deliverability Issues/Risks/Legislative Background:</b>											
There will be a need to advertise the proposed changes to the tariffs in operation in advance and to change the parking machine software.											
There is a risk that there will be user resistance to the revised charges which will offset any potential extra income that would be linked to improvements to our roads infrastructure.											
Contractor's daily ticket will require revision to legislation and will take around six months.											
<b>Consultation required:</b>											
None, although would be worth raising in discussion with City Centre Association.											
The increase in charges would reflect those in comparator authorities and the charges in place in other city centre car parks.											
We will review the operation and costs of the contractor's daily ticket system.											
The Council operates a number of monthly parking permits which are available for sale.											
These include off-street permits for long stay car parks, permits for health related staff, and business permits. The charge for the permits is based on the monthly off-street charge which was based on 20 x the cost of the maximum daily charge. When the charges were amended last year this charge was not set up in line with the previously approved ratio to the daily charge.											
The increase reflects the commitment within the approved Local Transport Strategy.											

**APPENDIX 6.5****SERVICE : ENVIRONMENT & INFRASTRUCTURE****BUDGET PROPOSALS****DETAILED INFORMATION****Category - IG - Income Generation, E - Efficiency, SC - Service Changes**

Ref No.	Service Change	Category	Financial Impact (£'000)			FTE	Head Count	Personnel Impact			
			2008/09 £'000	2009/10 £'000	2010/11 £'000			2008/09 0 0 0	2009/10 0 0 0	2010/11 0 0 0	2011/12 0 0 0
CP2	Increase in charges for residents parking permits	IG	0	From £90K to £450K	From £90K to £450K						
	DESCRIPTION	<b>Deliverability Issues/Risks/Legislative Background:</b> The current charge for residents parking permits is £50 which is significantly lower than the charges applied within Glasgow and Edinburgh. A range of options has been considered: Increase to £60 Increase to £70 Increase to £80 (which would bring it into line with Edinburgh charge for peripheral areas) Increase to £100 Increase second permit to £100 Increase first permit to £60 and second permit by £120  Current charges for Edinburgh and Glasgow are as follows: Edinburgh Central Area Zones - £160 for 12 months Peripheral Zones - £80 for 12 months Glasgow City Centre - £250 for 12 months Hillhead/Garnethill - £135 for 12 months Western Zone - £50 for 12 months Both cities allow permits to be purchased for 3 and 6 month periods at enhanced rates									
		No impact on services. The increases can be introduced after a period of advertising of intentions and do not require any other legislation.									

**SERVICE : ENVIRONMENT & INFRASTRUCTURE****BUDGET PROPOSALS****DETAILED INFORMATION****Category - IG - Income Generation, E - Efficiency, SC - Service Changes**

Ref	Service Change	Category	Financial Impact (£'000)				Personnel Impact				
			2008/09	2009/10	2010/11	2011/12	FTE	2008/09	2009/10	2010/11	2011/12
No.	Car Parking		£000	£000	£000	£000		0	0	0	0
CP3	Introduce Charges for residents' permits at Foresterhill Zone	IG	0	Varies from 50 to 80	Varies from 50 to 80	Varies from 50 to 80	Head Count	0	0	0	0
<b>DESCRIPTION</b>		<b>Deliverability Issues/Risks/Legislative Background:</b>									
		No impact on services. The level of income is based on a significant reduction in permit being purchased as the Zone operates Monday to Friday 10am to 4pm, so doesn't affect a large proportion of the community.									
		The income may be sought by the Foresterhill Partnership as they have an agreement with the Council that income from parking is directed to projects to support travel to the Foresterhill Hospital site. However the terms of this agreement do not relate to income from residents permits.									
		The legislation has been drafted in such a way that there is not a need to promote the charges in amended legislation									
		Equity issue may be raised in relation to Garthdee. However, the Garthdee area has a legal agreement which covers 10 years and the Council retains the income from on-street parking and enforcement in the area.									
		<b>Consultation required:</b>									
		None.									

**SERVICE : ENVIRONMENT & INFRASTRUCTURE****BUDGET PROPOSALS****DETAILED INFORMATION****Category - IG - Income Generation, E - Efficiency, SC - Service Changes**

Ref No.	Service Change	Category	Financial Impact (£'000)			FTE	Head Count	Personnel Impact			
			2008/09 £'000	2009/10 £'000	2010/11 £'000			2008/09	2009/10	2010/11	2011/12
CP4	<b>Car parking Repairs and Maintenance reduction</b>	SC	0	45	30	30	30	0	0	0	0
<b>DESCRIPTION</b>											
<p>The Car Parks Manager has historically had a budget of £60K to fund response type repairs to car parks. Last year there was a significant level of expenditure on repairs and maintenance of Chapel Street which improved its attractiveness to customers. With the level of investment projected to reduce due to the number of car parks owned by the Council, decreasing it is proposed to reduce this budget by <math>\frac{3}{4}</math> in the first year and by half in the following two years. This should still leave sufficient budget available to undertake response repairs.</p> <p><b>Deliverability Issues/Risks/Legislative Background:</b></p> <p>No likely impact on the condition of our car parking stock. Any significant repair would be identified and could be considered if suitable for capital funding.</p> <p>Health and Safety repairs would be undertaken as necessary</p>											
<p><b>Consultation required:</b></p> <p>None, although would be worth raising in discussion with City Centre Association</p>											

SERVICE : ENVIRONMENT & INFRASTRUCTUREBUDGET PROPOSALSDETAILED INFORMATIONCategory - IG - Income Generation, E - Efficiency, SC - Service Changes

Ref	Service Change	Category	Financial Impact (£'000)	2008/09	2009/10	2010/11	2011/12	FTE	2008/09	2009/10	2010/11	2011/12	
No.	Car Parking		£'000	£'000	£'000	£'000		Head Count	0	+2	+2	+2	
CP5	<b>Civil Enforcement of Bus Lanes</b>	IG	0	210	85	75			0	+2	+2	+2	
<b>DESCRIPTION</b>		<b>Deliverability Issues/Risks/Legislative Background:</b>											
<p>Detailed Business Case for the Civil Enforcement of Bus Lanes was approved by Aberdeen City Council Resources Management Committee on 30 September 2008. The Civil Enforcement of bus lanes is, as a principle, supported at Scottish Government (SG) level. A joint preliminary application with Glasgow City Council and Edinburgh City Council has been made to the Scottish Government, to initiate the necessary legal procedures to facilitate this. This report refers to the operational adjustments necessary within the Council to facilitate civil enforcement.</p> <p>The current revenue cost to the council is £100,000 per annum. If this proposal is approved the projected revenue cost to the Council would be £22,386 which equates to a potential net saving of £77,614.</p>		<p>Legislative background - A preliminary application has been made to the Scottish Government to initiate the necessary legal procedures to facilitate the civil enforcement of Aberdeen's bus lanes. Implementation would be subject to the success of the legislative procedures and subsequent approvals by Scottish Ministers.</p> <p>Deliverability Issues - It is currently anticipated that civil enforcement of bus lane regulations would commence from April 2009 and would be carried out by the Council's Parking Enforcement Team. Two additional members of staff will be required within the Parking Enforcement Team to process evidential material and issue Penalty Charge Notices. Existing staff could not be re-allocated to these duties without seriously compromising the existing parking enforcement operation.</p> <p>Risks - As a civil offence it may be necessary to pursue a proportion of offenders through the court system to recover penalties. This will have an impact on resources. As the Council is reliant on the Scottish Government to promote this legislation to allow Aberdeen, Glasgow and Edinburgh to undertake this enforcement it could be later thereby not providing the opportunity for a full year saving.</p> <p>The public may perceive civil enforcement as a revenue generating exercise. The main purpose is to provide a level of enforcement which ensures the free flow of buses, thereby supporting an effective and efficient public transport system.</p>											
<b>Consultation required:</b>		Consultation already carried out with Elected Members, HR, Staff, Trade Unions.											

**APPENDIX 6.5****SERVICE : ENVIRONMENT & INFRASTRUCTURE****BUDGET PROPOSALS****DETAILED INFORMATION****Category - IG - Income Generation, E - Efficiency, SC - Service Changes**

Ref No.	Service Change	Category	Financial Impact (£'000)			FTE	Head Count	Personnel Impact
			2008/09 £'000	2009/10 £'000	2010/11 £'000			
CP6	<b>Ensuring all in-house permits are procured from the Council</b>	IG	0	35	35	35	0	0
	<b>DESCRIPTION</b>	<b>Deliverability Issues/Risks/Legislative Background:</b>						
		No impact on services and can be introduced as current permit run out						
		<p>It has been identified that some services are procuring parking permits from other providers which means that there is a direct cost to the Council.</p> <p>Where possible, alternative parking will be provided in our own car parks and where it is necessary permits will be purchased in-house rather than from other sources.</p> <p>This should generate additional income for the Council and will be at no cost to the services</p>						
		<b>Consultation required:</b> None						

**APPENDIX 6.5****SERVICE : ENVIRONMENT & INFRASTRUCTURE****BUDGET PROPOSALS****DETAILED INFORMATION****Category - IG - Income Generation, E - Efficiency, SC - Service Changes**

Ref No.	Service Change	Category	Financial Impact (£'000)			FTE	Head Count	2008/09	2009/10	2010/11	2011/12	Personnel Impact
			2008/09	£'000	£'000							
EN1	Rationalise and reduce environmental projects undertaken	E	0	6	6	6	6	0	0	0	0	0
<b>DESCRIPTION</b>												
	Reduce spend in environmental projects by £6k of the £61k of environmental projects.											
<b>Deliverability Issues/Risks/Legislative Background:</b>												
The Council already has pre-commitments to environmental projects out to 2010. Projects undertaken by non-Council/ voluntary bodies come at a lesser cost than the same undertaken by the Council.												
<b>Consultation required:</b>												
Consultation required with elected members and staff												

**APPENDIX 6.5****SERVICE : ENVIRONMENT & INFRASTRUCTURE****BUDGET PROPOSALS****DETAILED INFORMATION****Category - IG - Income Generation, E - Efficiency, SC - Service Changes**

Ref No.	Service Change	Category	Financial Impact (£'000)			FTE	Head Count	Personnel Impact			
			2008/09	2009/10	2010/11			2008/09	2009/10	2010/11	2011/12
	<b>Environmental Sustainability</b>		£'000	£'000	£'000			0	1	1	1
EN2	<b>Reduction of one post</b>	E	0	25	25	25		0	1	1	1
<b>DESCRIPTION</b>											
As part of Early Retirement and Voluntary Severance to agree the Voluntary Severance of one post.											
<b>Deliverability Issues/Risks/Legislative Background:</b>											
Potential risks is the inability to fully deliver within the required time frames the implementation of strategy to Transform Environmental Services, budget savings and the required environmental evaluations and analysis required to ensure that the Council meets its regulatory and legislative functions.											
<b>Consultation required:</b>											
Consultation with Trade unions, elected members and staff.											

**SERVICE : ENVIRONMENT & INFRASTRUCTURE****BUDGET PROPOSALS****DETAILED INFORMATION****Category - IG - Income Generation, E - Efficiency, SC - Service Changes**

Ref No.	Service Change	Category	Financial Impact (£'000)			FTE	Head Count	Personnel Impact		
			2008/09 £'000	2009/10 £'000	2010/11 £'000			2008/09 2009/10 2010/11	2011/12	
EN3	<b>Miscellaneous savings</b>	IG	0	5	5	5	0	0	0	0
<b>DESCRIPTION</b>										
<b>Deliverability Issues/Risks/Legislative Background:</b>										
Currently we charge for Dog 'Poo' bags, We also intend to charge for cloth tote bags through the Art Gallery and Point as they are seen as 'Fashion Items' Some charges are fixed by statute or national guidance so cannot be increased beyond inflation.										
Review and cease subscriptions deemed not value for money Travel costs have been high. Aim to reduce and only attend essential & planned conferences/ meetings outwith the city.										
<b>Consultation required:</b>										
Team manager to consult with staff.  Team to review, value, price and options for existing subscriptions.										

**APPENDIX 6.5****SERVICE : ENVIRONMENT & INFRASTRUCTURE****BUDGET PROPOSALS****DETAILED INFORMATION****Category - IG - Income Generation, E - Efficiency, SC - Service Changes**

Ref No.	Service Change	Category	Financial Impact (£'000)				Personnel Impact			
			2008/09 £'000	2009/10 £'000	2010/11 £'000	2011/12 £'000	FTE	2008/09 Head Count	2009/10 0	2010/11 0
ES1	Increase Burial Charges	IG	0	19	19	19	0	0	0	0
<b>DESCRIPTION</b>										
Increase Burial Charges.										
An increase in burial charges Monday to Saturday from the current level of £650 to £710 (resident in Aberdeen) £970 to £1070 (non-resident of Aberdeen).										
This compares with Glasgow (£625/£1024) Edinburgh (£855/£855) in 2008/09.										
<b>Deliverability Issues/Risks/Legislative Background:</b>										
Charges would take effect from 1 <sup>st</sup> April 2009. Service users (Funeral Directors) to be advised of charges in advance.										
No legal impediment to changes taking effect.										
Aberdeen charges in line with upper quartile of authorities in UK										
<b>Consultation required:</b>										
None envisaged.										

SERVICE : ENVIRONMENT & INFRASTRUCTUREBUDGET PROPOSALSDETAILED INFORMATIONCategory - IG - Income Generation, E - Efficiency, SC - Service Changes

Ref No.	Service Change	Category	Financial Impact (£000)				Personnel Impact				
			2008/09 £000	2009/10 £000	2010/11 £000	2011/12 £00000	FTE	2008/09 Head Count	2009/10 0	2010/11 0	2011/12 0
ES2	Increase Crematorium Charges	IG	0	125	125	125	0	0	0	0	0
<b>DESCRIPTION</b>											
	Increase Crematorium Charges.										
	Increase Crematorium charges from £450 to £500(resident in Aberdeen) £665 to £750(non-resident in Aberdeen).										
	This compares with Glasgow (£331/£496) and Edinburgh (£535/£535) in 2008/09										
<b>Deliverability Issues/Risks/Legislative Background:</b>											
	Charges would take effect from 1 <sup>st</sup> April 2009. Service users (Funeral Directors) to be advised of charges in advance.										
	No legal impediment to changes taking effect.										
	Aberdeen charges in line with upper quartile of authorities in UK.										
<b>Consultation required:</b>											
	None.										

**APPENDIX 6.5****SERVICE : ENVIRONMENT & INFRASTRUCTURE****BUDGET PROPOSALS****DETAILED INFORMATION****Category - IG - Income Generation, E - Efficiency, SC - Service Changes**

Ref No.	Service Change	Category	Financial Impact (£'000)				Personnel Impact			
			2008/09 £'000	2009/10 £'000	2010/11 £'000	2011/12 £'000	FTE	2008/09 Head Count	2009/10 0	2010/11 0
ES3	<b>Introduction of Parking Charges in Parks</b>	IG	0	20	40	40	0	0	0	0
<b>DESCRIPTION</b>										
<b>Deliverability Issues/Risks/Legislative Background:</b>										
<p>Introduce car parking charges at:</p> <p>Duthie Park</p> <p>Hazelhead Park</p> <p>Seaton Park</p> <p>Implement charge</p> <p>Free for first 3 hours</p> <p>£1 for 3 hours to 6 hours.</p> <p>£ 2 for over 6 hours</p> <p>Charges would apply Mon – Sun 9am to 5pm.</p> <p>6 month lead in required traffic legislation</p> <p>Equipment to be purchased through Capital Programme costs to be obtained.</p>										
<p>Traffic Order required to bring the car parks under the off-street parking legislation.</p> <p>This process would take 6 months to complete.</p> <p>Plans would have to be prepared which showed the car parks that charges would apply in.</p> <p>Consultation required:</p> <p>Consultation required with ward Elected Members</p>										

**SERVICE : ENVIRONMENT & INFRASTRUCTURE****BUDGET PROPOSALS****DETAILED INFORMATION****Category - IG - Income Generation, E - Efficiency, SC - Service Changes**

Ref No.	Service Change	Category	Financial Impact (£'000)				Personnel Impact				
			2008/09 £'000	2009/10 £'000	2010/11 £'000	2011/12 £'000	FTE	2008/09 Head Count	2009/10 0	2010/11 0	2011/12 0
ES4	<b>Increase Allotment Charges</b>	IG	0	10	10	10	0	0	0	0	
		DESCRIPTION	<b>Deliverability Issues/Risks/Legislative Background:</b>								
			<p>Increase Allotment Charges to the following:</p> <p>Full plot From £50 to £70  <math>\frac{3}{4}</math> plot £40.95 to £52.50  <math>\frac{1}{2}</math> plot £34.30 to £35</p> <p>These costs are inclusive of water charge.</p> <p>Through the establishment of an Allotment Working Group further efficiencies and improvements to allotments will be identified and other potential funding sources contacted.</p> <p>These charges are higher than comparator authorities with, in many cases, a lesser service.</p>								
			<p>There is currently a waiting list of over 200 people for allotments with a number of people currently holding leases for more than one allotment.</p> <p>Review of lease conditions required and enforcement action taken in regard to those not maintaining allotments in satisfactory condition.</p> <p>The establishment of the working group on the back of the recently approved policy/strategy will enable a dialogue to commence on the future running of allotment sites</p> <p><b>Consultation required:</b></p> <p>Consultation required with allotment holders.</p>								

## APPENDIX 6.5

### SERVICE : ENVIRONMENT & INFRASTRUCTURE

#### BUDGET PROPOSALS

#### DETAILED INFORMATION

##### Category - IG - Income Generation, E - Efficiency, SC - Service Changes

Ref	Service Change	Category	Financial Impact (£'000)				Personnel Impact				
			2008/09	2009/10	2010/11	2011/12	FTE	2008/09	2009/10	2010/11	2011/12
No.	<b>Environmental Services</b>		£'000	£'000	£'000	£'000		0	19	19	19
ES5	<b>Review Grounds Maintenance Works</b>	E	0	482	482	482	Head Count	0	19	19	19
	<b>DESCRIPTION</b>	<b>Deliverability Issues/Risks/Legislative Background:</b>									
	Review Grounds Maintenance Works	Environmental Services would review current grounds operations. The review would look at reducing the level of maintenance in the city's parks and cemeteries, reducing staff overtime and the use of agency staff across all areas of the service, reduce grass cutting including last years discontinuation of grass cutting areas and look to bring back in more regular cuts to the areas that have raised complaints and have not worked, reduce the quantity of bedding plants, reduce budget allocated to non cyclic work, reduce cutting to grass banks and review methods of waste disposal.									
	Reduce Maintenance in Parks and Cemeteries - £210K	Consultation required with Elected Members, HR, Staff, and Unions.									
	Reduce overtime and use of Agency Staff - £100K										
	Reduce grass cutting - £60K										
	Reduce Summer and Winter Bedding - £60K										
	Reduce non cyclic work - £20K										
	Reduce banks Cutting - £12K										
	Review Disposal of Green Waste - £20K										
	The end result is a forecast saving of £482K										

**SERVICE : ENVIRONMENT & INFRASTRUCTURE****BUDGET PROPOSALS****DETAILED INFORMATION****Category - IG - Income Generation, E - Efficiency, SC - Service Changes**

Ref	Service Change No.	Category	Financial Impact (£'000)				Personnel Impact			
			2008/09 £'000	2009/10 £'000	2010/11 £'000	2011/12 £'000	FTE	2008/09 Head Count	2009/10 0	2010/11 3
ES6	Review of Public Toilets	E	0	45	45	45				
<b>DESCRIPTION</b>										
Review of the following staffed public toilets opening and closing times etc –										
Footdee										
Beach Central										
Chapel Street										
Spa Street										
Upperkirkgate										
Denburn										
The toilets normally open between 07:30 and 08:00 and the intention would be to open at 09:00. However, certain toilets would be kept open until around 19:30 in the Summer, e.g. Footdee and Beach Central.										
A review of these toilets would allow staff numbers to be reduced. A number of toilet attendants have requested VS/ER and these requests would be supported.										
Two full time attendants and half a supervisory post would be removed from the budget giving a saving of £45K.										
<b>Deliverability Issues/Risks/Legislative Background:</b>										
Low risk associated with the review of these toilets.										
Hours of operation to reflect actual usage										
Licensing conditions of commercial premises in vicinity to be reviewed to allow occasional use of toilets by members of the public										
<b>Consultation required:</b>										
Consultation required with Trade Unions re staffing issues and Elected Members.										

## APPENDIX 6.5

### SERVICE : ENVIRONMENT & INFRASTRUCTURE

#### BUDGET PROPOSALS

#### DETAILED INFORMATION

##### Category - IG - Income Generation, E - Efficiency, SC - Service Changes

Ref No.	Service Change	Category	2008/09 £000	2009/10 £000	2010/11 £000	2011/12 £000	FTE	2008/09 Head Count	2009/10 Head Count	2010/11 Head Count	2011/12 Head Count	Personnel Impact
ES7	<b>Restructure Environmental Services</b>	E	0	250	250	250			0	10	10	10
<b>DESCRIPTION</b>												
Restructure Environmental Services.												
Environmental Services would be run city wide covered by two teams. This would allow the current area structures to be revised and realise a substantial saving.												
Lost posts would be supported through the VS / ER process. Posts to be allowed to go are –												
Environmental Manager												
Parks Officer												
Horticultural Officer												
Heritage Parks Project Officer												
Greenspace Officer												
Assistant Greenspace Officer												
Supervisors (3.5)												
<b>Deliverability Issues/Risks/Legislative Background:</b>												
This structure success relies on the teams pulling together, taking ownership and accepting additions to their work loads. Staff with have increased responsibility and wider range of duties. The new structure would build on the strong interface with neighbourhoods and elected members that are already in place.												
<b>Consultation required:</b>												
Consultation required with Elected Members, HR, Staff, Unions.												
Part of the savings would require to be added back in to the service to balance the structure –												
Performance & Development Officer (0.5)												
Chargehands (upgrade x 9)												
The end result is a saving of £250K												

**SERVICE : ENVIRONMENT & INFRASTRUCTURE****BUDGET PROPOSALS****DETAILED INFORMATION****Category - IG - Income Generation, E - Efficiency, SC - Service Changes**

Ref No.	Service Change	Category	Financial Impact (£'000)				Personnel Impact				
			2008/09 £'000	2009/10 £'000	2010/11 £'000	2011/12 £'000	FTE	2008/09 Head Count	2009/10 (4)	2010/11 (4)	2011/12 (4)
ES8	Review of Street sweeping	E	0	100	100	100	0	0	(4)	(4)	(4)
<b>DESCRIPTION</b>											
<b>Deliverability Issues/Risks/Legislative Background:</b>											
<p>Street sweeping is a statutory duty of the Council and also a key SPI. Performance has improved over recent years with SPIs and KPIs showing continuous improvement. Street Sweeping has reduced its budget over the last two years and is able to sustain further savings as highlighted here. This will see the Street Sweeping service providing a harmonised service standard across the wider city with the city centre standard being maintained.</p> <p>Environmental Service's teams are to become more flexible which would see additional street cleaning duties be undertaken by Grounds staff and Estate Caretakers. This would ensure that resources continue to be targeted towards hotspots and the city centre.</p> <p>A further full review of the Street Sweeping service is to be conducted in 2009 and this may lead to further savings being identified for 2010 / 2011.</p>											
<b>Consultation required:</b>  Consultation required with Elected Members, HR, Staff, Unions.											

## APPENDIX 6.5

### SERVICE : ENVIRONMENT & INFRASTRUCTURE

#### BUDGET PROPOSALS

#### DETAILED INFORMATION

##### Category - IG - Income Generation, E - Efficiency, SC - Service Changes

Ref No.	Service Change	Category	Financial Impact (£'000)				Personnel Impact			
			2008/09 £'000	2009/10 £'000	2010/11 £'000	2011/12 £'000	FTE	Head Count	2008/09 (4)	2009/10 (4)
ES9	Closure of Grounds Nursery	SC	0	150	150	150	0	0	(4)	(4)
	<b>DESCRIPTION</b>	<b>Deliverability Issues/Risks/Legislative Background:</b>								
	Closure of Grounds Nursery.	Nursery staff would require to be re-deployed in to existing gardening vacancies.								
	Closure of Nursery including the redeployment of 4 full time staff in to other gardening vacancies, would amount to a budget saving of £150K.	Holding areas would be required to store plants bought in. This will require the service to be procured from an outside supplier with the inherent lead in.								
		<b>Consultation required:</b>								
		Consultation required with Elected Members, HR, Staff, and Unions.								

**APPENDIX 6.5****SERVICE : ENVIRONMENT & INFRASTRUCTURE****BUDGET PROPOSALS****DETAILED INFORMATION****Category - IG - Income Generation, E - Efficiency, SC - Service Changes**

Ref No.	Service Change No.	Category	Financial Impact (£'000)			FTE	Head Count	Personnel Impact			
			2008/09 £'000	2009/10 £'000	2010/11 £'000			2011/12 £'000	2009/10	2010/11	2011/12
ES10	Review of In Bloom	E	0	29	29	29	29	0	1	1	1
<b>DESCRIPTION</b>											
		<b>Deliverability Issues/Risks/Legislative Background:</b>									
		Review of In Bloom									
		Restructure of Environmental Services will allow the In Aberdeen In Bloom Officer post to be supported through VS /ER. This officer's duties would be picked up by the new teams with Events asked to take a more prominent role in the promotion of the In Bloom events.									
		The end result is a forecast saving of £28,750.									
		<b>Consultation required:</b>									
		Consultation required with Elected Members, HR, Staff, Events Team and Unions.									

**APPENDIX 6.5****SERVICE : ENVIRONMENT & INFRASTRUCTURE****BUDGET PROPOSALS****DETAILED INFORMATION****Category - IG - Income Generation, E - Efficiency, SC - Service Changes**

Ref No.	Service Change	Category	Financial Impact (£'000)			Personnel Impact					
	Environmental Services		2008/09 £'000	2009/10 £'000	2010/11 £'000	2011/12 £'000	FTE	2008/09 Head Count	2009/10 0	2010/11 0	2011/12 0
<b>ES11</b>	<b>Review of Pets Corner</b>	E	0	20	20	20	0	0	0	0	0
<b>DESCRIPTION</b>											
	Review of Pets Corner										
<b>Deliverability Issues/Risks/Legislative Background:</b>											
Environmental Services is to review the Pets Corner service including increasing entry charges and reorganising waste disposal (£5K). The proposal is to increase the charges as follows:											
Advertise the revised charges in advance. This will require to be supported through a small Capital allocation to continue the improvements made in 08/09.											
<b>Current</b>											
Adults £1.50 Child £1.00											
<b>Proposed</b>											
£3.00 £1.00											
Family £2.50 (2 adults and 3 children or 1 adult and 4 children)											
£4.00											
Under fives free											
Under 3 -free Over 3 -£1.00											
Education rates											
Teacher -£1.00 Child-£0.80											
£20 for a group up to 30											
<b>Consultation required:</b>											
None.											
Accord Card TBA - No charge											
£1.00											

**APPENDIX 6.5****SERVICE : ENVIRONMENT & INFRASTRUCTURE****BUDGET PROPOSALS****DETAILED INFORMATION****Category - IG - Income Generation, E - Efficiency, SC - Service Changes**

Ref No.	Service Change <b>Environmental Services</b>	Category	Financial Impact (£'000)			Personnel Impact				
			2008/09	2009/10	2010/11	2011/12	2008/09	2009/10	2010/11	2011/12
ES12	<b>Storeman Duthie Park</b>	E	£000	£000	£000	£000	FTE	0	1	1
				0	20	20	Head Count	0	1	1
	<b>DESCRIPTION</b>	<b>Deliverability Issues/Risks/Legislative Background:</b>								
	Storeman Duthie Park.	Low risk. Store duties for existing Kittybrewster staff would be slightly increased.								
	Move fleet stores from Duthie Park to Kittybrewster as part of a rationalisation of the service.	<b>Consultation required:</b>								
		Consultation required with Elected Members, HR, Staff, and Unions.								

**APPENDIX 6.5****SERVICE : ENVIRONMENT & INFRASTRUCTURE****BUDGET PROPOSALS****DETAILED INFORMATION****Category - IG - Income Generation, E - Efficiency, SC - Service Changes**

Ref No.	Service Change <b>Environmental Services</b>	Category	Financial Impact (£'000)				Personnel Impact			
			2008/09	2009/10	2010/11	2011/12	2008/09	2009/10	2010/11	2011/12
ES13	<b>Review of Central Reservation Sweeping</b>	E	£000	£000	£000	£000	FTE	0	0	0
<b>DESCRIPTION</b>										
<b>Deliverability Issues/Risks/Legislative Background:</b>										
<p>Review of Central Reservation Sweeping</p> <p>Central Reservation Sweeping would be reduced to save on traffic management costs.</p> <p>This would amount to a budget saving of £10K citywide.</p>										
<p>Street sweeping is a statutory duty of the Council and also a key SPI. Performance has improved over recent years with SPIs and KPIs showing continuous improvement. This will be co-ordinated with other on-road activities with Bear Scotland to minimise traffic management costs.</p>										
<b>Consultation required:</b>										
None.										

**SERVICE : ENVIRONMENT & INFRASTRUCTURE****BUDGET PROPOSALS****DETAILED INFORMATION****Category - IG - Income Generation, E - Efficiency, SC - Service Changes**

Ref No.	Service Change	Category	Financial Impact (£'000)				FTE	Head Count	Personnel Impact
			2008/09	2009/10	2010/11	2011/12			
	<b>Environmental Services</b>		£'000	£'000	£'000	£'000			
<b>ES14</b>	<b>Reduce Membership of People &amp; Places Scheme</b>	E	0	0	4	4			
<b>DESCRIPTION</b>									
Reduce Membership of People & Places Scheme.									
Reduce from current full membership of Keep Scotland Beautiful People & Places Scheme to associate membership.									
The end result of the review is a forecast saving of £4K									
<b>Deliverability Issues/Risks/Legislative Background:</b>									
Low risk. Lose out on benefits of being a full member. Current full membership allows access to training and seminars, conferences etc on all aspects of the environment.									
<b>Consultation required:</b>									
None.									

**SERVICE : ENVIRONMENT & INFRASTRUCTURE****BUDGET PROPOSALS****DETAILED INFORMATION****Category - IG - Income Generation, E - Efficiency, SC - Service Changes**

Ref No.	Service Change	Category	Financial Impact (£'000)			FTE	Head Count	Personnel Impact
			2008/09	2009/10	2010/11			
	<b>Environmental Services</b>		£'000	£'000	£'000			
<b>ES15</b>	<b>Closure of Public Toilets</b>	SC	0	36	36	36	0	1
<b>DESCRIPTION</b>								
<p>Close the following public toilets –</p> <p>Mastrick Dyce Bucksburn King Street East North Street</p> <p>These toilets are underused and in poor condition. Substantial investment is required in them now but there is no funding to bring them up to standard.</p> <p>Closure of these toilets would save £18K pa.</p>								
<p><b>Deliverability Issues/Risks/Legislative Background:</b></p> <p>Included in the savings are staff costs currently required to open and close these toilets. The toilets are not manned (other than East North Street) and therefore require staff (on overtime) to make the trip to these locations to open and close the toilets.</p> <p>Low risk associated with the closure of these toilets but there would still be adverse publicity and complaints from members of the public. Other services (refuse collection) use these toilets when out and about.</p> <p>Sites would be declared surplus to requirements and therefore potentially become available for sale (not all sites would be suitable) which could raise further funds.</p> <p><b>Consultation required:</b></p> <p>Consultation required with local communities affected and Trade Union in relation to loss of post. Approaches need to be made to commercial premises to allow access to toilets (See ES6)</p>								

SERVICE : ENVIRONMENT & INFRASTRUCTUREBUDGET PROPOSALSDETAILED INFORMATIONCategory - IG - Income Generation, E - Efficiency, SC - Service Changes

Ref	Service Change No.	Category	Financial Impact (£000)				Personnel Impact				
			2008/09 £000	2009/10 £000	2010/11 £000	2011/12 £000	FTE	2008/09 Head Count	2009/10 Head Count	2010/11 Head Count	2011/12 Head Count
ES16	<b>Stop the mobile hire toilet service</b>	SC	0	3	3	3	3	0	0	0	0
<b>DESCRIPTION</b>											
		<b>Deliverability Issues/Risks/Legislative Background:</b>									
		Stop the mobile hire toilet service. This service currently draws income £27.5K annually. It currently costs the service in the region of £30K to run.	Low risk associated with the stopping of this service. Internal council services / customers would now have to look elsewhere for toilet hire which may add to their costs although their costs would not necessarily increase.								
		Although there is potential to increase hire charges the councils stock is old and of such a poor standard that customers would not be prepared to pay. Competition from private firms is also very keen and the Council is no longer seen as giving value for money.	<b>Consultation required:</b> None.								
		Substantial investment would be required to bring stock up to a standard that the council can hire.									
		Stopping the service would free up staff time not accounted for (Operations Officer, Supervisors) and allow these staff to concentrate fully on other areas of work.									

**APPENDIX 6.5****SERVICE : ENVIRONMENT & INFRASTRUCTURE****BUDGET PROPOSALS****DETAILED INFORMATION****Category - IG - Income Generation, E - Efficiency, SC - Service Changes**

Ref No.	Service Change	Category	Financial Impact (£'000)				Personnel Impact		
			2008/09	2009/10	2010/11	2011/12	FTE	2008/09	2009/10
ES17	<b>Review of Patrolmen / Park Attendants</b>	SC	£000	£000	£000	£000		(4)	(4)
			0	75	75	75	Head Count	0	(4)
	<b>DESCRIPTION</b>	<b>Deliverability Issues/Risks/Legislative Background:</b>							
	Review of Patrol / Park Attendants.	Current inspection regime of life belts and plays areas requires to be reassessed and the inspection programme integrated with other tasks.							
	Environmental Services is to review the Patrolmen / Park Attendants Service with a view to creating a more flexible, mobile service.							This also will reduce the number of vehicles required.	
	This would see current full time staff based at parks being integrated in to a mobile team which would in turn take on some of the tasks of the current Patrolmen.								
	Reviewing this service would allow for a reduction in 4 staff and this would allow certain posts to go via VS / ER.								
	The end result of the review is a forecast saving of £75K								
		<b>Consultation required:</b>	Consultation required with Elected Members, HR, Staff and Unions.						

**APPENDIX 6.5****SERVICE : ENVIRONMENT & INFRASTRUCTURE****BUDGET PROPOSALS****DETAILED INFORMATION****Category - IG - Income Generation, E - Efficiency, SC - Service Changes**

Ref No.	Service Change	Category	Financial Impact (£'000)				Personnel Impact				
			2008/09	2009/10	2010/11	2011/12	£'000	£'000	FTE	2008/09	2009/10
ES18	<b>Stop Courtesy Bus for Crematorium</b>	CUT	0	20	20	20	0	20	Head Count	0	1
											1
<b>DESCRIPTION</b>											
Stop Courtesy Bus for Crematorium.											
Savings against staff and vehicle £20K											
Average Usage levels: 25 persons per week, or 5 per day.											
There are 10 cremation services daily, so the bus is used by one person every two cremation services.											
<b>Deliverability Issues/Risks/Legislative Background:</b>											
Very low numbers of users. Alternative arrangements to be investigated and funded by third parties.											
<b>Consultation required:</b>											
Consultation required with HR, Staff, Unions.											
Equalities Impact Assessment required.											

**APPENDIX 6.5****SERVICE : ENVIRONMENT & INFRASTRUCTURE****BUDGET PROPOSALS****DETAILED INFORMATION****Category - IG - Income Generation, E - Efficiency, SC - Service Changes**

Ref No.	Service Change	Category	Financial Impact (£'000)				FTE	Head Count	Personnel Impact
			2008/09 £'000	2009/10 £'000	2010/11 £'000	2011/12 £'000			
ES19	<b>Reduction of Sheltered Placement Scheme</b>	SC	0	25	25	25			
<b>DESCRIPTION</b>									
Reduction of Sheltered Placement Scheme.									
Two staff nearing retirement age. No plans to replace these staff.									
Savings against number of placements £25K									
<b>Deliverability Issues/Risks/Legislative Background:</b>									
Low risk.									
Remove posts from establishment as staff retire.									
<b>Consultation required:</b>									
Consultation required with HR, Staff, Unions.									

**APPENDIX 6.5****SERVICE : ENVIRONMENT & INFRASTRUCTURE****BUDGET PROPOSALS****DETAILED INFORMATION****Category - IG - Income Generation, E - Efficiency, SC - Service Changes**

Ref No.	Service Change <b>Environmental Services</b>	Category	Financial Impact (£'000)			Personnel Impact		
			2008/09 £'000	2009/10 £'000	2010/11 £'000	2011/12 FTE	2008/09 Head Count	2009/10 0
ES20	Ranger Service Sponsorship	SC	0	82	82	82	0	4
<b>DESCRIPTION</b>								
<p><b>Deliverability Issues/Risks/Legislative Background:</b></p> <p>Possible lack of sponsors in current financial climate</p> <p>Early actions required to seek potential sponsors of this service</p>								
<p><b>Consultation required:</b></p> <p>Consultation required with HR, Staff, Unions.</p>								

**SERVICE : ENVIRONMENT & INFRASTRUCTURE****BUDGET PROPOSALS****DETAILED INFORMATION****Category - IG - Income Generation, E - Efficiency, SC - Service Changes**

Ref No.	Service Change	Category	Financial Impact (£'000)				FTE	Head Count	Personnel Impact
			2008/09	2009/10	2010/11	2011/12			
	<b>Environmental Services</b>		£'000	£'000	£'000	£'000			
<b>ES21</b>	<b>Stop House Garden Maintenance</b>	SC	0	20	20	20	0	0	1
<b>DESCRIPTION</b>									
Stop House Garden Maintenance.									
<p>Stopping the House Garden Maintenance scheme would improve the efficiency and productivity of the Grounds Maintenance teams.</p> <p>Since this service was reviewed in 2007/08 the service has become very difficult to manage and programme.</p> <p>The service does bring in an income but this is now substantially lower than was forecast due to the number of customers that have cancelled the service due to the increase in costs.</p> <p>Stopping the service would allow for less seasonal staff to be employed which would cancel the loss of the income gained.</p> <p>The service is a heavy burden on all grounds supervisor, officer and admin staff who have to deal with the constant complaints and changes made.</p> <p>Stopping the service would allow for savings to be made against the administration side of the service.</p> <p>The end result is a forecast saving of £20K</p>									
<p>This work is not statutory. Staff time currently spent on this service is not best value and by freeing staff of the service would allow for a more productive and efficient grounds service.</p> <p><b>Consultation required:</b></p> <p>Consultation required with HR, Staff, Events Team and Unions.</p>									

**APPENDIX 6.5****SERVICE : ENVIRONMENT & INFRASTRUCTURE****BUDGET PROPOSALS****DETAILED INFORMATION****Category - IG - Income Generation, E - Efficiency, SC - Service Changes**

Ref No.	Service Change Environmental Services	Category	Financial Impact (£'000)			FTE	Head Count	Personnel Impact
			2008/09	2009/10	2010/11			
ES22	Maintenance Costs of Sports Facilities	SC	0	300	300	300	0	0
<b>DESCRIPTION</b>								
<b>Deliverability Issues/Risks/Legislative Background:</b>								
<p>Sports Services have identified the following facilities for closure:</p> <p>Auchmill golf Course St Machar Outdoor Sports Rotation of football pitches city-wide so that only 3 out of 4 to be used on annual basis to allow time for recovery. 2007/08 figures (AUF= awaiting usage figures)</p>								
<p>Actions need to be taken at an early date to avoid unnecessary work on the golf course in preparation for 09/10 season.</p> <p>Need to advise users on alternative facilities in city.</p>								
<b>Facility</b>								
<b>Cost/(Income)</b>								
Auchmill Golf C.								
(£21,757)								
St Machar OSC								
£42,101								
Aulton Pavilion								
£11,901								
Hazelhead Pavilion								
(£199)								
Inverdee Pavilion								
£15,790								
Sheddoxley								
Sports centre								
£157,487								
AUF								
<b>Consultation required:</b>								
<p>Consultation required with users.</p>								

**SERVICE : ENVIRONMENT & INFRASTRUCTURE****BUDGET PROPOSALS****DETAILED INFORMATION****Category - IG - Income Generation, E - Efficiency, SC - Service Changes**

Ref	Service Change	Category	Financial Impact (£'000)				FTE	Head Count	Personnel Impact			
			2008/09	2009/10	2010/11	2011/12			2008/09	2009/10	2010/11	2011/12
No.	Facilities		£'000	£'000	£'000	£'000			0	7	10	13
F1	Facilities management restructuring	E	0	150	180	210			0	12	16	20
<b>DESCRIPTION</b>		<b>Deliverability Issues/Risks/Legislative Background:</b>										
The structure and resources deployed are to be redesigned to reflect the changes required due to a number of factors e.g. 3Rs, outcome of market testing etc.		There will be a need to redesign the job descriptions of many of the posts leading to changes in the service delivery.										
Reduction in janitorial, cleaning and catering hours will result in the service to schools being provided in a different way and services such as cleaning of other operational properties being revised.		The changes to service delivery will require ongoing discussions with Heads of Establishment and Service users as staff become more familiar with the new productivity levels and operating practices.										
		<b>Consultation required:</b>										
		Since this is a saving (overall £1M in 09/10) that is through a trading service the saving is split approx 70% Education 15% Env & Inf (Council Offices) 10% Health & Care 5% Housing										

**APPENDIX 6.5****SERVICE : ENVIRONMENT & INFRASTRUCTURE****BUDGET PROPOSALS****DETAILED INFORMATION****Category - IG - Income Generation, E - Efficiency, SC - Service Changes**

Ref No.	Service Change	Category	Financial Impact (£000)			Personnel Impact					
			2008/09 £000	2009/10 £000	2010/11 £000	2011/12 £000	FTE	2008/09 0	2009/10 0	2010/11 0	2011/12 0
PA1	Efficiency savings on equipment –Public Analyst	E	0	10	10	10	0	0	0	0	0
<b>DESCRIPTION</b>											
Reduction of budget for Public Analyst equipment repairs and maintenance.											
<b>Deliverability Issues/Risks/Legislative Background:</b>											
Low risk – should be achievable through efficiencies.											
<b>Consultation required:</b>											
None											

**APPENDIX 6.5****SERVICE : ENVIRONMENT & INFRASTRUCTURE****BUDGET PROPOSALS****DETAILED INFORMATION****Category - IG - Income Generation, E - Efficiency, SC - Service Changes**

Ref No.	Service Change	Category	Financial Impact (£'000)			Personnel Impact					
			2008/09 £'000	2009/10 £'000	2010/11 £'000	2011/12 £'000	FTE	2008/09 Head Count	2009/10 Head Count	2010/11 Head Count	2011/12 Head Count
PA2	<b>Increase in charges for Public Analyst Service</b>	IG	0	24	24	24	0	0	0	0	0
<b>DESCRIPTION</b>											
Review our charging rates to ensure they are not being subsidised – increase by 5% above the 2.5% baseline for next year.											
<b>Deliverability Issues/Risks/Legislative Background:</b>											
Low/medium.											
There is a need to ensure that the rates do not become uneconomic and out of date.											
<b>Consultation required:</b>											
This will be have to be undertaken with Aberdeenshire and Moray Councils, as well as other service users such as the Police.											

**APPENDIX 6.5****SERVICE : ENVIRONMENT & INFRASTRUCTURE****BUDGET PROPOSALS****DETAILED INFORMATION****Category - IG - Income Generation, E - Efficiency, SC - Service Changes**

Ref No.	Service Change	Category	Financial Impact (£000)			Personnel Impact					
			2008/09 £000	2009/10 £000	2010/11 £000	2011/12 £000	FTE	2008/09 Head Count	2009/10 (1)	2010/11 (1)	2011/12 (1)
PA3	<b>Public Analyst -removal of scientist post</b>	SC	15	30	30	30			(1)	(1)	(1)
<b>DESCRIPTION</b>											
	Delete vacant scientist post.										
	The Laboratory has a vacancy for one scientist post at present, but the current workload would be manageable if this post was to be deleted as a saving.										
<b>Deliverability Issues/Risks/Legislative Background:</b>											
	Low risk. Service would be able to cope with current workload. Could be a need to reinstate this post if the laboratory was able to attract significantly more private work than at present.										
<b>Consultation required:</b>											
	Trades Unions										

**APPENDIX 6.5****SERVICE : ENVIRONMENT & INFRASTRUCTURE****BUDGET PROPOSALS****DETAILED INFORMATION****Category - IG - Income Generation, E - Efficiency, SC - Service Changes**

Ref No.	Service Change	Category	Financial Impact (£'000)			FTE	Head Count	Personnel Impact
			2008/09 £'000	2009/10 £'000	2010/11 £'000			
PP1	Pest Control Charge	IG	0	2	2	2	0	0
DESCRIPTION		<b>Deliverability Issues/Risks/Legislative Background:</b>						
Increase in Pest Control visit charge above 2.5% Baseline, i.e. 10%		Losing business from private section and subsequently fees.						
This includes dealing with wasps' nests, infestations of vermin, etc.		Input into control of rodents throughout City.						
		<b>Consultation required:</b>						
		This will be have to be undertaken with Aberdeenshire and Moray Councils, as well as other service users such as the Police.						

SERVICE : ENVIRONMENT & INFRASTRUCTUREBUDGET PROPOSALSDETAILED INFORMATIONCategory - IG - Income Generation, E - Efficiency, SC - Service Changes

Ref No.	Service Change	Category	Financial Impact (£'000)			Personnel Impact					
			2008/09 £'000	2009/10 £'000	2010/11 £'000	2011/12 £'000	FTE	2008/09 Head Count	2009/10 Head Count	2010/11 Head Count	2011/12 Head Count
PP2	Pest Control Contracts	IG	0	2	2	2	0	0	0	0	0
<b>DESCRIPTION</b>											
Increase in Pest Control Contract Fees above 2.5% Baseline. ie 10%											
<b>Deliverability Issues/Risks/Legislative Background:</b>											
Losing contracts.											
<b>Consultation required:</b>											
No consultation required.											

SERVICE : ENVIRONMENT & INFRASTRUCTUREBUDGET PROPOSALSDETAILED INFORMATIONCategory - IG - Income Generation, E - Efficiency, SC - Service Changes

<b>Ref No.</b>	<b>Service Change</b>	<b>Category</b>	Financial Impact (£'000)			Personnel Impact					
			2008/09	2009/10	2010/11	2011/12	FTE	2008/09	2009/10	2010/11	2011/12
			£'000	£'000	£'000	£'000	Head Count	0	0	0	0
PP3	<b>Increase HMO registration Charges</b>	IG	0	120	120	120	120	0	0	0	0
	<b>DESCRIPTION</b>										
	Increase Registration fee for Houses of Multiple Occupation from £475 to £1000(up to 10 occupants) to compare with other cities in Scotland.										
	Aberdeen has 752 houses of multiple occupation as at 10/11/2008, and each licence lasts for 3 years.										
	Proposal is to increase the fees as given below:										
	2009/10 - £1,000										
	2010/11 - £1,200										
	2011/12 - £1,500.										
	It is proposed that an extra Enforcement Officer could be employed at a current cost of around £25,000 to increase the Unit's Capacity to investigate problem landlords and so enhance the safeguards for private tenants.										
	Comparator authorities' fees for up to 10 occupants , at present, are as follows:										
	Dundee- £1,110 (3 year duration)										
	Edinburgh -£585 (1 year duration)										

## APPENDIX 6.5

SERVICE ENVIRONMENT & INFRASTRUCTURE

## **BUDGET PROPOSALS**

## **DETAILED INFORMATION**

Category - IG - Income Generation, E - Efficiency, SC - Service Changes

**APPENDIX 6.5****SERVICE : ENVIRONMENT & INFRASTRUCTURE****BUDGET PROPOSALS****DETAILED INFORMATION****Category - IG - Income Generation, E - Efficiency, SC - Service Changes**

Ref No.	Service Change	Category	Financial Impact (£000)			FTE	Head Count	Personnel Impact
			2008/09 £000	2009/10 £000	2010/11 £000			
PP5	Contaminated Land Team – staff reduction	SC	0	24	24	24		(1)
								(1)
<b>DESCRIPTION</b>		<b>Deliverability Issues/Risks/Legislative Background:</b>						
Reduction in staffing level of Contaminated Land Team from 3 to 2.		Progress of initiatives would be affected due to reduction in staffing level.						
This team ensures that the legislation applying to the re-use of land which has been contaminated by, for example, industrial processes, is followed.								
The implication would be that the timescales for delivery of service would increase and project work would have to be re-prioritised to enable sufficient time for necessary staff input		<b>Consultation required:</b>						
		Trade Unions						

**APPENDIX 6.5****SERVICE : ENVIRONMENT & INFRASTRUCTURE****BUDGET PROPOSALS****DETAILED INFORMATION****Category - IG - Income Generation, E - Efficiency, SC - Service Changes**

Ref No.	Service Change <b>Public Protection/Health</b>	Category	Financial Impact (£'000)			FTE	Head Count	Personnel Impact		
			2008/09 £'000	2009/10 £'000	2010/11 £'000			2008/09 (1)	2009/10 (1)	2010/11 (1)
<b>PP6</b>	<b>Pollution Team – staff reduction</b>	SC	0	38	38	38	38	0	0	(1)
<b>DESCRIPTION</b>										
	Removal of Senior Authorised Officer Post from Pollution Section.									
	Implication of this would mean a redistribution of work among other members of team.									
	Re-prioritisation of work of section having regard to statutory obligations should minimise effect of this reduction in staffing level.									
	<b>Deliverability Issues/Risks/Legislative Background:</b>									
	Service delivery would be affected and there may be some impact on SPI/KPI reporting and support for Section.									
	<b>Consultation required:</b>									
	Trade Unions.									

**SERVICE : ENVIRONMENT & INFRASTRUCTURE****BUDGET PROPOSALS****DETAILED INFORMATION****Category - IG - Income Generation, E - Efficiency, SC - Service Changes**

Ref No.	Service Change	Category	Financial Impact (£'000)				FTE	Head Count	Personnel Impact			
			2008/09 £'000	2009/10 £'000	2010/11 £'000	2011/12 £'000			2008/09 (1)	2009/10 (1)	2010/11 (1)	2011/12 (1)
PP7	Pest Control Team – staff reduction	SC	0	23	23	23			0	(1)	(1)	(1)
<b>DESCRIPTION</b>												
<p><b>Deliverability Issues/Risks/Legislative Background:</b></p> <p>A smaller team will mean that service delivery may be adversely affected during holiday periods and as a result of sickness absence.</p> <p>Health and Safety considerations will limit extent of work which may be undertaken due to lone working.</p> <p>Reduction in ability to meet our obligations in terms of the Prevention of Damage by Pests Act 1949.</p> <p><b>Consultation required:</b></p> <p>Trade Unions.</p>												

SERVICE : ENVIRONMENT & INFRASTRUCTUREBUDGET PROPOSALSDETAILED INFORMATIONCategory - IG - Income Generation, E - Efficiency, SC - Service Changes

Ref	Service Change	Category	Financial Impact (£'000)				Personnel Impact				
			2008/09	2009/10	2010/11	2011/12	FTE	2008/09	2009/10	2010/11	2011/12
No.	Roads/TPU		£'000	£'000	£'000	£'000		0	0	0	0
R1	<b>Increase in Road Occupation Charges</b>	IG	0	20	20	20	FTE	0	0	0	0
<b>DESCRIPTION</b>											
The current charges for road occupations have been in existence since 2005 and, therefore, need to be reset to reflect the costs to the Council of providing the service. £20k is projected which will include costs for adequate enforcement of road-works.											
<b>Deliverability Issues/Risks/Legislative Background:</b>											
No impact on services. There is a need to look at how this service is provided and how it will address the wider issue of compliance with the requirements of recent legislation											
Checks are being made as to any constraints on introducing charges that reflect the impact works have on the operation of the road network for all users											
There will be a further review of costs to reflect the setting up and establishment of the Scottish Roadwork Commissioner											
<b>Consultation required:</b>											
None specifically.											

SERVICE : ENVIRONMENT & INFRASTRUCTUREBUDGET PROPOSALSDETAILED INFORMATIONCategory - IG - Income Generation, E - Efficiency, SC - Service Changes

Ref	Service Change	Category	Financial Impact (£'000)				Personnel Impact		
			2008/09	2009/10	2010/11	2011/12	£'000	£'000	£'000
No.	Roads/TPU								
R2	Introducing charges associated with disabled car parking	E	0	15	15	15	0	0	0
<b>DESCRIPTION</b>									
The Council currently provides citizens with mobility difficulties and who own a car the opportunity to apply for a disabled parking space close to their home. These spaces are non statutory and the service is provided to varying standards in different authorities. There is approximately £50K spent each year on the service through dealing with applications, marking spaces, erecting signs and then maintaining the spaces thereafter.									
<b>Deliverability Issues/Risks/Legislative Background:</b>									
No impact on services.									
No problems foreseen as far as the introduction of either proposal.									
Equalities impact assessment required.									
<b>Consultation required:</b>									
By introducing a charge for the application, whether for new or re-applications, the costs of the service could be partly covered and there will be fewer applications to deal with over the period of the year.									
Suggested with DAG to consider if they have a preferred option.									

**SERVICE : ENVIRONMENT & INFRASTRUCTURE****BUDGET PROPOSALS****DETAILED INFORMATION****Category - IG - Income Generation, E - Efficiency, SC - Service Changes**

Ref	Service Change	Category	Financial Impact (£'000)			FTE	Head Count	Personnel Impact	2009/10	2010/11	2011/12
			2008/09	2009/10	2010/11						
No.	Roads/TPU		£'000	£'000	£'000				0	0	0
R3	<b>Operation of Taxicard</b>	E	0	140	140	140	140	0	0	0	0
<b>DESCRIPTION</b>		<b>Deliverability Issues/Risks/Legislative Background:</b>									
Currently the council operates a Taxicard scheme which provides a level of subsidy to users which varies depending on how far their journey is.		No impact on services.									
The monitoring of this budget has shown that the level of take up of the scheme has dropped with the recent increase in taxi fares and the projections for next financial year, based on the current subsidy shows a saving of £140 based on the current budget.		No problems foreseen as far as the introduction of either proposal.									
Some authorities operate a scheme whereby users receive a standard level of subsidy irrespective of the length of journey e.g. £1.50. It is projected that to introduce such a scheme in Aberdeen would be no problem.		<b>Consultation required:</b>									
Savings R3 to R5 total £175k, which represents approx. 50% of proposed £316k Taxicard budget for 2009/10. Any further Taxicard savings would probably necessitate abandoning the scheme completely.		Suggested with DAG to consider if they have a preferred option.									

**SERVICE : ENVIRONMENT & INFRASTRUCTURE****BUDGET PROPOSALS****DETAILED INFORMATION****Category - IG - Income Generation, E - Efficiency, SC - Service Changes**

Ref	Service Change No.	Category	Financial Impact (£'000)				Personnel Impact			
			2008/09 £'000	2009/10 £'000	2010/11 £'000	2011/12 £'000	FTE	2008/09 Head Count	2009/10 0	2010/11 0
R4	<b>Remove eligibility for Taxicard scheme from those who are in receipt of financial support for travel from the Council</b>	SC	0	25	25	25	0	0	0	0
<b>DESCRIPTION</b>		<b>Deliverability Issues/Risks/Legislative Background:</b>								
The current scheme is eligible to all individuals who have specific mobility difficulties and these are certified by an occupational therapist.		No impact on services.  Need to check if there are any legal impediments to this proposal.								
		There are approximately 25% of users who also qualify for other grants/subsidies from the Council which suggests that they are in receipt of a double subsidy. It is, therefore, proposed that these individuals are exempted from the scheme in the same way as other groups with disabilities are currently exempted.								
		<b>Consultation required:</b>  Suggested with DAG.								

**APPENDIX 6.5****SERVICE : ENVIRONMENT & INFRASTRUCTURE****BUDGET PROPOSALS****DETAILED INFORMATION****Category - IG - Income Generation, E - Efficiency, SC - Service Changes**

Ref No.	Service Change Roads/TPU	Category	Financial Impact (£'000)			FTE	Head Count	Personnel Impact		
			2008/09 £'000	2009/10 £'000	2010/11 £'000			2009/10 2010/11	2011/12	2011/12
R5	<b>Restrict the subsidy to journey within the City Boundary</b>	SC	0	10	10	10	0	0	0	0
<b>DESCRIPTION</b>										
The current Taxicard scheme allows journeys within the City and Aberdeenshire.										
It is, therefore, proposed that the scheme is amended to allow only journeys wholly within the City to qualify for subsidy.										
<b>Deliverability Issues/Risks/Legislative Background:</b>										
No impact on services.										
Possible concern offered from users and groups representing people with mobility difficulties.										
Equalities Impact Assessment required.										
<b>Consultation required:</b>										
Suggested with DAG.										

## APPENDIX 6.5

### SERVICE : ENVIRONMENT & INFRASTRUCTURE

#### BUDGET PROPOSALS

#### DETAILED INFORMATION

##### Category - IG - Income Generation, E - Efficiency, SC - Service Changes

Ref	Service Change	Category	Financial Impact (£'000)			FTE	Head Count	Personnel Impact		
			2008/09	2009/10	2010/11			2009/10	2010/11	2011/12
No.	Roads/TPU		£'000	£'000	£'000			0	0	0
R6	<b>Removing the subsidy for the City Tour Bus</b>	SC	0	25	25	25	0	0	0	0
<b>DESCRIPTION</b>										
The Council has for a number of years supported the City Tour bus.										
<b>Deliverability Issues/Risks/Legislative Background:</b>										
No impact on services.										
While the service has seen growth in patronage, it is considered the correct time to withdraw the subsidy/financial support and to see whether the service can be run on a commercial basis.										
<b>Consultation required:</b>										
None from a legislative basis. Would suggest informing local tourist organisation and operator.										

**APPENDIX 6.5****SERVICE : ENVIRONMENT & INFRASTRUCTURE****BUDGET PROPOSALS****DETAILED INFORMATION****Category - IG - Income Generation, E - Efficiency, SC - Service Changes**

Ref	Service Change No.	Category	Financial Impact (£'000)			FTE	Head Count	Personnel Impact	
			2008/09	2009/10	2010/11				
	Roads/TPU	£'000	£'000	£'000	£'000	0	0	0	
R7	<b>Withdrawing the support for the Parks Link bus</b>	SC	0	25	25	25	0	0	
<b>DESCRIPTION</b>		<b>Deliverability Issues/Risks/Legislative Background:</b>							
		No impact on services.							
		<p>The Council has for a number of years supported the operation of a bus service linking up the parks to residential areas.</p> <p>The poor level of support from patrons has led to the recommendation that this service be withdrawn due to its lack of value for money.</p>							
		<b>Consultation required:</b>							
		None from a legislative basis. Would suggest informing local tourist organisation and operator.							

**APPENDIX 6.5****SERVICE : ENVIRONMENT & INFRASTRUCTURE****BUDGET PROPOSALS****DETAILED INFORMATION****Category - IG - Income Generation, E - Efficiency, SC - Service Changes**

Ref	Service Change	Category	Financial Impact (£'000)				Personnel Impact			
			2008/09	2009/10	2010/11	2011/12	2008/09	2009/10	2010/11	2011/12
No.	Roads/TPU		£'000	£'000	£'000	£'000	FTE	0	0	0
R8	<b>Beach Boulevard Operations</b>	SC	0	60	60	60	Head Count	0	0	0
		<b>DESCRIPTION</b>	<b>Deliverability Issues/Risks/Legislative Background:</b>							
			Not a statutory requirement.							
			As the scheme is not defined and it would be funded from Capital there is not perceived to be an impact.							
			Any scheme will require some form of legislation to be promoted.							
			Revenue Budget monies are currently budgeted for to support a scheme to address anti-social behaviour along Beach Boulevard in the evenings.							
			As no scheme has yet been approved and the works are more than likely to be of a nature that require infrastructure improvements it is proposed that this funding be removed and when a scheme is approved for implementation it is funded from the non-Housing Capital budget.							
			<b>Consultation required:</b>							
			None.							

**APPENDIX 6.5**

SERVICE : ENVIRONMENT & INFRASTRUCTURE

## BUDGET PROPOSALS

## DETAILED INFORMATION

Category - IG - Income Generation, E - Efficiency, SC - Service Changes

## APPENDIX 6.5

### SERVICE : ENVIRONMENT & INFRASTRUCTURE

#### BUDGET PROPOSALS

#### DETAILED INFORMATION

##### Category - IG - Income Generation, E - Efficiency, SC - Service Changes

Ref No.	Service Change	Category	Financial Impact (£'000)			FTE	Head Count	Personnel Impact		
			2008/09 £'000	2009/10 £'000	2010/11 £'000			2008/09 2009/10	2010/11 2011/12	2010/11 2011/12
R10	<b>Hedge and Tree Maintenance</b>	SC	0	40	40	40	0	0	0	0
<b>DESCRIPTION</b>										
	Managing the programme of removing dead or decaying trees, pruning of trees, root pruning and planting in a way that addresses Public Safety requirements and risk management.									
<b>Deliverability Issues/Risks/Legislative Background:</b>										
	Not a statutory requirement.									
	Proposal is to undertake works in line with the availability of resources and, therefore, the current tree management programme will be reduced. Each site will also be reviewed to reflect actual need for pruning works to be progressed as required rather than on a cyclic basis.									
<b>Consultation required:</b>										
	None.									

**APPENDIX 6.5****SERVICE : ENVIRONMENT & INFRASTRUCTURE****BUDGET PROPOSALS****DETAILED INFORMATION****Category - IG - Income Generation, E - Efficiency, SC - Service Changes**

Ref No.	Service Change	Category	Financial Impact (£'000)			FTE	Head Count	Personnel Impact		
			2008/09 £'000	2009/10 £'000	2010/11 £'000			2009/10 0	2010/11 0	2011/12 0
R11	Winter Maintenance	SC	0	60	60	60	0	0	0	0
<b>DESCRIPTION</b>										
Delivery of road treatment for snow and ice conditions. £400k has already been cut from this budget in 2008/09.										
<b>Deliverability Issues/Risks/Legislative Background:</b>										
Winter Maintenance Service is a statutory requirement although the actual level of service is open for review on an ongoing basis.										
There are several codes of Best Practice surrounding the delivery of this service.										
Service delivery has always been higher than would have been found in many other authorities.										
Service will be set up on required action on snow days. Reduced labour force will reduce response times but this has to be measured against affordable services.										
The delivery of this saving is very much dependant on the nature of road conditions experienced during the Winter.										
<b>Consultation required:</b>										
Report to Policy and Strategy to set out Service Standards.										

## APPENDIX 6.5

### SERVICE : ENVIRONMENT & INFRASTRUCTURE

#### BUDGET PROPOSALS

#### DETAILED INFORMATION

##### Category - IG - Income Generation, E - Efficiency, SC - Service Changes

Ref No.	Service Change	Category	Financial Impact (£'000)			FTE	Head Count	Personnel Impact			
			2008/09 £'000	2009/10 £'000	2010/11 £'000			2008/09	2009/10	2010/11	2011/12
R12	Roads Service Re-design	E	0	70	70	70	0	0	2	2	2
<b>DESCRIPTION</b>											
<p><b>Deliverability Issues/Risks/Legislative Background:</b></p> <p>We require to review the range of tasks being undertaken by professional/technical staff and to reassign lower skilled elements to new posts. This will involve staff and HR to identify the opportunities that exist and any impact on job redesign. We also need to look at how the various teams operate and to create roles that allow for career development and progression that will make employment in the Council a worthwhile option.</p> <p>The risk is that we cannot recruit the correct calibre of staff and that the required time and training is not available.</p>											
<p><b>Consultation required:</b></p> <p>None at this time but details will have to be worked up and consulted with Trade Unions.</p>											

**APPENDIX 6.5****SERVICE : ENVIRONMENT & INFRASTRUCTURE****BUDGET PROPOSALS****DETAILED INFORMATION****Category - IG - Income Generation, E - Efficiency, SC - Service Changes**

Ref	Service Change	Category	Financial Impact (£'000)			FTE	Head Count	Personnel Impact			2011/12
			2008/09	2009/10	2010/11			2009/10	2010/11	2011/12	
No.	Trading Standards & Commercial Premises		£	£	£			0	0	0	
T1	Miscellaneous savings	IG	0	11	11	11		0	0	0	
<b>DESCRIPTION</b>											
<b>Deliverability Issues/Risks/Legislative Background:</b>											
Increased charges may result in reduced demand for some services. Some charges are fixed by statute or national guidance so cannot be increased beyond inflation.											
Review and cease subscriptions deemed not value for money. Look into replacing paper based legal updates with electronic versions.											
<b>Rationalise subscriptions (3K)</b>											
Cease broadband rental for tele-workers not fully utilising the service. Don't extend the service further to other staff. (3K)											
<b>Consultation required:</b>											
Team manager to consult with tele-workers regarding continuation of broadband.											
Trading standards and commercial staff to review, value, price and options for existing subscriptions.											

**SERVICE : ENVIRONMENT & INFRASTRUCTURE****BUDGET PROPOSALS****DETAILED INFORMATION****Category - IG - Income Generation, E - Efficiency, SC - Service Changes**

Ref No.	Service Change	Category	Financial Impact (£'000)				Personnel Impact		
			2008/09	2009/10	2010/11	2011/12	FTE	2008/09	2009/10
T2	<b>Save 5K in rental</b>	E	0	5	5	5	5	0	0
<b>DESCRIPTION</b>									
	Due to sourcing of a suitable low cost facility in the city as an evidence store, can reduce the budget allocated for this.								
<b>Deliverability Issues/Risks/Legislative Background:</b>									
	Potential risks: As facility is leased from the council there are risks if asset management put up prices in order to generate more income. Unlikely that rent will rise in the short term due to 3 year lease but energy and maintenance costs likely to increase.								
<b>Consultation required:</b>									
	Consultation with asset management to see how much rental is likely to rise								

**SERVICE : ENVIRONMENT & INFRASTRUCTURE****BUDGET PROPOSALS****DETAILED INFORMATION****Category - IG - Income Generation, E - Efficiency, SC - Service Changes**

No.	Service Change	Category	Financial Impact (£'000)			FTE	Personnel Impact			2011/12
			2008/09	2009/10	2010/11		2011/12	2009/10	2010/11	
	<b>No. Trading Standards &amp; Commercial Premises</b>		£	£	£			(1)	(1)	(1)
T3	<b>Lose smoking control officer post</b>	SC	0	25	25	25	Head Count	0	(1)	(1)
<b>DESCRIPTION</b>										
Delete smoking control officer post as this could be taken on by Licensing standards officer and existing staff.										
<b>Risks:</b>										
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Reduced ability to respond quickly to smoking related complaints and provision of advice.</li> <li>• Pressure on remaining staff providing other statutory services and contributing to SPIs.</li> <li>• Inability to participate in future promotional activities to reduce incidence of smoking and passive smoking, helping to improve health and reduce cancer deaths in the city.</li> </ul>										
<b>Consultation required:</b>										
Consultation with Trades unions and staff required.										

**SERVICE : ENVIRONMENT & INFRASTRUCTURE****BUDGET PROPOSALS****DETAILED INFORMATION****Category - IG - Income Generation, E - Efficiency, SC - Service Changes**

Ref	Service Change No.	Category	Financial Impact (£'000)				Personnel Impact			
			2008/09 £'000	2009/10 £'000	2010/11 £'000	2011/12 £'000	FTE	2008/09 Head Count	2009/10 0	2010/11 0
W1	Charges for Services in Waste Collection TO W6	IG	0	69	69	69	0	0	0	0
<b>DESCRIPTION</b>		<b>Deliverability Issues/Risks/Legislative Background:</b> No impact on services. Some trade customers have changed their service provider to the private sector but the loss of customers has been around 7% to date. There are advantages in not having to collect trade waste in terms of its impact on our SPI for recycling.								
There are a number of areas where the Council charges customers for collection services. This can be in the form of a charge for a bin or for hire of containers. In 2007 the Council approved increases in charges for Trade Waste customers and the last set of approved charges takes effect from April 2009. The list of income streams in this grouping are as follows: Trade waste charges Sale and hire of containers Commercial collection of glass and paper		<b>Consultation required:</b> None from a legislative basis.								

**SERVICE : ENVIRONMENT & INFRASTRUCTURE****BUDGET PROPOSALS****DETAILED INFORMATION****Category - IG - Income Generation, E - Efficiency, SC - Service Changes**

Ref No.	Service Change	Category	Financial Impact (£'000)			Personnel Impact				
			2008/09	2009/10	2010/11	2011/12	2008/09	2009/10	2010/11	2011/12
W7	WEEE savings on transport and treatment costs	E	£000	£000	£000	£000	FTE	0	0	0
<b>DESCRIPTION</b>										
The Waste Electrical and Electronic Equipment regulation places the onus on the manufacturer to recover the goods and to process/recycle.										
Currently, the council has to collect and store these goods with no means of recovering costs. With facilities being introduced whereby they can be stored at the CA sites the Council will have service efficiencies in this area.										
<b>Deliverability Issues/Risks/Legislative Background:</b>										
No impact on services.										
More efficient use of vehicles and staff will occur from this change										
<b>Consultation required:</b>										
None from a legislative basis.										

**APPENDIX 6.5****SERVICE : ENVIRONMENT & INFRASTRUCTURE****BUDGET PROPOSALS****DETAILED INFORMATION****Category - IG - Income Generation, E - Efficiency, SC - Service Changes**

Ref	Service Change No.	Category	Financial Impact (£'000)				Personnel Impact					
			2008/09 £'000	2009/10 £'000	2010/11 £'000	2011/12 £'000	FTE	2008/09 Head Count	2009/10 0	2010/11 0	2011/12 0	
W8	<b>Fleet Efficiencies at a Corporate Level</b>	E	0	300	300	300	0	0	0	0		
		<b>DESCRIPTION</b>	<b>Deliverability Issues/Risks/Legislative Background:</b>									
			By setting a target of a 5% saving on fleet and fuel use to each service it is estimated that an overall saving of £300K can be made. This can be through various means including better fleet deployment, safer driving, reduced accidents, greater fuel efficiency through better driving.									
			More efficient use of vehicles and staff will occur form this change.									
			This action will be linked to the Fleet shared services project which is being progressed in conjunction with Aberdeenshire Council.									
			<b>Consultation required:</b>									
			None from a legislative basis.									

SERVICE : ENVIRONMENT & INFRASTRUCTUREBUDGET PROPOSALSDETAILED INFORMATIONCategory - IG - Income Generation, E - Efficiency, SC - Service Changes

Ref No.	Service Change	Category	Financial Impact (£'000)				Personnel Impact							
			2008/09	2009/10	2010/11	2011/12	£000	£000	£000	FTE	Head Count	2008/09	2009/10	2010/11
W9	Terminate Monthly Sheddocksley RCV free bulky item uplift	SC	0	4	4	4				0	0	0	0	0
<b>DESCRIPTION</b>														
There is an established programme of monthly free bulky item uplift in the Sheddocksley area. With charges having been introduced for special collections of bulky items it is proposed to cease this additional service.														
<b>Deliverability Issues/Risks/Legislative Background:</b> Possible increase in fly-tipping which has been a reaction to the introduction of charges for bulky item uplifts.  More efficient use of vehicles and staff will occur from this change and a reduction in overtime.														
<b>Consultation required:</b> None from a legislative basis.														

SERVICE : ENVIRONMENT & INFRASTRUCTUREBUDGET PROPOSALSDETAILED INFORMATIONCategory - IG - Income Generation, E - Efficiency, SC - Service Changes

Ref No.	Service Change	Category	Financial Impact (£'000)				Personnel Impact				
			2008/09 £'000	2009/10 £'000	2010/11 £'000	2011/12 £'000	FTE	2008/09 Head Count	2009/10 Head Count	2010/11 Head Count	2011/12 Head Count
W10	Terminate plastic recycling collection	SC	0	120	120	120	0	0	0	0	0
<b>DESCRIPTION</b>		<b>Deliverability Issues/Risks/Legislative Background:</b>									
		There is a negative impact on the efforts to meet the target of 40% recycling in the city by 2011. This step could be taken until a dry recycle collection system is in place that is more cost effective									
		More efficient use of vehicles and staff will occur from this change and a potential reduction in overtime									
		<b>Consultation required:</b>									
		None from a legislative basis. There would be a need to gauge opinion from local groups and communities									
		Stopping the collection of plastics within the kerbside collections from households would free up one vehicle with a resultant cost for the vehicle and crew of around £120K.									

**APPENDIX 6.6**

## SERVICE : Housing

## BUDGET PROPOSALS

## **SUMMARY INFORMATION**

Category - IG - Income Generation, E - Efficiency, SC - Service Changes

**BUDGET PROPOSALS****DETAILED INFORMATION****Category - IG - Income Generation, E - Efficiency, SC - Service Changes**

Ref No.	Service Change	Category	Financial Impact (£'000)				FTE	Personnel Impact			
			2008/09 £'000	2009/10 £'000	2010/11 £'000	2011/12 £'000		2008/09 Head Count	2009/10 Head Count	2010/11 Head Count	2011/12 Head Count
H1	<b>Homeless Co-ordination -efficiencies</b>	E	0	386	386	386		0	0	0	0
<b>DESCRIPTION</b>											
<p>The homeless co-ordination budget includes the cost of the homeless unit i.e. homelessness officers; the Young Single Persons Project; the temporary homelessness flats and the net effect of Bed and Breakfast.</p> <p>Work is ongoing to ensure that the net impact of this service is cost neutral at worst.</p> <p>Benchmarking of the service has been undertaken with the City of Edinburgh Council and their practices are being adopted to ensure the efficient delivery of service. The increase reflects the additional income from an increased number of temporary flats (from both rental and previously approved increase in service charges) together with the more efficient management of voids and rent arrears.</p> <p>The resulting reduction in Bed and Breakfast provision is both cost effective and aligns to current thinking for temporary provision for those who present as homeless</p>											
<p><b>Deliverability Issues/Risks/Legislative Background:</b></p> <p>This proposal is deliverable, however at the time our Homelessness Services are to be re - inspected (January 2009) the budget will come under scrutiny.</p> <p>Work will continue to increase the number of flats and this will build on the efforts in previous years. The budget is set on the basis that an average of 160 flats will be available for homelessness temporary provision with a need to reduce the void properties and provide additional support requirements. Ultimately the risk is that the Council fails to deliver on its statutory responsibilities and the Scottish Housing Regulator intervenes. Considerable effort is being made to ensure that the Council meets its Statutory obligations.</p> <p><b>Consultation required:</b></p> <p>Consultation will be required with partners in the Aberdeen Homeless Strategy Advisory Group and wider service providers around homelessness. This will form part of the drafting of the strategy and action plan which are ongoing. This includes NHS, Voluntary Sector, Police, Registered Social Landlords as well as internal services and the Benefit Agency with regard to increases in service charges.</p>											

**APPENDIX 6.6****SERVICE : HOUSING****BUDGET PROPOSALS****DETAILED INFORMATION****Category - IG - Income Generation, E - Efficiency, SC - Service Changes**

Ref No.	Service Change	Category	Financial Impact (£'000)				Personnel Impact				
			2008/09 £'000	2009/10 £'000	2010/11 £'000	2011/12 £'000	FTE	2008/09 Head Count	2009/10 Head Count	2010/11 Head Count	2011/12 Head Count
H2	<b>Supporting People: Accommodation</b>	E	0	50	50	50	0	0	0	0	0
<b>DESCRIPTION</b>											
<p>This saving relates to efficiencies from the relocation of management and staffing from two services in different locations into one location. This will also achieve an improved more responsive service for clients. The proposal will also release a property (not owned by ACC) for other potential uses.</p> <p><b>Deliverability Issues/Risks/Legislative Background:</b> The biggest practical issue around the achievement of this is the availability of property to deliver the proposed re-provisioning service. However this will be mitigated by looking at the available properties and ensuring the most efficient use of property. Efforts will be made to mitigate the need for capital costs in respect of any additional requirements e.g. adaptations, technology and furnishings.</p> <p><b>Consultation required:</b> The clients and existing voluntary sector provider identified have already been involved in discussions and are in agreement with the proposed service changes. Changes around location of accommodation will require consultation with clients, family members and service provider.</p>											

BUDGET PROPOSALSDETAILED INFORMATIONCategory - IG - Income Generation, E - Efficiency, SC - Service Changes

Ref	Service Change No.	Category	Financial Impact (£'000)				Personnel Impact				
			2008/09 £'000	2009/10 £'000	2010/11 £'000	2011/12 £'000	FTE	2008/09 Head Count	2009/10 0	2010/11 0	2011/12 0
H3	<b>Homelessness Strategy - commissioning</b>	E	0	49	49	49	49	0	0	0	0
	<b>DESCRIPTION</b>	<b>Deliverability Issues/Risks/Legislative Background:</b>									
		Until 2008/9 Homelessness Strategy Funding was a ring fenced grant from the Scottish Government. It was provided to assist authorities tackle the major new responsibilities and changes in legislation which continue to be implemented around homelessness.									
		At a time our Homelessness Services are to be inspected a cut in the budget may well come under scrutiny but this has to be viewed with the overarching requirement to contain spend within available budget									
		A new Homelessness Strategy is currently being prepared with the input of both internal services and partners. A new action plan will detail the actions required to deliver on statutory responsibilities and good practice. Ultimately the risk is that the Council fails to deliver on its statutory responsibilities and the Scottish Housing Regulator intervenes. The Council agreed a new commissioning strategy in June of this year, this together with the new strategy and action plan will shift the priorities and ensure spend within the available budget.									
		<b>Consultation required:</b>									
		Consultation will be required with partners in the Aberdeen Homeless Strategy Advisory Group and wider service providers around homelessness. This will form part of the drafting of the strategy and action plan which are ongoing. These include NHS, voluntary sector, Police, Registered Social Landlords as well as internal services.									
		The level of savings required will determine what level of services can be delivered through the new strategy.									

BUDGET PROPOSALSDETAILED INFORMATIONCategory - IG - Income Generation, E - Efficiency, SC - Service Changes

Ref No.		Category	Financial Impact (£'000)				Personnel Impact															
			2008/09 £'000	2009/10 £'000	2010/11 £'000	2011/12 £'000	FTE	2008/09 Head Count	2009/10 0	2010/11 0	2011/12 0											
H4	<b>Supporting People: Renegotiation of Contracts</b>	E	0	500	500	500		0	0	0	0											
<b>DESCRIPTION</b>																						
<p>The assessment of the performance and delivery of services around some current supporting people contracts have identified an under delivery of hours and long-term voids in services under block contracts. There will be a move towards spot purchase of contracts for individuals that will provide better value for money.</p> <p>The re-negotiation of terms of the contract will provide for funding to be utilised in a more responsive manner to the needs of the client.</p> <p>There are 16 client groups ranging from older people through to persons with acquired brain injury.</p> <p>Any reduction in expenditure is likely to have the greatest impact on the top three groups which currently account for the following proportions of total Supporting People spend:</p> <table> <tr> <td>Client Group</td> <td>Block Contract</td> <td>Spot Purchase</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Older People</td> <td>21% of spend</td> <td>19% of spend</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Learning dis.</td> <td>42% of spend</td> <td>22% of spend</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Mental health</td> <td>13% of spend</td> <td>38% of spend</td> </tr> </table>											Client Group	Block Contract	Spot Purchase	Older People	21% of spend	19% of spend	Learning dis.	42% of spend	22% of spend	Mental health	13% of spend	38% of spend
Client Group	Block Contract	Spot Purchase																				
Older People	21% of spend	19% of spend																				
Learning dis.	42% of spend	22% of spend																				
Mental health	13% of spend	38% of spend																				
<p><b>Deliverability Issues/Legislative Background:</b></p> <p>The re-negotiation of terms based on performance and outcomes may result in an existing provider (mainly from the voluntary sector) indicating that they are no longer able to provide the service which would require alternative provision to be found. Recent service closures have identified that there is a shortage of staff across all provider sectors when social care, including housing support, is being commissioned. Therefore, there is a risk that if a provider gives notice of withdrawal from providing a service then the client currently supported may not get an immediate alternative provision. This risk will require to be managed.</p> <p>The budget makes provision for inflationary increases.</p> <p><b>Consultation required:</b></p> <p>Negotiations will be required with specific service providers (mainly the voluntary sector) around these changes to their contracts. Some discussions have already been held. Council officers will input into these discussions to ensure that any decision/action will be assessed in terms of risk and impact on staff and clients.</p> <p>This budget will primarily be managed by Social Work in the next financial year in both the short and long term.</p>																						

**BUDGET PROPOSALS****DETAILED INFORMATION****Category - IG - Income Generation, E - Efficiency, SC - Service Changes**

Ref No.	Service Change	Category Housing	Financial Impact (£'000)				FTE	Personnel Impact			
			2008/09 £'000	2009/10 £'000	2010/11 £'000	2011/12 £'000		2008/09 Head Count	2009/10 Head Count	2010/11 Head Count	2011/12 Head Count
H5	<b>Homelessness Operational - commissioning</b>	E	0	37	37	37	FTE	0	0	0	0
<b>DESCRIPTION</b>											
<p>This budget is part of the operational aspects of homelessness service delivery being the staffing costs including policy teams; but primarily is contributions to projects (presently run by the Voluntary sector) A new commissioning strategy has been agreed for homelessness and this will impact on both the development of a new Homelessness Strategy and Action Plan.</p> <p>These will inform the guidance for the future utilisation of the budget and determine what services can be delivered within the agreed budget. From the operational perspective it is presently unclear about the scope of the commissioned services; therefore the required saving from the operation will be top sliced from the former ring fenced homelessness strategy monies.</p>											
<p><b>Deliverability Issues/Risks/Legislative Background:</b></p> <p>This proposal is deliverable, however at a time our Homelessness Services are to be inspected a cut in the budget may well come under scrutiny.</p> <p>A new Homelessness Strategy is currently being prepared with the input of internal services and partners. A new action plan will detail the actions required to deliver on our statutory responsibilities and the good practice and quality service delivery.</p> <p>It is recognised that there is a need to realign our priorities and the service provided / purchased to meet our new priorities and the ever changing statutory requirements. It is these changes that will need to be managed within the allocated budget.</p> <p><b>Consultation required:</b></p> <p>Consultation will be required with partners in the Aberdeen Homeless Strategy Advisory Group and wider service providers around homelessness. This will form part of the drafting of the strategy and action plan which are ongoing. This includes NHS, Voluntary Sector, Police, Registered Social Landlords as well as internal services.</p> <p>The level of savings required will determine what level of services can be delivered through the new strategy.</p>											

**APPENDIX 6.6****SERVICE : HOUSING****BUDGET PROPOSALS****DETAILED INFORMATION****Category - IG - Income Generation, E - Efficiency, SC - Service Changes**

Ref	Service Change No.	Category	Financial Impact (£'000)			FTE	Head Count	Personnel Impact
			2008/09 £'000	2009/10 £'000	2010/11 £'000			
H6	<b>Removal of long term vacancies</b>	E	0	110	66	66	0	(3) (2) (2)
<b>DESCRIPTION</b>		<b>Deliverability Issues/Risks/Legislative Background:</b>						
		There is a risk that the services will be unable to manage an increased workload.						
		There will be minimal impact on service delivery.						
		<p>The following two posts are to be deleted from the Structure – Technical Officer (P01015 Non Housing Repairs Central), Sales and Consent Inspector (P01500 Sales &amp; Consents), both are long term vacancies within the services. In addition, as the Sales and Consent Officer is currently seconded to another post within the Council this post can be removed from the structure during 2009/10 but may be required again in 2010/11.</p>						
		<b>Consultation required:</b>						
		Consultation is required with the Trade Unions.						

## APPENDIX 6.6

## SERVICE : HOUSING

### BUDGET PROPOSALS

### DETAILED INFORMATION

#### Category - IG - Income Generation, E - Efficiency, SC - Service Changes

Ref	Service Change	Category	2008/09 £000	2009/10 £000	2010/11 £000	2011/12 £000	FTE	2008/09 Head Count	2009/10 Head Count	2010/11 Head Count	2011/12 Head Count	Personnel Impact
No.												
H7	<b>Community Safety/Antisocial Behaviour – Outcomes review</b>	E	0	49.7	49.7	49.7						
<b>DESCRIPTION</b>												
The Council previously received ring-fenced funding from the Scottish Government to deliver activity within guidelines provided around community safety and antisocial behaviour. This was led by the local Community Safety Partnership. This ring-fenced funding is now part of the Local Government Concordat/Local Government Settlement. In 2007/08 the ring fenced funding allocation to Aberdeen City for Community Safety and Antisocial Behaviour ( CA/ASB) was: Antisocial Behaviour - £1.9M Community Safety Partnership £184,000												
Similar provision existed in 2008/09, reduced by £50,000 as part of the Council budget savings. This includes funding for Community Wardens (now part of City Wardens), Aberdeen Families Project, mediation service for non-Council tenants (Council tenants' mediation is CS/ASB funded from HRA), community reparation service, a number of Police posts and other activities related to CS/ASB.  The proposed reduction in expenditure of £49.74 will have an impact on the overall CS/ASB Strategy and outcomes as detailed in this sheet.												
<b>Deliverability Issues/Risks/Legislative Background:</b> The proposed savings assume a realignment of duties and responsibilities from the Current Strategic team responsible for Community Safety/Antisocial Behaviour to the Community Safety managers, the Housing Policy officer and the redesigned Strategic Leadership Quality Assurance/Performance Management service.												
In particular the following bids are not recommended for funding: - Social Work 1 No post link to Aberdeen Families Project: - Contact Centre (Anti Social Behavioural Funding Unit (ASBIT) - this was funding to enable the ASBIT calls to be taken into the Contact Centre. As the new proposals for the Contact Centre are on hold for the foreseeable future this funding is now not required. - Police Funding reduction - the Police currently receive a quarter of the available funding and given financial constraints there is no option other than considering this for a reduction. Understandably the Police will react strongly to this (as they have already done), however this is necessary if the level of budget reduction is to be realised. Other issues - Community Wardens - the funding previously in this budget for Community Wardens has now been transferred out of this budget to the City Wardens budget and this is being considered elsewhere. Furthermore it is proposed that there will be no funding for the Community Safety Officer Post: Residents survey:Homecheck equipment:Crimestoppers contribution and the Transition Extreme contribution.												
<b>Consultation required:</b> Consultation undertaken with partner organisations who are members of the Community Safety Partnership before the recommended projects for funding in 2009/10 were submitted to Resources management on the 30 Sept 2008 and to the Policy & Strategy Committee on the 7 October 2008 When the Council takes forward its decisions on proposed budgets then the Community Safety Partnership agencies (who are also service providers and voluntary groups) will need to be consulted.												

## APPENDIX 6.7

**SERVICE : Miscellaneous Services**

## BUDGET PROPOSALS

## SUMMARY INFORMATION

## Category - IG - Income Generation, E - Efficiency, SC - Service Changes

**APPENDIX 6.7**

## SERVICE : Miscellaneous Services

## BUDGET PROPOSALS

## DETAILED INFORMATION

## Category - IG - Income Generation, E - Efficiency, SC - Service Changes

**APPENDIX 6.8**

**SERVICE : Neighbourhood Services (Central Area) Operational Support Manager**

## BUDGET PROPOSALS

## SUMMARY INFORMATION

## Category - IG - Income Generation, E - Efficiency, SC - Service Changes

**APPENDIX 6.8****SERVICE : Neighbourhood Services (Central Area) Operational Support Manager****BUDGET PROPOSALS****DETAILED INFORMATION****Category - IG - Income Generation, E - Efficiency, SC - Service Changes**

Ref No.	Service Change	Category	Financial Impact (£'000)				Personnel Impact			
			2008/09 £'000	2009/10 £'000	2010/11 £'000	2011/12 £'000	FTE	2008/09 Head Count	2009/10	2010/11
	<b>Title of proposed service change</b>									
	Efficiencies in Operational Support	E			143	143	143	t.b.c		
<b>DESCRIPTION</b>										
<b>Deliverability Issues/Risks/Legislative Background:</b>										
<p>The savings can only be achieved through the deletion of posts. Due to the re-alignment of services it is not possible to determine at this stage which posts can be dis-established. As the structure and services are re-designed the cost of the overall support service will be contained within the allocated budget. This should be achieved through efficiencies and also through the introduction of new systems such as Infosmart and Pecos</p>										
<b>Consultation required:</b>										
Consultation will only be required with staff and Trades Unions in relation to deletion of posts.										

**APPENDIX 6.9**

## SERVICE : Operational Support (North Area)

## BUDGET PROPOSALS

## SUMMARY INFORMATION

Category - IG - Income Generation, E - Efficiency, SC - Service Changes

**Note : Budget Proposals to reflect - Income Generation, Service Efficiencies, Service re-design etc and be in a priority order with the focus on income generation and efficiencies in the first instance.**

## APPENDIX 6.9

### SERVICE : Operational Support (North Area)

#### BUDGET PROPOSALS

#### DETAILED INFORMATION

##### Category - IG - Income Generation, E - Efficiency, SC - Service Changes

Ref	Service Change	Category	Financial Impact (£'000)			Personnel Impact				
			2008/09 £'000	2009/10 £'000	2010/11 £'000	2011/12 £'000	FTE	2008/09 Head Count	2009/10 (4)	2010/11 (4)
1	4 vacancies held pending outcome of VS/ER and restructuring	E	88	97	97	97				
<b>DESCRIPTION</b>										
<p>The Service has been operating without having filled these vacancies. Work has been absorbed in 2008/09 by existing staff and some duties have been taken on by other staff who have received a Higher Graded Duties payment for doing so. These payments will no longer be paid following restructuring.</p>										
<b>Deliverability Issues/Risks/Legislative Background:</b>										
<p>Tasks currently prioritised within available capacity. Some tasks are not being undertaken eg central mail monitoring is ad-hoc, communications is ad-hoc (eg Zone, bulletins, etc), performance data is partial.</p>										
<b>Consultation required:</b>										
None required. Posts are already vacant.										

## APPENDIX 6.9

### SERVICE : Operational Support (North Area)

#### BUDGET PROPOSALS

#### DETAILED INFORMATION

Category - IG - Income Generation, E - Efficiency, SC - Service Changes

Ref No.	Service Change	Category	Financial Impact (£'000)				Personnel Impact				
			2008/09 £'000	2009/10 £'000	2010/11 £'000	2011/12 £'000	FTE	Head Count	2008/09	2009/10	2010/11
2	Efficiencies from streamlining of processes eg through Infosmart	E	5	10	15	20					
<b>DESCRIPTION</b>											
<p>A number of projects are being implemented across the Council which will deliver efficiencies through the streamlining of current processes in all Services. These include Infosmart (electronic &amp; document records management and workflow system), ESD (Electronic Service Delivery), CRM (Customer Relations Management).</p> <p>Clients/customers will benefit from the implementation/rollout of these projects. The streamlining of administrative processes has knock on effect of releasing staff from time consuming, lengthy, frequently paper-based processes. The monetary values noted here are therefore based on savings in staff time.</p>											
<p><b>Deliverability Issues/Risks/Legislative Background:</b></p> <p>These are ongoing projects which are all underway. As time goes on these projects will impact on all services and all aspects of work within those services and have an exponential effect on service delivery and, it is expected, the staffing resource required. In the first instance a joint work programme is being set up with Continuous Improvement to specify process changes and so pinpoint efficiencies.</p> <p><b>Consultation required:</b></p> <p>Difficult to say at this time what consultation would be required and with whom as the outcome of VS/ER and restructuring processes may result in there being nil staffing implications.</p>											

Appendix 6.10

## SERVICE : Operational Support (South Area)

## BUDGET PROPOSALS

## SUMMARY INFORMATION

## Category - IG - Income Generation, E - Efficiency, SC - Service Changes

**SERVICE : Operational Support (South Area)****BUDGET PROPOSALS****DETAILED INFORMATION****Category - IG - Income Generation, E - Efficiency, SC - Service Changes**

Ref No.	Service Change	Category	Financial Impact (£'000)			Personnel Impact					
			2008/09 £'000	2009/10 £'000	2010/11 £'000	2011/12 £'000	FTE	2008/09 Head Count	2009/10 Head Count	2010/11 Head Count	2011/12 Head Count
1	Voluntary Severance within operational support staff	E		98	98	98	4.0	4	4	4	4
<b>DESCRIPTION</b>											
With the realignment of duties and discontinuance of tasks it is possible to support voluntary severance of 3 staff and also on the grounds of efficiency to allow one other to leave the employment of the Council											
<b>Deliverability Issues/Risks/Legislative Background:</b>											
Levels of support may be reduced but should have little or no impact on the delivery of service											
<b>Consultation required:</b>											
With Trade Unions as part of the anticipated consultation undertaken as part of the corporate exercise to reduce staffing levels.											

**APPENDIX 6.11**

**SERVICE : RESOURCES MANAGEMENT**

**BUDGET PROPOSALS**

**SUMMARY INFORMATION**

**Category - IG - Income Generation, E - Efficiency, SC - Service Changes**

Ref No.	Title of Service Change	Category	Financial Impact (£'000)			Personnel Impact		
			2008/09 £'000	2009/10 £'000	2010/11 £'000	2011/12 £'000	FTE Head Count	FTE Head Count
<b>Staffing reductions/Savings</b>								
<b>RM1</b>	Messenger post (DS)	E	0	12	0	0	0	(0.5)
<b>RM2</b>	W/PO post (DS)	E	0	19	0	0	0	(1)
<b>RM3</b>	Reduction in Headcount through VS/ER exercise (DS)	E	0	66	66	0	0	0
<b>RM4</b>	Reduction in Headcount from VS/ER (CPU)	E	0	23	23	0	0	1
<b>RM5</b>	Reduction in Headcount through VS/ER (1x clerical post, 1 x Admin officer, 1 x Technical officer) (RDD)	E	0	71	71	0	0	3
<b>RM6</b>	Removal of project manager, estates surveyor and clerical assistant posts (RDD)	E	0	183	183	0	0	(4)
<b>RM7</b>	Reduction in Headcount from VS/ER (HR)	E	0	54	54	0	0	2
<b>RM8</b>	Removal of clerical assistant post (CC)	E	0	20	20	0	0	2
<b>RM9</b>	Removal of admin/clerical assistant post (CC)	E	0	19	19	0	0	(1)
<b>RM10</b>	Efficiencies gained from VS/ER exercise (DS)	E	0	44	44	0	0	0
<b>RM11</b>	Management of procurement vacancies (CPU)	E	0	109	109	0	0	0
<b>RM12</b>	Increase in staff turnover figure (RDD)	E	0	66	66	0	0	0
<b>Additional Income/Recharges</b>								
<b>RM13</b>	Contract rebates from photocopier contract (CPU)	IG	0	50	50	0	0	0
<b>RM14</b>	Charge for deduction TU subscriptions (CC)	IG	0	14	14	0	0	0
<b>RM15</b>	Charge to pension scheme for staff costs associated with review of the scheme (CC)	IG	0	60	0	0	0	0
<b>Efficiencies</b>								
<b>RM16</b>	Efficiency savings (Resources Management)	E	0	138	138	0	0	0
<b>RM17</b>	Savings from insurance tender (CPU)	E	0	250	250	0	0	0

APPENDIX 6.11

SERVICE : RESOURCES MANAGEMENT

## BUDGET PROPOSALS

## SUMMARY INFORMATION

**Category - IG - Income Generation, E - Efficiency, SC - Service Changes**

BUDGET PROPOSALSDETAILED INFORMATIONCategory - IG - Income Generation, E - Efficiency, SC - Service Changes

Ref	Service Change	Category	Financial Impact (£'000)				Personnel Impact				
			2008/09	2009/10	2010/11	2011/12	FTE	2008/09	2009/10	2010/11	2011/12
		No.	£'000	£'000	£'000	£'000					
RM1	<b>Messenger post (Democratic services)</b>	E	0	12	0	0	0	0	(0.5)	0	0
<b>DESCRIPTION</b>											
The vacant messenger post will not be filled.											
This part-time post was established by the Resources Management Committee in November 2006 and has not yet been filled as it was offered as a saving in 2007/08.											
<b>Deliverability Issues/Risks/Legislative Background:</b>											
The post offers more flexibility in the delivery and collection of agenda papers from Woodhill House, where the majority of agendas are printed. Duties are currently undertaken by the Council messenger as part of the route round all offices, once a day. If pick-ups are missed then deliveries and collections are made by staff, usually on their way to or from work. This could continue meantime whilst the possible impact of the electronically enabled committee room is ascertained as it develops.											
There will be minimal impact on workload and service delivery.											
<b>Consultation required:</b>											
Consultation is required with the Trade Unions.											

BUDGET PROPOSALSDETAILED INFORMATIONCategory - IG - Income Generation, E - Efficiency, SC - Service Changes

Ref No.	Service Change	Category	Financial Impact (£'000)				Personnel Impact				
			2008/09 £'000	2009/10 £'000	2010/11 £'000	2011/12 £'000	FTE	2008/09 Head Count	2009/10 Head Count	2010/11 (1)	2011/12 0
RM2	<b>WPO post (Democratic Services)</b>	E	0	19	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>DESCRIPTION</b>											
The vacant post will not be filled.											
This post has been kept vacant since July 2007, when the previous occupant resigned.											
<b>Deliverability Issues/Risks/Legislative Background:</b>											
There may be a reduction in admin provision.											
As a result of the Infosmart project, which will introduce the Opentext and Modern.gov systems to the Council during Nov 08 – Jan 09, there is an expectation of reduced WPO support being required. It is proposed that this post be retained and filled in due course (if required) and that more senior posts be disestablished.											
There will be minimal impact on workload and service delivery.											
<b>Consultation required:</b>											
Consultation is required with the Trade Unions.											

**APPENDIX 6.11****SERVICE : RESOURCES MANAGEMENT****BUDGET PROPOSALS****DETAILED INFORMATION****Category - IG - Income Generation, E - Efficiency, SC - Service Changes**

Ref No.	Service Change	Category	Financial Impact (£'000)				Personnel Impact				
			2008/09 £'000	2009/10 £'000	2010/11 £'000	2011/12 £'000	FTE	2008/09 Head Count	2009/10 0	2010/11 4	2011/12 4
RM3	<b>Reduction in headcount through VS/ER exercise (Democratic Services)</b>	E	0	66	66	66	0	0	5	5	5
<b>DESCRIPTION</b>											
<p><b>Deliverability Issues/Risks/Legislative Background:</b></p> <p>These savings will be made through reducing the headcount as part of the Corporate VS/ER exercise.</p> <p>There is a risk that the employees choose not to accept the package or it is not cost effective for the Council.</p> <p>Workload implications will be actively managed.</p>											
<p><b>Consultation required:</b></p> <p>Consultation is required with the Trade Unions.</p>											

BUDGET PROPOSALSDETAILED INFORMATIONCategory - IG - Income Generation, E - Efficiency, SC - Service Changes

Ref No.	Service Change	Category	Financial Impact (£'000)				Personnel Impact				
			2008/09 £'000	2009/10 £'000	2010/11 £'000	2011/12 £'000	FTE	2008/09 Head Count	2009/10 0	2010/11 1	2011/12 1
RM4	<b>Reduction in headcount through VS/ER exercise (Central Procurement Unit)</b>	E	0	23	23	23		0	1	1	1
<b>DESCRIPTION</b>											
<p>These savings will be made through reducing the headcount as part of the Corporate V/S/ER exercise.</p> <p><b>Deliverability Issues/Risks/Legislative Background:</b></p> <p>There is a risk that the employees choose not to accept the package or it is not cost effective for the Council.</p> <p>There will be minimal impact on service delivery and workload will be actively managed.</p>											
<p><b>Consultation required:</b></p> <p>Consultation is required with the Trade Unions</p>											

**APPENDIX 6.11****SERVICE : RESOURCES MANAGEMENT****BUDGET PROPOSALS****DETAILED INFORMATION****Category - IG - Income Generation, E - Efficiency, SC - Service Changes**

Ref	Service Change	Category	Financial Impact (£'000)			FTE	Head Count	Personnel Impact	2010/11	2011/12	2009/10	2010/11	2009/10	2011/12
			2008/09 £'000	2009/10 £'000	2010/11 £'000									
RM5	Reduction in headcount through VS/ER exercise (Resources Development & Delivery)	E	0	71	71	71	0	0	3	3	0	3	3	3
<b>DESCRIPTION</b>														
<p><b>Deliverability Issues/Risks/Legislative Background:</b></p> <p>There is a risk that the employees choose not to accept the package or it is not cost effective for the Council.</p> <p>Workload implications will be actively managed.</p> <p>These savings will be made through reducing the headcount in RDD as part of the Corporate VS/ER exercise.</p> <p>There will be a reduced admin provision and a realignment of responsibilities to reflect new ways of working in an evolving structure.</p> <p>There will be negligible impact on income.</p> <p><b>Consultation required:</b></p> <p>Consultation is required with the Trade Unions.</p>														

**SERVICE : RESOURCES MANAGEMENT****BUDGET PROPOSALS****DETAILED INFORMATION****Category - IG - Income Generation, E - Efficiency, SC - Service Changes**

Ref No.	Service Change	Category	Financial Impact (£'000)			FTE	Head Count	Personnel Impact	2011/12
			2008/09 £'000	2009/10 £'000	2010/11 £'000				
RM6	<b>Removal of Project Manager, Clerical Assistant , Assistant Property Officer and Estates Surveyor (Resources Development &amp; Delivery)</b>	E	0	183	183	183	0	(4)	(4)
<b>DESCRIPTION</b>									
It is proposed that the vacant Third Tier post is removed and that duties are aligned elsewhere within the service. All posts are currently vacant.									
<b>Deliverability Issues/Risks/Legislative Background:</b>									
Re-alignment of staff within the existing 3 <sup>rd</sup> tier is dependent on streamlining the structure to reflect changes in anticipated service requirements.									
There will be minimal service delivery and workload will be actively managed.									
<b>Consultation required:</b>									
Consultation is required with the Trade Unions.									

**APPENDIX 6.11****SERVICE : RESOURCES MANAGEMENT****BUDGET PROPOSALS****DETAILED INFORMATION****Category - IG - Income Generation, E - Efficiency, SC - Service Changes**

Ref No.	Service Change	Category	Financial Impact (£'000)			FTE	Personnel Impact			
			2008/09 £'000	2009/10 £'000	2010/11 £'000		2008/09	2009/10	2010/11	2011/12
RM7	<b>Reduction in headcount through VS/ER exercise (Human Resources)</b>	E	0	54	54	54	0	2	2	2
<b>DESCRIPTION</b>										
<p>These savings will be made through reducing the headcount as part of the Corporate VS/ER exercise and will involve realignment of responsibilities within the service.</p> <p>There will be minimal impact on service delivery.</p>										
<p><b>Deliverability Issues/Risks/Legislative Background:</b></p> <p>There is a risk that the employees choose not to accept the package or it is not cost effective for the Council.</p> <p>Workload implications will be actively managed.</p>										
<p><b>Consultation required:</b></p> <p>Consultation is required with the Trade Unions.</p>										

BUDGET PROPOSALSDETAILED INFORMATIONCategory - IG - Income Generation, E - Efficiency, SC - Service Changes

Ref No.	Service Change	Category	Financial Impact (£'000)				Personnel Impact				
			2008/09 £'000	2009/10 £'000	2010/11 £'000	2011/12 £'000	FTE	2008/09 Head Count	2009/10	2010/11	2011/12
RM8	<b>Removal of Clerical assistant's post (City Chamberlain)</b>	E	0	20	20	20	0	0	(1)	(1)	(1)
<b>DESCRIPTION</b>											
<p>It is proposed that a vacant clerical assistant post (arising October 2008) within the accounting teams, is not filled and the duties re-allocated to other staff within the teams.</p> <p>The impact arising from the vacancy in 2008/09 is reflected in the services forecast out-turn for the current year.</p>											
<p><b>Deliverability Issues/Risks/Legislative Background:</b></p> <p>There will be minimal impact on service delivery.</p>											
<p><b>Consultation required:</b></p> <p>Consultation is required with the trade unions.</p>											

BUDGET PROPOSALSDETAILED INFORMATIONCategory - IG - Income Generation, E - Efficiency, SC - Service Changes

Ref No.	Service Change	Category	Financial Impact (£'000)				Personnel Impact			
			2008/09 £'000	2009/10 £'000	2010/11 £'000	2011/12 £'000	FTE	2008/09 Head Count	2009/10 0	2010/11 (1)
RM9	<b>Deletion of admin/clerical assistant post (City Chamberlain)</b>	E	0	19	19	19	0	0	(1)	(1)
<b>DESCRIPTION</b>										
<p>It is proposed that this current vacant post with support services is deleted from the structure. There will be minimal impact on workload and service delivery.</p> <p>The post has been vacant during 2008/09 and previous duties have been managed and absorbed within the remaining support services staff.</p> <p>The impact of the vacancy in the current year is reflected in the service forecast out-turn for 2008/09.</p>										
<p><b>Consultation required:</b> Requires to be undertaken with the trade unions to formally delete post from the establishment.</p>										

BUDGET PROPOSALSDETAILED INFORMATIONCategory - IG - Income Generation, E - Efficiency, SC - Service Changes

Ref No.	Service Change	Category	Financial Impact (£'000)				Personnel Impact				
			2008/09 £'000	2009/10 £'000	2010/11 £'000	2011/12 £'000	FTE	2008/09 Head Count	2009/10 Head Count	2010/11 Head Count	2011/12 Head Count
RM10	<b>Efficiencies gained through VS/ER exercise (Democratic Services)</b>	E	0	44	44	44		0	0	0	0
<b>DESCRIPTION</b>											
<p><b>Deliverability Issues/Risks/Legislative Background:</b></p> <p>Three staff will be allowed to leave as part of the process and replaced with staff on a lower scale point.</p>											
<p>There will be less experience within the section and it may take slightly longer to produce minutes.</p> <p>There may be a reduction in the provision of Committee services and no additional work could be undertaken.</p> <p>Workload implications will be actively managed.</p>											
<p><b>Consultation required:</b></p> <p>Consultation is required with the Trade Unions.</p>											

**SERVICE : RESOURCES MANAGEMENT****BUDGET PROPOSALS****DETAILED INFORMATION****Category - IG - Income Generation, E - Efficiency, SC - Service Changes**

Ref No.	Service Change	Category	Financial Impact (£000)					Personnel Impact			
			2008/09 £000	2009/10 £000	2010/11 £000	2011/12 £000	FTE	2008/09 Head Count	2009/10 0	2010/11 0	2011/12 0
RM11	<b>Management of procurement vacancies (Central Procurement Unit)</b>	E	0	109	109	109	0	0	0	0	0
<b>DESCRIPTION</b>											
<p><b>Deliverability Issues/Risks/Legislative Background:</b></p> <p>The posts within the CPU are half funded by Aberdeenshire Council. They have been consulted and are in agreement with the proposals. A Procurement Strategy has been Committee approved which outlines the committed workplan for CPU staff over the next 3 years. The strategy outcomes will still be achieved providing the restructure can be in place by mid 2009.</p> <p>There is a shift towards national contracts, which can achieve best value for the Council. This will lead to certain corporate commodities being supplied from sources other than the Whitemyres Centre. In turn there will be a reduced workload, which can be matched by not filling existing vacancies.</p> <p><b>Consultation required:</b></p> <p>Consultation is required with the Trade Unions.</p>											

BUDGET PROPOSALSDETAILED INFORMATIONCategory - IG - Income Generation, E - Efficiency, SC - Service Changes

Ref No.	Service Change	Category	Financial Impact (£000)				Personnel Impact				
			2008/09 £000	2009/10 £000	2010/11 £000	2011/12 £000	FTE	2008/09 Head Count	2009/10 Head Count	2010/11 Head Count	2011/12 Head Count
RM12	<b>Increase in staff turnover figure to 6% (Resources Development &amp; Delivery)</b>	E	0	66	66	66	0	0	0	0	0
<b>DESCRIPTION</b>											
<p><b>Savings will be achieved through increasing the staff turnover figure from 4% to 6%.</b></p> <p>Pressure on the budget may come if the term contractors increase their level of charge to reflect changing market conditions.</p>											
<p><b>Deliverability Issues/Risks/Legislative Background:</b></p> <p>There is a risk that this figure isn't achieved. However, it was increased to 6% in 08/09 and has been actively managed.</p>											
<p><b>Consultation required:</b></p>											

## BUDGET PROPOSALS

## **DETAILED INFORMATION**

## Category - IG - Income Generation, E - Efficiency, SC - Service Changes

Ref	Service Change	Category	Financial Impact (£'000)			Personnel Impact				
			2008/09	2009/10	2010/11	2011/12	2008/09	2009/10	2010/11	2011/12
No.			£'000	£'000	£'000	£'000	FTE	0	0	0
RM13	Contract Rebates (Central Procurement Unit)	IG	0	50	50	50	Head Count	0	0	0

## DESCRIPTION

These savings will be achieved through retro rebates from suppliers in relation to agreements that have increased in value through proactive consolidation and control of contract spends.

## **Deliverability Issues/Risks/Legislative Background:**

The Central Procurement Unit will be in a stronger position to negotiate these rebates more readily once the corporate e-procurement system is rolled out across all Services.

## **Consultation required:**

SERVICE : RESOURCES MANAGEMENTBUDGET PROPOSALSDETAILED INFORMATIONCategory - IG - Income Generation, E - Efficiency, SC - Service Changes

Ref No.	Service Change	Category	Financial Impact (£000)				Personnel Impact				
			2008/09 £000	2009/10 £000	2010/11 £000	2011/12 £000	FTE	Head Count	2008/09	2009/10	2010/11
RM14	Income from payroll administration fee (City Chamberlain)	IG	0	14	14	14	0	0	0	0	0
<b>DESCRIPTION</b>											
Income from charging a 2.5% administration fee on the level of trade union deductions collected and paid over to the individual unions.											
Trade union deductions are transferred and paid to the unions after each weekly and monthly payroll run.											
No charge is currently made.											
<b>Consultation required:</b> Consultation is required with the trade unions. These being EIS, GMB, TGWU, UCATT, Amicus and Unison.											
Other LA's charge an administration fee for the collection of trade union fees. From a recent survey of Councils the norm level of charge for administration of trade union deductions is 2.5%.											

BUDGET PROPOSALSDETAILED INFORMATIONCategory - IG - Income Generation, E - Efficiency, SC - Service Changes

Ref No.	Service Change	Category	Financial Impact (£'000)			Personnel Impact				
			2008/09 £'000	2009/10 £'000	2010/11 £'000	2011/12 £'000	FTE	2008/09 Head Count	2009/10 0	2010/11 0
RM15	Income – Recharges to the Superannuation Fund (City Chamberlain)	IG	0	60	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>DESCRIPTION</b>										
There will be significant work in relation to changes necessary for the implementation of the revised pensions regulations wef 1 April 2009.										
Additional income to Resources Management Service arising from input to the Council as an administering authority which is chargeable to the pension fund.										
<b>Deliverability Issues/Risks/Legislative Background:</b>										
Low risk as it relates to a recharge and recovery of Council costs in relation to the administration of the new regulations. Staff time will be accurately recorded and evidenced.										
<b>Consultation required:</b>										

BUDGET PROPOSALSDETAILED INFORMATIONCategory - IG - Income Generation, E - Efficiency, SC - Service Changes

Ref No.	Service Change	Category	Financial Impact (£'000)				Personnel Impact				
			2008/09 £'000	2009/10 £'000	2010/11 £'000	2011/12 £'000	FTE	2008/09 Head Count	2009/10 Head Count	2010/11 Head Count	2011/12 Head Count
RM16	<b>Efficiency savings (Resources Management)</b>	E	0	138	138	138	0	0	0	0	0
<b>DESCRIPTION</b>											
<p><b>Deliverability Issues/Risks/Legislative Background:</b></p> <p>As part of this exercise to identify savings the Management Team allocated £50k to the City Solicitor, £30k to the City Chamberlain and £58k which will be allocated across the remainder of the services.</p>											
<p>These are efficiency savings with minimal impact on service delivery.</p> <p>Workload implications will be actively managed.</p> <p><b>Consultation required:</b></p>											

BUDGET PROPOSALSDETAILED INFORMATIONCategory - IG - Income Generation, E - Efficiency, SC - Service Changes

Ref No.	Service Change	Category	Financial Impact (£'000)				Personnel Impact				
			2008/09 £'000	2009/10 £'000	2010/11 £'000	2011/12 £'000	FTE	2008/09 0	2009/10 0	2010/11 0	2011/12 0
RM17	<b>Savings from Insurance Tender (Central Procurement Unit)</b>	E	0	250	250	250	0	0	0	0	0
<b>DESCRIPTION</b>											
<p><b>Deliverability Issues/Risks/Legislative Background:</b></p> <p>A re-tendering exercise has been completed by the Central Procurement Unit in conjunction with the City Chamberlains and has identified savings from insurance provision for the Council. £250,000 was built into the base budget for 08/09 (part year). This saving is the effect of a full year of the saving.</p>											
<p><b>Consultation required:</b></p> <p> </p>											

SERVICE : RESOURCES MANAGEMENTBUDGET PROPOSALSDETAILED INFORMATIONCategory - IG - Income Generation, E - Efficiency, SC - Service Changes

Ref No.	Service Change	Category	Financial Impact (£'000)			FTE	Personnel Impact		
			2008/09 £'000	2009/10 £'000	2010/11 £'000		2009/10	2010/11	2011/12
<b>RM18</b>	<b>Reduction in term consultancy (Resources Development &amp; Delivery)</b>	E	0	125	125	125	0	0	0
<b>DESCRIPTION</b>									
A reduction in the budget paid to term consultants will be made.									
Reduction in volume of services procured from external term contractors to reflect recent reduction in the capital programme and implementation of framework contracts with building contractors.									
<b>Deliverability Issues/Risks/Legislative Background:</b> There is a risk that this reduction may lead to an issue with the programme of works being completed.									
<b>Consultation required:</b>									

BUDGET PROPOSALSDETAILED INFORMATIONCategory - IG - Income Generation, E - Efficiency, SC - Service Changes

Ref	Service Change	Category	Financial Impact (£'000)			Personnel Impact					
			2008/09 £'000	2009/10 £'000	2010/11 £'000	2011/12 £'000	FTE	2008/09 0	2009/10 0	2010/11 0	2011/12 0
RM19	<b>Saving in Occupational Health Contract (Human Resources)</b>	E	0	36	36	36	Head Count	0	0	0	0
<b>DESCRIPTION</b>											
<p>The occupational health contract has been part of a re-tendering process undertaken by the Central Procurement Unit and Human Resources. The new contract is a joint contract with Aberdeenshire and Moray and cost savings have been achieved.</p>											
<p><b>Deliverability Issues/Risks/Legislative Background:</b> The Occupational Health Contract will be delivered as a nurse led service. The contract has been signed so there is minimal risk to service delivery.</p>											
<p><b>Consultation required:</b></p>											

BUDGET PROPOSALSDETAILED INFORMATIONCategory - IG - Income Generation, E - Efficiency, SC - Service Changes

Ref No.	Service Change	Category	Financial Impact (£000)				Personnel Impact				
			2008/09 £000	2009/10 £000	2010/11 £000	2011/12 £000	FTE	2008/09 Head Count	2009/10 Head Count	2010/11 Head Count	2011/12 Head Count
RM20	Reduction in Corporate Training (Human Resources)	E	0	149	149	149	0	0	0	0	0
<b>DESCRIPTION</b>											
<p><b>Deliverability Issues/Risks/Legislative Background:</b></p> <p>If there is a reduction in the budget there will be a reduced level of training provision. Training will be prioritised to ensure that Corporate priority training courses are delivered. The use of online training delivery will, in time, broaden the coverage of training and development opportunities throughout the Council within budgetary provision.</p>											
<p><b>Consultation required:</b></p> <p></p>											

**APPENDIX 6.12****SERVICE : SOCIAL WORK****BUDGET PROPOSALS****SUMMARY INFORMATION****Category – IG – Income Generation, E – Efficiency, SC – Service Changes**

Ref No.	Title of Service Change	Category	Financial Impact (£'000)				Personnel Impact				
			2008/09 £'000	2009/10 £'000	2010/11 £'000	2011/12 £'000	FTE Head Count	2008/09 FTE Head Count	2009/10 FTE Head Count	2010/11 FTE Head Count	2011/12 FTE Head Count
<b>SW1</b>	Social Work, Children's Services, Reduction in Out of Authority Placements	E	0	1,650	2,650	2,650	0	0	0	0	0
<b>SW2</b>	Social Work, Children's Services, Reduction in Out of Authority Fostering Placements	E	0	495	495	495	0	0	0	0	0
<b>SW3</b>	Social Work, Children's Services, Reduction in external foster care rates	E	0	120	120	120	0	0	0	0	0
<b>SW4</b>	Social Work, Children's Services, Re-provisioning of Early Years Services	E	0	80	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>SW5</b>	Social Work, Children's Services, Early Years Service, Reduction in Staffing	E	0	30	30	30	0	0	1	1	1
<b>SW6</b>	Social Work, Children's Services, Recommissioning of External Services	E	0	260	260	260	0	0	0	0	0
<b>SW7</b>	Social Work, Children's Services, CWD Reduction in purchase of services from voluntary sector	E	0	50	50	50	0	0	0	0	0
<b>SW8</b>	Social Work, Children's Services, Review of Children's Services, VS/ER Savings	E	0	100	100	100	0	0	4	4	4
<b>SW9</b>	Social Work, Children's Services, Reduction in Management Costs	E	0	200	200	200	0	0	4	4	4
<b>Total</b>		<b>0</b>	<b>2,985</b>	<b>3,905</b>	<b>3,905</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>9</b>
<b>SW10</b>	Social Work, Learning Disability Services, Reduction in respite services	E	0	150	150	150	0	0	0	0	0
<b>SW11</b>	Social Work, Learning Disability Services, externalisation of specialist day service	E	0	63	63	63	0	0	0	0	0

**APPENDIX 6.12****BUDGET PROPOSALS****SERVICE : SOCIAL WORK****SUMMARY INFORMATION****Category – IG – Income Generation, E – Efficiency, SC – Service Changes**

Ref No.	Title of Service Change	Category	Financial Impact (£'000)				Personnel Impact				
			2008/09 £'000	2009/10 £'000	2010/11 £'000	2011/12 £'000	FTE Head Count	2008/09 FTE Head Count	2009/10 FTE Head Count	2010/11 FTE Head Count	2011/12 FTE Head Count
<b>SW12</b>	<b>Social Work, Learning Disability Services, increase income generation (day care)</b>	<b>IG</b>	0	70	70	70	0	0	0	0	0
<b>SW13</b>	<b>Social Work, Learning Disability Services, re-provisioning of services</b>	<b>E</b>	0	300	300	300	0	0	0	0	0
<b>SW14</b>	<b>Social Work, Learning Disability Services, redesign of day care services</b>	<b>E</b>	0	150	150	150	0	0	5	5	5
<b>SW15</b>	<b>Social Work, Learning Disability Services, redesign of day care services</b>	<b>E</b>	0	350	350	350	0	0	0	0	0
<b>SW16</b>	<b>Social Work, Learning Disability Services, reduction in high cost care packages</b>	<b>E</b>	0	1850	1850	1850	0	0	0	0	0
		<b>Total</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2,933</b>	<b>2,933</b>	<b>2,933</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>SW17</b>	<b>Social Work, Adult Services, Supporting People, Application of Eligibility Criteria</b>	<b>E</b>	0	500	500	500	0	0	0	0	0
<b>SW18</b>	<b>Social Work, Mental Health</b>	<b>E</b>	0	254	254	254	0	0	0	0	0
		<b>Total</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>754</b>	<b>754</b>	<b>754</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>
<b>SW19</b>	<b>Social Work, OCSWO, service realignment</b>	<b>E</b>	0	40	40	40	0	0	1	1	1
<b>SW20</b>	<b>Social Work, OCSWO, reduce staffing</b>	<b>E</b>	0	35	35	35	0	(1.5)	(2)	(1.5)	(2)
		<b>Total</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>75</b>	<b>75</b>	<b>75</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2.5</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>2.5</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>SW21</b>	<b>Social Work, Adults Services, staffing reduction</b>	<b>E</b>	0	50	50	50	0	1	1	1	1
<b>SW22</b>	<b>Social Work, Older Peoples Services, Coronation Court</b>	<b>E</b>	0	480	548	548	0	0	0	0	0

## APPENDIX 6.12

### SERVICE : SOCIAL WORK

### BUDGET PROPOSALS

### SUMMARY INFORMATION

#### Category – IG – Income Generation, E – Efficiency, SC – Service Changes

Ref No.	Title of Service Change	Category	Financial Impact (£'000)				Personnel Impact				
			2008/09 £'000	2009/10 £'000	2010/11 £'000	2011/12 £'000	FTE Head Count	2008/09 FTE Head Count	2009/10 FTE Head Count	2010/11 FTE Head Count	2011/12 FTE Head Count
SW23	Social Work, Older Peoples Services, Day Care, Increase Income Generation (day care)	IG	0	70	70	70	0	0	0	0	0
SW24	Social Work, Older Peoples Services, Day Care, Reprovisioning of transport	E	0	150	150	150	0	0	0	0	0
SW25	Social Work, Older Peoples Services, reduced in-house residential provision (phase 1)	E	0	300	500	500	0	0	10	10	10
SW26	Social Work, Older Peoples Services, Integrated Care at Home, service redesign	E	0	470	470	470	0	0	30	43	30
SW27	Social Work, Older Peoples Services, Integrated Care at Home, service redesign	E	0	237	237	237	0	0	9.9	11	9.9
SW28	Social Work, Older Peoples Services, Day Care Service redesign (reduce staffing requirements)	E	0	30	30	30	0	0	1	1	1
SW29	Social Work, Older Peoples Services, Day Care Service redesign (reduce staffing requirements)	E	0	64	64	64	0	0	3	3	3
	<b>Total</b>		<b>0</b>	<b>1,851</b>	<b>2,119</b>	<b>2,119</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>54.9</b>	<b>69</b>	<b>54.9</b>
	<b>Overall Total</b>		<b>0</b>	<b>8,598</b>	<b>9,786</b>	<b>9,786</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>71.4</b>	<b>86</b>	<b>71.4</b>
											<b>86</b>

Note that the head count figures are best estimates as it will not be possible to identify definitive numbers until the proposals are actually implemented.

**BUDGET PROPOSALS**      **SERVICE : Social Work – Children's Services**

**APPENDIX 6.12**

<b>PROPOSED BUDGET SAVINGS - DETAILED INFORMATION</b>									
		<b>Category – IG – Income Generation, E – Efficiency, SC – Service Changes</b>							
<b>Ref</b>	<b>Service Change</b>	<b>Category</b>	<b>Financial Impact (£000)</b>			<b>Personnel Impact</b>			
			<b>2008/09</b>	<b>2009/10</b>	<b>2010/11</b>	<b>2011/12</b>	<b>2008/09</b>	<b>2009/10</b>	<b>2010/11</b>
			<b>£000</b>	<b>£000</b>	<b>£000</b>	<b>FTE</b>			<b>2011/12</b>
SW1	Social Work Children's Service, Reduction in Out of Authority Placements	E	0	1,650	2,650	2,650	0	0	0
	LEAD OFFICER: Dave Tumelty								
	<b>DESCRIPTION</b>	<b>Deliverability Issues/Risks/Legislative Background:</b>							
	09/10	<p>The £1.65m saving will be achieved by a reduction in the Out of Area Residential placement for Children from 42 in August 08 to an average of 25 for 09/10. Allowing for a re-investment of 25% of the cost of the external placement to support each child back in Aberdeen this saving should be achieved.</p> <p>Costs of Residential schools vary from £5,300 per week to £1,500 with 7 of the most used providers in the £4,000 + per week range. For calculation purposes an average cost of £4,000 per week has been assumed. 60% of these costs come from the Social Work budget and the remaining 40% from Education.</p>							
		<p>There are a number of variables which could affect the ability to deliver this budget saving. There is currently a team working on reviewing the individual circumstances of each child and creating custom made packages of care to support each child in their return to the City, where it is safe and appropriate to do so.</p> <p>This team will need to continue beyond the end of its current remit of December 2008.</p> <p>The ability to deliver this budget saving is also dependent on the assessed need of each individual child who is currently, or who may potentially be placed in a resource outwith the City.</p> <p>The circumstances of each child will be appropriately risk assessed as part of the review.</p> <p>An additional potential risk is a difficulty in providing / securing / commissioning appropriate alternative resource within the City, although action is being taken to address this.</p> <p>Not placing children in resources far away from their families and homes will result in better outcomes for vulnerable children.</p>							

**BUDGET PROPOSALS**      **SERVICE : Social Work – Children's Services**      **APPENDIX 6.12**

**BUDGET PROPOSALS**      **SERVICE : Social Work – Children's Services**      **APPENDIX 6.12**

**APPENDIX 6.12****BUDGET PROPOSALS      SERVICE : Social Work – Children's Services**

<b>PROPOSED BUDGET SAVINGS - DETAILED INFORMATION</b>										
		Category – IG – Income Generation, E – Efficiency, SC – Service Changes								
Ref	Service Change	Category	Financial Impact (£000)			Personnel Impact				
No.			2008/09	2009/10	2010/11	2011/12	2008/09	2009/10	2010/11	2011/12
			£000	£000	£000	FTE				
SW3	Social Work Children's Service, Reduction in external foster care rates	E	0	120	120	120	0	0	0	0
	LEAD OFFICER: Dave Turnley									
	<b>DESCRIPTION</b>	<b>Deliverability Issues/Risks/Legislative Background:</b>								
		Work has already commenced to reduce the rates charged by agencies providing external foster placements by 2.5%. It is proposed that these negotiations be refocused to achieve a rate reduction equal to 4%. This will save an additional £120,000.								
		<b>Consultation required:</b>								
		Negotiations are already ongoing with the agencies concerned.								

## BUDGET PROPOSALS

## SERVICE : Social Work – Children's Services

## APPENDIX 6.12

## **PROPOSED BUDGET SAVINGS - DETAILED INFORMATION**

Category – IG – Income Generation, E – Efficiency, SC – Service Changes

## **Deliverability Issues/Risks/Legislative Background:**

There are no deliverability issues associated with this proposal, as this would be a continuation of the temporary closure of the Family Centre. A decision would be required next year as to the continued future of this centre, a decision to reopen the centre would impact on the 2010/11 budget. This needs to be considered as part of the Council's early years and preventative strategies.

The continued closure of the centre could impact on the provision of services for families in the local area, although work has been undertaken to review all those children who currently attend and to ensure that they have access to alternative services.

## **Consultation required:**

**BUDGET PROPOSALS** **SERVICE : Social Work – Children's Services** **APPENDIX 6.12**

## BUDGET PROPOSALS

## SERVICE : Social Work – Children's Services

## APPENDIX 6.12

**APPENDIX 6.12**

**BUDGET PROPOSALS      SERVICE : Social Work – Children's Services**

<b>PROPOSED BUDGET SAVINGS - DETAILED INFORMATION</b>													
<b>Category – IG – Income Generation, E – Efficiency, SC – Service Changes</b>		<b>Financial Impact (£000)</b>											
<b>Ref</b>	<b>Service Change</b>	<b>Category</b>	<b>2008/09</b>	<b>2009/10</b>	<b>2010/11</b>	<b>2011/12</b>	<b>£000</b>	<b>£000</b>	<b>FTE</b>	<b>2008/09</b>	<b>2009/10</b>	<b>2010/11</b>	<b>2011/12</b>
SW7	Social Work Children's Service, Children with Disabilities, Review of Respite Provision	E	0	50	50	50				0	0	0	0
	LEAD OFFICER: Murray Leys												
	<b>DESCRIPTION</b>	<b>Deliverability Issues/Risks/Legislative Background:</b>											
		The proposal is to change the services purchased from the voluntary sector for Children with Disabilities.											
		This will include recommissioning and redeveloping respite services. We propose to establish new services, which will reduce demand for respite and overnight respite in the way it is currently delivered. This is line with discussions held with carers as to what support and respite provision should look like.											
		It is proposed that this work will save £50,000 – although the exact detail has yet to be finalised.											
		There are a number of risks associated with this budget saving proposal:											
		1. Work has yet to commence on establishing the detail contained within the budget lines.											
		2. This proposal may result in the need for service redesign within some voluntary organisations.											
		Further work is required to review the payments made / services provided and to provide an exact breakdown of the detail of the savings. Additional resource is being made available to support this work.											
		<b>Consultation required:</b>											
		Early negotiations will be needed with the voluntary sector agencies concerned re the proposed redesign and recommissioning of services. This will ensure that any potential risk to service users in the recommissioning of services can be mitigated. Consultation will be required with colleagues in legal services, to support the proposed savings.											

**BUDGET PROPOSALS**      **SERVICE : Social Work – Children's Services**

**APPENDIX 6.12**

<b>PROPOSED BUDGET SAVINGS - DETAILED INFORMATION</b>											
		<b>Category – IG – Income Generation, E – Efficiency, SC – Service Changes</b>									
<b>Ref No.</b>	<b>Service Change</b>	<b>Category</b>	<b>Financial Impact (£000)</b>			<b>Personnel Impact</b>					
			<b>2008/09 £000</b>	<b>2009/10 £000</b>	<b>2010/11 £000</b>	<b>2011/12 £000</b>	<b>FTE</b>	<b>2008/09 FTE</b>	<b>2009/10</b>	<b>2010/11</b>	<b>2011/12</b>
SW8	Social Work Children's Service, Review of Children's Services, VS/ER Savings	E	0	100	100	100		0	4	4	4
	LEAD OFFICER: Dave Turnley										
	<b>DESCRIPTION</b>										
		<b>Deliverability Issues/Risks/Legislative Background:</b>									
			The proposal is to allow 4 children's services staff who have requested VS/ER to go and their posts to be deleted from the establishment.								
		<b>Consultation required:</b>									
			Appropriate consultation with elected members, staff and trade unions concerned will be required, in line with the Council's HR processes.								

**APPENDIX 6.12**

**BUDGET PROPOSALS**

**SERVICE : Social Work – Children's Services**

<b><u>PROPOSED BUDGET SAVINGS - DETAILED INFORMATION</u></b>										
<b><u>Category – IG – Income Generation, E – Efficiency, SC – Service Changes</u></b>										
Ref No.	Service Change	Category	Financial Impact (£000)			FTE		0	4	4
			2008/09 £000	2009/10 £000	2010/11 £000					
SW9	Social Work Children's Service, Review of Children's Services, Reduction in Management Costs	E	0	200	200					
	LEAD OFFICER: Dave Tumelty									
	<b>DESCRIPTION</b>	<b>Deliverability Issues/Risks/Legislative Background:</b>	<p>The proposals are dependent on the outcome of service redesign for Children's Social Work Services. Whilst the outcome of the service redesign will be a clearer and more focused approach to service provision and therefore lead to improve outcomes for service users, there is a need to ensure sufficient management capacity remains within the service, to appropriately manage risks and supports for vulnerable children and young people.</p>							
		<p>The proposal is to complete the review and redesign of Children's Social Work Services. As part of the required outcome of the review, the management requirements for the service will be reviewed and reduced.</p> <p>This will result in a saving in salaries of £200,000 including oncosts.</p>								
		<b>Consultation required:</b>	<p>Appropriate consultation with elected members, staff and trade unions concerned will be required, in line with the Council's HR processes.</p>							

**BUDGET PROPOSALS SERVICE : Social Work–Learning Disability Services**

**APPENDIX 6.12**

<b>PROPOSED BUDGET SAVINGS - DETAILED INFORMATION</b>													
<b>Category – IG – Income Generation, E – Efficiency, SC – Service Changes</b>		<b>Financial Impact (£000)</b>			<b>Personnel Impact</b>								
<b>Ref</b>	<b>Service Change</b>	<b>Category</b>	<b>2008/09</b>	<b>2009/10</b>	<b>2010/11</b>	<b>2011/12</b>	<b>£000</b>	<b>£000</b>	<b>FTE</b>	<b>2008/09</b>	<b>2009/10</b>	<b>2010/11</b>	<b>2011/12</b>
SW10	Social Work, Learning Disability Services, Reprofiling of Respite Services	E	0	150	150	150				0	0	0	0
	LEAD OFFICER: Murray Leys												
<b>DESCRIPTION</b>		<b>Deliverability Issues/Risks/Legislative Background:</b>											
		<p>This saving relates to the proposal to redesign respite services.</p> <p>It is proposed to review a service, the budget for which is £273K. It is proposed to reinvest £123K in alternative services, creating a budget saving of £150k.</p> <p>A detailed action plan will be developed to support the closure of this provision.</p> <p>As part of the strategy for reducing high cost care packages for adults with a learning disability, the facility at Rowan Road is being considered for alternative reprofiling of respite and other services.</p>											
		<p>The proposed redesign of this service will affect those currently receiving respite provision. Individual assessments will require to be undertaken, to establish the requirements for respite and to consider alternative provision. There may be additional costs for some service users, in securing respite provision from other sources. This will become apparent in the revised assessment and risk assessment for each individual.</p> <p>The reduction in respite for some service users may adversely affect their carers and the impact of this will need to be monitored. Alternative provision will need to be in place to ensure that those who meet the eligibility criteria are able to access respite services.</p> <p><b>Consultation required:</b></p> <p>Consultation will be required with elected members and the staff and trade unions involved.</p>											

**BUDGET PROPOSALS SERVICE : Social Work–Learning Disability Services**

**APPENDIX 6.12**

<b>PROPOSED BUDGET SAVINGS - DETAILED INFORMATION</b>													
<b>Category – IG – Income Generation, E – Efficiency, SC – Service Changes</b>		<b>Financial Impact (£000)</b>					<b>Personnel Impact</b>						
<b>Ref</b>	<b>Service Change</b>	<b>Category</b>	<b>2008/09</b>	<b>2009/10</b>	<b>2010/11</b>	<b>2011/12</b>	<b>£000</b>	<b>£000</b>	<b>FTE</b>	<b>2008/09</b>	<b>2009/10</b>	<b>2010/11</b>	<b>2011/12</b>
SW11	Social Work, Learning Disability Services, Externalisation of Specialist Day Services  LEAD OFFICER: Murray Leys	E	0	63	63	63				0	0	0	0
	<b>DESCRIPTION</b>	<b>Deliverability Issues/Risks/Legislative Background:</b>											
		<p>Following the successful transition of the Ayecan project to Inspire, this saving relates to the proposal to transfer the full Garden Craft service to Inspire. This would include the transfer of the asset and the lease of the building. Inspire would then charge a daily rate to each of the individuals receiving a day service. Inspire would run Garden Crafts as a social enterprise.</p> <p>It is intended that this would continue for a period of three years, with Aberdeen City Council making a commitment to this. At the end of the three year period, the business would become independent of income from Aberdeen City Council.</p> <p>The proposed savings have been calculated based on the current cost of the service (£243k), minus the proposed revenue commitment to Inspire over the three year period (£176k p.a.).</p>											

**BUDGET PROPOSALS**   **SERVICE : Social Work-Learning Disability Services**

**APPENDIX 6.12**

<b>PROPOSED BUDGET SAVINGS - DETAILED INFORMATION</b>										
<b>Category - IG - Income Generation, E - Efficiency, SC - Service Changes</b>		<b>Financial Impact (£000)</b>					<b>Personnel Impact</b>			
<b>Ref No.</b>	<b>Service Change</b>	<b>Category</b>	<b>2008/09</b>	<b>2009/10</b>	<b>2010/11</b>	<b>2011/12</b>	<b>2008/09</b>	<b>2009/10</b>	<b>2010/11</b>	<b>2011/12</b>
			<b>£000</b>	<b>£000</b>	<b>£000</b>	<b>FTE</b>				
SW12	Social Work, Learning Disability Services, Increase Income Generation (Day Care)	IG	0	70	70	70	0	0	0	0
	LEAD OFFICER: Murray Leys									
	<b>DESCRIPTION</b>	<b>Deliverability Issues/Risks/Legislative Background:</b>								
		<p>As with Day Care Services for Older People, it is proposed that a charge is levied for Day Care services for Adults with Learning Disabilities.</p> <p>The saving has been calculated based on the occupation of 90 places, over 260 days @ £3.</p>								
		<b>Consultation required:</b>								
		Consultation is required on the amendment to the current charging policy.								

**BUDGET PROPOSALS SERVICE : Social Work–Learning Disability Services**

**APPENDIX 6.12**

**PROPOSED BUDGET SAVINGS - DETAILED INFORMATION**

**Category – IG – Income Generation, E – Efficiency, SC – Service Changes**

Ref No.	Service Change	Category	Financial Impact (£'000)				Personnel Impact							
			2008/09	2009/10	2010/11	2011/12	£000	£000	£000	FTE	2008/09	2009/10	2010/11	2011/12
SW13	Social Work, Learning Disability Services, Reprovisioning of Services	E	0	300	300	300					0	0	0	0
	LEAD OFFICER: Murray Leys													
<b>DESCRIPTION</b>		<b>Deliverability Issues/Risks/Legislative Background:</b>												
		<p>The proposal is to negotiate reduced rates charged by nursing homes for adults with learning disabilities. There are currently 30-40 people who are receiving services from nursing homes, who have a learning disability. The additional costs charged by the nursing homes range from £70 to £1700 per week.</p> <p>It is proposed to review the care needs of the service users and ensure that we are only paying for the services that are needed for that individual. These costs would then be consistent with those charged for other adults in nursing homes.</p>												
		<p>This saving is based on reviewing the individual needs of each service user and negotiating an appropriate level and cost of service for that specific care package.</p> <p>There are risks associated with the renegotiation of service packages, where the provider refuses to provide the revised service at the required cost. This may result in some service users requiring to access alternative provision.</p> <p>There is the potential for these changes to reduce choice for service users. However, completing a new assessment and risk assessment will mitigate the risks for individual service users.</p> <p>Any delay in the ability to change packages of care will result in an adverse impact on potential budget savings.</p> <p><b>Consultation required:</b></p> <p>Consultation will be required with individual providers, once the assessments are complete. Support is required from legal services with regard to the negotiations and this is already being sought.</p>												

## BUDGET PROPOSALS    SERVICE : Social Work–Learning Disability Services

**APPENDIX 6.12**  
**Ability Services**

## PROPOSED BUDGET SAVINGS - DETAILED INFORMATION

Category - IG - Income Generation, E - Efficiency, SC - Service Changes

Ref No.	Service Change	Category	Financial Impact (£'000)			Personnel Impact		
			2008/09	2009/10	2010/11	2011/12	2008/09	2009/10
		£'000	£'000	£'000	£'000	£'000	FTE	
SW14	Social Work, Learning Disability Services, Redesign of Day Care Services	E	0	150	150	150	0	5
	LEAD OFFICER: Murray Leys						5	5

## DESCRIPTION

It is proposed to make this saving by changing the way in which employment and training opportunities are provided for Adults with Physical and Learning Disabilities.

The current service provided will be reformed to support service users access more appropriate services. Service users will continue to receive a service, but it will be one which is more focused around providing better outcomes

## **Deliverability Issues/Risks/Legislative Background:**

It is proposed to make the savings through voluntary severance / early retirement / redeployment

## **Consultation required:**

Consultation will be required with the team concerned and also with the affected service users and their carers.

## BUDGET PROPOSALS    SERVICE : Social Work–Learning Disability Services

APPENDIX 6.12

## **PROPOSED BUDGET SAVINGS - DETAILED INFORMATION**

## Category - IG - Income Generation, E - Efficiency, SC - Service Changes

## DESCRIPTION

This proposal forms part of a wider review of day care services for adults with learning disabilities, which refocuses the type of provision available.

This is being proposed, as it is clear that the traditional models of day care services, employment development and education support are not providing best outcomes for adults with a learning disability in Aberdeen. By working closely with communities, voluntary sector agencies and as part of the personalisation agenda, we intend to move away from unit based day care.

## **Deliverability Issues/Risks/Legislative Background:**

The proposed redesign of this service will affect those currently receiving day care services. Individual assessments will require to be undertaken, to establish the requirements for day respite and day care services and to consider alternative provision. There may be additional costs for some service users, in securing provision from other sources. This will become apparent in the revised assessment and risk assessment for each individual.

The change in service provision for some service users may adversely affect their carers and the impact of this will need to be monitored. Alternative provision will need to be in place to ensure that those who meet the eligibility criteria are able to access day respite and other day care services.

**BUDGET PROPOSALS**   **SERVICE :** Social Work–Learning Disability Services

**APPENDIX 6.12**

<b>PROPOSED BUDGET SAVINGS - DETAILED INFORMATION</b>											
		Category – IG – Income Generation, E – Efficiency, SC – Service Changes			Financial Impact (£000)			Personnel impact			
Ref	Service Change	Category	2008/09	2009/10	2010/11	2011/12		2008/09	2009/10	2010/11	2011/12
No.			£000	£000	£000	£000	FTE				
SW15 cont'd	All service users will be reassessed. For those who are not seen as being ready to access alternative services through self directed care and who meet the eligibility criteria, a reduced traditional day care service will continue to be provided at an alternative site.	Consultation required:									
		A consultation process on the proposed redevelopments will be undertaken and a communication and risk management plan will be delivered as part of the action plan for implementation. Support will be required from the contracts, legal and finance sections to deliver the new service developments.									
		The current budget for the service concerned is £720,000 p.a. We would reinvest £370,000 in the self directed care agenda and in recommissioning alternative service provision It is anticipated that this will make a saving of £350,000.									

**BUDGET PROPOSALS SERVICE : Social Work–Learning Disability Services**

**APPENDIX 6.12**

<b>PROPOSED BUDGET SAVINGS - DETAILED INFORMATION</b>										
<b>Category – IG – Income Generation, E – Efficiency, SC – Service Changes</b>										
<b>Ref No.</b>	<b>Service Change</b>	<b>Category</b>	<b>Financial Impact (£'000)</b>			<b>FTE</b>	<b>Personnel Impact</b>			<b>2011/12</b>
			<b>2008/09</b>	<b>2009/10</b>	<b>2010/11</b>		<b>2008/09</b>	<b>2009/10</b>	<b>2010/11</b>	
£'000	£'000	£'000								
SW16	Social Work, Learning Disability Services, Reduction in High Cost Care Packages and recommissioning of services  LEAD OFFICER: Murray Leys	E	0	1,850	1,850	1,850	0	0	0	0
<b>DESCRIPTION</b>			<b>Deliverability Issues/Risks/Legislative Background:</b>							
This saving will be made by reviewing all high cost packages of care and by reviewing the overall costs of services commissioned and renegotiating rates.			Each of the care packages will be subject to a comprehensive review and reassessment for each service user. Services will then be redesigned and recommissioned as appropriate.							
Reduction in overall costs are undertaken through negotiation with providers and agreement to change staffing ratios, overnight support arrangements and examining overhead costs.			In reviewing each individual care package and the revision of the provision of care services, a detailed risk assessment is undertaken for each case, to ensure that risks are mitigated and outcomes are maximised.							
There are currently 140 care packages in the City for adults with learning disabilities, which cost in excess of £1000 pw. Apart from being expensive, these services are not always providing the best outcomes for service users and have been in place for some time without review.			It is anticipated that there will be some impact on service users, as their care packages will change. It may be that some service users will need to change accommodation and that they move from residential to community based living. Whilst these service users will then have more options and choices available to them, this may cause anxieties for service users and their carers. These issues will be addressed in the risk assessments and care plans of each individual service user.							
			Further work is required to establish the detail of all the services commissioned and purchased from our partners, the nature of the payments made / services provided and to provide the exact breakdown of the expected saving. Additional resource has been made available to support this work.							

**BUDGET PROPOSALS SERVICE : Social Work–Learning Disability Services**

**APPENDIX 6.12**

<b>PROPOSED BUDGET SAVINGS - DETAILED INFORMATION</b>											
<b>Category – IG – Income Generation, E – Efficiency, SC – Service Changes</b>		<b>Category</b>	<b>Financial Impact (£000)</b>			<b>Personnel Impact</b>					
<b>Ref No.</b>	<b>Service Change</b>		<b>2008/09</b>	<b>2009/10</b>	<b>2010/11</b>	<b>2011/12</b>	<b>2008/09</b>	<b>2009/10</b>	<b>2010/11</b>	<b>2011/12</b>	
			<b>£000</b>	<b>£000</b>	<b>£000</b>	<b>FTE</b>					
SV16 cont'd	As part of this work, the type and cost of all care services commissioned and purchased for adults with learning disabilities will be reviewed. Where there are alternative funding sources or the ability to change, review or decommission services, this will be subject of further negotiation with the provider concerned. This work will also review all grants given to individual voluntary organisations.	In order to support the work, it is vital that there is good contract support based around revised service specifications and commissioning decisions. Support from finance and IT will be required to ensure service and financial monitoring is in place.									
		Support will be required from legal services where changes to services are required.									
		<b>Consultation required:</b>									
		Consultation will be required with partners and providers where it is proposed to change the way in which services are delivered or to decommission services.									

**BUDGET PROPOSALS**   **SERVICE :** Social Work–Learning Disability Services

**APPENDIX 6.12**

**PROPOSED BUDGET SAVINGS - DETAILED INFORMATION**  
**Category – IG – Income Generation, E – Efficiency, SC – Service Changes**

Ref No.	Service Change	Category	Financial Impact (£000)					FTE	Personnel Impact		
			2008/09	2009/10	2010/11	2011/12	£000	£000	2008/09	2009/10	2010/11
SW17	Social Work, Adults Services, Supporting People – Review of care packages	E		0	500	500	500	FTE			
	LEAD OFFICER: Liz Taylor								0	0	0
<b>DESCRIPTION</b>											
<b>Deliverability Issues/Risks/Legislative Background:</b>											
<p>It is proposed that this saving will be achieved through the review and assessment of individual services, supported through supporting people monies.</p> <p>The Supporting People and Care Management Teams will review and reassess the packages of care and support provided.</p> <p>Please note that these savings are separate from those proposed by the Housing Service, which were determined prior to the agreement that Supporting People would transfer to the Social Work Service.</p>											
<b>Consultation required:</b>											

**APPENDIX 6.12**  
**BUDGET PROPOSALS**      **SERVICE : Social Work - Mental Health Services**

<b>PROPOSED BUDGET SAVINGS - DETAILED INFORMATION</b>													
<b>Category - IG - Income Generation, E - Efficiency, SC - Service Changes</b>		<b>Financial Impact (£000)</b>					<b>Personnel Impact</b>						
<b>Ref No.</b>	<b>Service Change</b>	<b>Category</b>	<b>2008/09 £000</b>	<b>2009/10 £000</b>	<b>2010/11 £000</b>	<b>2011/12 £000</b>	<b>FTE</b>	<b>2008/09</b>	<b>2009/10</b>	<b>2010/11</b>	<b>2011/12</b>		
SW18	Social Work Service, Mental Health, Review and redesign of services  LEAD OFFICER: Liz Taylor	E	0	254	254	254		0	0	0	0		
	<b>DESCRIPTION</b>	<b>Deliverability Issues/Risks/Legislative Background:</b>											
	It is proposed to make this saving by changing the way in which some services are provided for Adults with Mental Health problems.	The proposed redesign of this service will affect those currently receiving some mental health services. Individual assessments will require to be undertaken, to establish the requirements for services and to consider alternative provision	Not every user of these services will be clients of the Social Work Service, as some people will self refer. Individual risk assessments will be undertaken for every Aberdeen City Council client using these services, to mitigate the risks from the service change. The retendering of services will be the subject of an EHRIA and we will work with providers to identify the total number of clients using the services, the eligibility criteria for the services and the likely impact on those who use the service but who are not known to Aberdeen City Council and how this can best be addressed. By totally redesigning the service, we will ensure that service users are involved in the process and that the revised service therefore offers better outcomes.	<b>Consultation required:</b>  Consultation will be required with NHS Grampian, with providers and with service user and carer groups.									

**APPENDIX 6.12**  
**SERVICE : SOCIAL WORK**

**BUDGET PROPOSALS**

<b>PROPOSED BUDGET SAVINGS - DETAILED INFORMATION</b>											
		<b>Category – IG – Income Generation, E – Efficiency, SC – Service Changes</b>									
<b>Ref</b>	<b>Service Change</b>	<b>Category</b>	<b>Financial Impact (£000)</b>						<b>Personnel Impact</b>		
			<b>2008/09</b>	<b>2009/10</b>	<b>2010/11</b>	<b>2011/12</b>	<b>2008/09</b>	<b>2009/10</b>	<b>2010/11</b>	<b>2011/12</b>	
			<b>£000</b>	<b>£000</b>	<b>£000</b>	<b>FTE</b>	<b>£000</b>	<b>£000</b>	<b>FTE</b>		
SW19	Social Work Service, Office of the Chief Social Work Officer –Proposed Service Redesign  LEAD OFFICER: Philip Cotterill	E	0	40	40	40	0	0	1	1	
	<b>DESCRIPTION</b>	<b>Deliverability Issues/Risks/Legislative Background:</b>									
		This saving relates to a proposal to move the careFirst Team and the Information Unit from the PM&QA section of Strategic Leadership and to review the role and functions of the two teams. The intended outcome is to improve the performance management function for the Social Work Service.									
		The role and functions of the teams would be reviewed and redesigned to ensure that the posts are appropriate for the tasks required. It is anticipated that efficiency savings can be made in the region of £40,000.									
		The saving would be achieved through a service review and then a consultation period re the proposed structural changes.									
		There would be no implications for service users, carers or other stakeholders.									
		<b>Consultation required:</b>									
		Consultation is required with the directly affected staff and trade unions.									

**APPENDIX 6.12**  
**SERVICE : SOCIAL WORK**

**BUDGET PROPOSALS**

**PROPOSED BUDGET SAVINGS - DETAILED INFORMATION**

**Category – IG – Income Generation, E – Efficiency, SC – Service Changes**

Ref No.	Service Change	Category	Financial Impact (£000)				Personnel Impact				
			2008/09 £000	2009/10 £000	2010/11 £000	2011/12 £000	FTE	2008/09 FTE	2009/10 FTE	2010/11 FTE	2011/12 FTE
SW20	Social Work Service, Office of the Chief Social Work Officer, reduce staffing LEAD OFFICER: Sandra Power	E		35	35	35			(1.5)	(1.5)	(1.5)
	<b>DESCRIPTION</b>										

**Deliverability Issues/Risks/Legislative Background:**

This saving relates to a proposal to delete one vacant post within the In Control team and the 0.5 FTE vacant post within the Commissioning Team. The budget savings are comprised as follows.

Deletion of post of In Control Development Worker and deletion of 0.5 FTE Information Officer

The savings will be achieved through the deletion of posts which are currently vacant.

**Consultation required:**

There is no additional consultation required.

**APPENDIX 6.12**  
**SERVICE : Social Work, Adults Services**

**BUDGET PROPOSALS**

<b>PROPOSED BUDGET SAVINGS - DETAILED INFORMATION</b>														
		<b>Category – IG – Income Generation, E – Efficiency, SC – Service Changes</b>												
<b>Ref</b>	<b>Service Change</b>	<b>Category</b>	<b>Financial Impact (£000)</b>						<b>Personnel Impact</b>					
			<b>2008/09</b>	<b>2009/10</b>	<b>2010/11</b>	<b>2011/12</b>	<b>£000</b>	<b>£000</b>	<b>£000</b>	<b>FTE</b>	<b>2008/09</b>	<b>2009/10</b>	<b>2010/11</b>	<b>2011/12</b>
SW21	Social Work Service, Adult Service Manager- Deletion of Post	E	0	50	50	50					0	1	1	1
	LEAD OFFICER: Liz Taylor													
	<b>DESCRIPTION</b>	<b>Deliverability Issues/Risks/Legislative Background:</b>												
		<p>This is a saving by deleting one adult services manager post, with responsibility currently for in-house residential care and day services for older people and people with disabilities, on an area basis. It is one of three area management posts.</p> <p>Service closures and service redesign has been reducing the responsibilities under each of the 3 posts, allowing the possibility of re-allocation to 2 remaining service managers of workload from this post. There are no implications for other council services or partner agencies.</p> <p>There are no implications for quality of service delivery. This is a low risk proposal.</p>												
		<p>It is currently intended to lose the postholder through the VS/ER exercise. If individuals concerned decide not to progress the application, this saving may be at risk.</p>												

**APPENDIX 6.12**  
**BUDGET PROPOSALS**

**BUDGET PROPOSALS**

**SERVICE : Social Work, Adults Services**

<b>PROPOSED BUDGET SAVINGS - DETAILED INFORMATION</b>									
<b>Category – IG – Income Generation, E – Efficiency, SC – Service Changes</b>									
Ref No.	Service Change	Category	Financial Impact (£000)				Personnel Impact		
			2008/09	2009/10	2010/11	2011/12	2008/09	2009/10	2010/11
			£000	£000	£000	£000	FTE	FTE	FTE
SW21 cont'd	Two of the three adult service managers have applied for voluntary severance. One post would be removed from establishment as soon as ERVS process allows. There is potential for 25% savings on post in 2008-09, with full year savings in 2009-10.								

**BUDGET PROPOSALS**      **SERVICE : Social Work, Older People's Services**

**APPENDIX 6.12**

<b>PROPOSED BUDGET SAVINGS - DETAILED INFORMATION</b>									
		<b>Category – IG – Income Generation, E – Efficiency, SC – Service Changes</b>							
<b>Ref</b>	<b>Service Change</b>	<b>Category</b>	<b>Financial Impact (£000)</b>			<b>Personnel Impact</b>			
<b>No.</b>			<b>2008/09</b>	<b>2009/10</b>	<b>2010/11</b>	<b>2011/12</b>	<b>2008/09</b>	<b>2009/10</b>	<b>2010/11</b>
			<b>£000</b>	<b>£000</b>	<b>£000</b>	<b>FTE</b>	<b>2008/09</b>	<b>2009/10</b>	<b>2011/12</b>
SW22	Social Work Service, Older Peoples Services – Coronation Court Lead Officer: Liz Taylor	E		480	548	548		0	0
	<b>DESCRIPTION</b>	<b>Deliverability Issues/Risks/Legislative Background:</b>							
		<p>Coronation Court is a New Homes for Varying Needs facility to be opened in April 2009. It will reduce the reliance on care homes and change the balance of care within the City.</p> <p>The new build Coronation Court will be opened in April 2009. Adults and Older People currently residing in residential care will be offered the opportunity to return to more independent living.</p> <p>The allocation of the flats and cottages associated with this development will be managed by Health and Care. The intention is to allocate these properties to adults with varying needs who are either supported out of the city through expensive care packages or to people currently in or awaiting places in traditional residential care homes. This will reduce expenditure on the Private and Voluntary sector provision.</p>							
		<p>The new service build is ongoing and will be completed for April 2009.</p> <p>The proposal to place people at Coronation Court will be based on the basis of their reassessments and appropriate risk assessment</p> <p>The proposal is dependent on the ability of the Council to provide appropriate specialist supports within Coronation Court and to maintain supports and resources, especially for adults with a learning disability who are in high cost specialist placements outside the City.</p>							

**BUDGET PROPOSALS**      **SERVICE : Social Work, Older People's Services**

<b>PROPOSED BUDGET SAVINGS - DETAILED INFORMATION</b>												
		<b>Category – IG – Income Generation, E – Efficiency, SC – Service Changes</b>										
<b>Ref</b>	<b>Service Change</b>	<b>Category</b>	<b>Financial Impact (£000)</b>			<b>Personnel Impact</b>			<b>2008/09</b>	<b>2009/10</b>	<b>2010/11</b>	<b>2011/12</b>
			<b>£000</b>	<b>£000</b>	<b>£000</b>	<b>FTE</b>	<b>FTE</b>	<b>FTE</b>				
SW22 cont'd	This outstanding accommodation and ACC staff will ensure the service provides high quality care and support to many vulnerable people in their own tenancies, and will be another step forward in changing the balance of care from reliance on care homes. Additionally it will provide care and support for some people currently being cared for outside of the city and will enable them to live as independently as possible but importantly closer to home and their families.	Consultation required:										
		Consultation required with staff, other Council services, service users and carers.										
		Average residential cost based on commitment @ 26.09.08										
		Gross Cost of Place	£23,448									
		Average Contribution	£5,789									
		Net Cost of Place	£17,700									
		Net Cost x 31 places for 10.5 months	£480,105									
		No structural change necessary to achieve the saving. Staff will be allocated from within existing resources.										
		The impact of this service development will achieve reduced spend in the P&V spot purchase budget.										

**APPENDIX 6.12**  
**BUDGET PROPOSALS      SERVICE : Social Work, Older People's Services**

<b>PROPOSED BUDGET SAVINGS - DETAILED INFORMATION</b>												
Category - IG - Income Generation, E - Efficiency, SC - Service Changes		Financial Impact (£000)		2008/09		2009/10		2011/12		Personnel Impact		
Ref No.	Service Change	Category	£000	£000	£000	£000	£000	FTE	2008/09	2009/10	2010/11	2011/12
SW23	Social Work, Older Peoples Services, Increase Income Generation (Day Care)	IG	0	70	70	70	70		0	0	0	0
	Lead Officer: Liz Taylor											
	<b>DESCRIPTION</b>	<b>Deliverability Issues/Risks/Legislative Background:</b>										
		<p>In line with the proposal to introduce charges for day care for people with learning difficulties, we propose to introduce a charge of £3.00 per day for attendance at day care centres for older people.</p> <p>This will ensure equity across all services. Whilst there may be resistance to the introduction of charges, we will ensure that day care services are provided for those with more complex needs and continues to provide respite for their carers.</p>										
		<p>All service users should be referred for welfare and benefits checks, to ensure that they are maximising their use of benefits. Any service user who proposes to withdraw from the service will be subject to an assessment and a risk assessment, to ensure they do not become socially isolated. Any impact on carers will be mitigated through undertaking a carer's assessment. An appropriate communications strategy will ensure that all service user and carers are fully informed of the proposed change to service and the opportunity for a reassessment of need.</p>										
		<p><b>Consultation required:</b></p> <p>Consultation will be required on any amendment required to the Council's charging policy.</p>										
		<p>450 places per week @ £3.00 x 50 weeks = £67,500</p>										

**BUDGET PROPOSALS**      **SERVICE : Social Work, Older People's Services**

**APPENDIX 6.12**

<b>PROPOSED BUDGET SAVINGS - DETAILED INFORMATION</b>										
<b>Category - IG - Income Generation, E - Efficiency, SC - Service Changes</b>		<b>Financial Impact (£000)</b>					<b>Personnel Impact</b>			
<b>Ref No.</b>	<b>Service Change</b>	<b>Category</b>	<b>2008/09</b>	<b>2009/10</b>	<b>2010/11</b>	<b>2011/12</b>	<b>2008/09</b>	<b>2009/10</b>	<b>2010/11</b>	<b>2011/12</b>
			<b>£000</b>	<b>£000</b>	<b>£000</b>	<b>FTE</b>	<b>2008/09</b>	<b>2009/10</b>	<b>2010/11</b>	<b>2011/12</b>
SW24	Social Work, Older Peoples Services, Reprovisioning of Transport Lead Officer: Liz Taylor	E	0	150	150	150	0	0	0	0
	<b>DESCRIPTION</b>	<b>Deliverability Issues/Risks/Legislative Background:</b>								
		<p>An urgent exercise will be undertaken to appropriately cost and determine the transport needs of Day Care Centres for Older People. With the reprovisioning of 4 services to one new centre this will reduce the need for several buses, drivers and their escorts and the associated costs. Day centres should utilise their own staff as escorts and this will further reduce costs.</p>								
		<p><b>Consultation required:</b></p> <p>Consultation is being undertaken with the PTU.</p> <p>Additional work is required to complete the proposals, including meeting with the Transport Manager to get detailed costings/savings but based on current allocation £150,000 is a reasonable sum to put forward.</p>								

## BUDGET PROPOSALS

## SERVICE : Social Work, Older People's Services

## APPENDIX 6.12

APPENDIX 6.12

## **PROPOSED BUDGET SAVINGS - DETAILED INFORMATION**

Category S - Income Generation E - Efficiency SC - Service Category

## **Deliverability Issues/Risks/Legislative Background:**

This saving relates to the closure of an OPH within the City, as previously agreed by Committee.

## **DESCRIPTION**

For staff: There are still a significant number of vacant posts to be filled at the new Rosewell House. Recruitment to these posts has been delayed due to the later than anticipated opening of this new facility. These vacant posts offer opportunities for some of the staff who will no longer be required in the service we propose to close. There are also vacancies in our other care services. Recruitment should cease immediately until decisions are made and full consultation has taken place.

The home has not met the quality standards of ACC for many years and are currently required to have in place a plan to meet the National Care Standards in regard to en-suite rooms and space requirements.

An option appraisal is currently underway to guide the decision making in this respect. However, a negative Care Commission Inspection of the environment within which we provide this service has refocused our planning. It must be stressed that this report is not a criticism of the care provided.

**BUDGET PROPOSALS**      **SERVICE : Social Work, Older People's Services**

**APPENDIX 6.12**

<b>PROPOSED BUDGET SAVINGS - DETAILED INFORMATION</b>										
<b>Category – IG – Income Generation, E – Efficiency, SC – Service Changes</b>		<b>Financial Impact (£000)</b>					<b>Personnel Impact</b>			
<b>Ref No.</b>	<b>Service Change</b>	<b>Category</b>	<b>2008/09</b>	<b>2009/10</b>	<b>2010/11</b>	<b>2011/12</b>	<b>2008/09</b>	<b>2009/10</b>	<b>2010/11</b>	<b>2011/12</b>
			<b>£000</b>	<b>£000</b>	<b>£000</b>	<b>£000</b>	<b>FTE</b>	<b>FTE</b>	<b>FTE</b>	<b>FTE</b>
SW25 cont'd	It is suggested we stop the option appraisal and focus our attention on the closure of this service.	Consultation required:								
		A full consultation process will be required with service users, staff and key stakeholders. A detailed delivery programme will be developed, which will include the required consultation.								
		Part of the strategic plan had been to consider reprofiling this service through one of our Homes for Varying Needs builds but these have been significantly delayed for a variety of reasons and will not be an option for many years. We cannot afford to wait for these to come into commission.								
		There are new developments opening within the city (Private Sector) which afford us the opportunity to negotiate the reprofiling of care during the next financial year.								
		The proposal will realise a saving to this budget of £300,000 and will also lead to improved outcomes for service users.								

**BUDGET PROPOSALS**      **SERVICE : Social Work, Older People's Services**

**APPENDIX 6.12**

<b>PROPOSED BUDGET SAVINGS - DETAILED INFORMATION</b>											
<b>Category – IG – Income Generation, E – Efficiency, SC – Service Changes</b>		<b>Financial Impact (£000)</b>					<b>Personnel Impact</b>				
<b>Ref No.</b>	<b>Service Change</b>	<b>Category</b>	<b>2008/09 £000</b>	<b>2009/10 £000</b>	<b>2010/11 £000</b>	<b>2011/12 £000</b>	<b>FTE</b>	<b>2008/09</b>	<b>2009/10</b>	<b>2010/11</b>	<b>2011/12</b>
SW26	Social Work Service, Older Peoples Services, Integrated Care at Home – Service Redesign  Lead Officer: Liz Taylor	E	0	470	470	470		0	30	30	30
<b>DESCRIPTION</b>											
<p><b>Deliverability Issues/Risks/Legislative Background:</b></p> <p>The redesign of the service is ongoing and the impact of implementing eligibility criteria has significantly reduced our customer numbers and care hours have reduced by 33%.</p> <p>Many personal carers have expressed an interest in VER and we will require a selection process to be prepared to ensure equity in decision making.</p> <p>The posts are then to be deleted.</p> <p>Following the implementation of eligibility criteria we have re-assessed the number of personal carer hours required across the service. We believe we can safely reduce the number of personal carers by 30 FTE.</p> <p>We would need to ensure that we have a flexible workforce, capable of meeting the future needs for service provision.</p> <p>This proposal would still leave a number of hours for new developments, other service redesigns and to provide the capacity to develop the in-house service to deliver a high class service to meet current and future needs.</p>											

APPENDIX 6.12

BUDGET PROPOSALS

SERVICE : Social Work, Older People's Services

<b>PROPOSED BUDGET SAVINGS - DETAILED INFORMATION</b>										
<b>Category - IG - Income Generation, E - Efficiency, SC - Service Changes</b>		Financial Impact (£000)				Personnel Impact				
Ref	Service Change	Category	2008/09	2009/10	2010/11	2011/12	2008/09	2009/10	2010/11	2011/12
No.			£000	£000	£000	£000	FTE	FTE	FTE	FTE
SW26 cont'd	This may include a specialist team meeting the needs of people with very complex care needs and those with a terminal illness who wish to remain at home, thus slightly reducing the spend on P&V home care.	Consultation required with staff and TU's to agree selection criteria.								
		It is expected that the proposal will save £470K, based on the deletion of 30 posts @ MW5.								

**BUDGET PROPOSALS**      **SERVICE : Social Work, Older People's Services**

**APPENDIX 6.12**

<b>PROPOSED BUDGET SAVINGS - DETAILED INFORMATION</b>															
<b>Category – IG – Income Generation, E – Efficiency, SC – Service Changes</b>		<b>Financial Impact (£'000)</b>													
Ref No.	Service Change	Category	2008/09	2009/10	2010/11	2011/12	£'000	£'000	£'000	FTE	2008/09	2009/10	2010/11	2011/12	Personnel impact
SW27	Social Work, Older Peoples Services, Integrated Care at Home – Service Redesign	E	0	237	237	237					0	9.9	9.9	9.9	
	Lead Officer: Liz Taylor														
<b>DESCRIPTION</b>		<b>Deliverability Issues/Risks/Legislative Background:</b>													
		<p>The proposal is to permanently reduce the established management and support staffing complement in line with the reduced manual worker and service user numbers. Some posts are/will become vacant.</p> <p>Reduction of 5 Team Leader, 1.2 Customer Care Coordinator, 2.7 Customer Care Assistant and 1 Clerical Assistant posts. This will be achieved through structural change. It is anticipated that the impact of service redesign will achieve improved outcomes in the areas of service performance, leadership and management</p>													
		<p>The redesign of the service is ongoing and the impact of implementing eligibility criteria has significantly reduced our customer, care hours and manual worker numbers have reduced by 33%.</p> <p>9 T/L's have expressed an interest in V/ER and we will require a selection process prepared to ensure equity in decision making.</p> <p>1 Customer care coordinator will be supported to leave through V/ER. No replacement cost. Post to be deleted.</p> <p>3 Customer care assistants will transfer into vacant customer care coordinator posts (currently covered by agency staff. These will be released by Christmas 2008). We will not replace the then vacant customer care assistant posts.</p>													

**APPENDIX 6.12**

**BUDGET PROPOSALS**

**SERVICE : Social Work, Older People's Services**

<b>PROPOSED BUDGET SAVINGS - DETAILED INFORMATION</b>												
		<b>Category – IG – Income Generation, E – Efficiency, SC – Service Changes</b>			<b>Financial Impact (£000)</b>					<b>Personnel Impact</b>		
<b>Ref No.</b>	<b>Service Change</b>	<b>Category</b>	<b>2008/09</b>	<b>2009/10</b>	<b>2010/11</b>	<b>2011/12</b>	<b>2008/09</b>	<b>2009/10</b>	<b>2010/11</b>	<b>2011/12</b>		
			<b>£000</b>	<b>£000</b>	<b>£000</b>	<b>FTE</b>	<b>£000</b>	<b>£000</b>	<b>FTE</b>	<b>FTE</b>		
SW27 cont'd	5 Team Leaders @ £27,769 = £138,855	Consultation required:										
	1.2 CC Coordinator @ £22,086 = £26,503	Consultation required with staff and TU's to agree selection criteria for the Team Leader posts.										
	1 Clerical Assistant @ £19,428 = £19,428											
	2.7 CC Assistants @ £19,428 = £52,456											
	Total = £237,242											

## APPENDIX 6.12

### **BUDGET PROPOSALS**

### **SERVICE : Social Work, Older People's Services**

PROPOSED BUDGET SAVINGS - DETAILED INFORMATION														
Ref No.	Service Change	Category – IG – Income Generation, E – Efficiency, SC – Service Changes			Financial Impact (£'000)			Personnel Impact						
		Category	2008/09	2009/10	2010/11	2011/12	£'000	£'000	£'000	FTE	2008/09	2009/10	2010/11	2011/12
SW28	Social Work Services, Older Peoples Services, Service Redesign, Deletion of 1 FTE Day Centre Manager Post  Lead Officer: Liz Taylor	E	0	30	30	30	0	30	30		0	1	1	1
DESCRIPTION		Deliverability Issues/Risks/Legislative Background:												
		<p>Balnagask Day Centre has reduced from 45 places per day x 7 days per week to 25 places per day x 5 days per week over recent years. The implementation of eligibility criteria is further reducing the numbers of service users. This centre has a highly paid Unit Manager who has requested VER. It is agreed that we should support her request, appoint a Day Centre Officer to be on-site (from existing resources) and to jointly manage the centre with Balnagask House which is within very close proximity.</p> <p>It is proposed to permanently delete the established post of Manager at Balnagask Day Centre.</p>												

**APPENDIX 6.12**

**BUDGET PROPOSALS**

**SERVICE : Social Work, Older People's Services**

<b><u>PROPOSED BUDGET SAVINGS - DETAILED INFORMATION</u></b>									
<b><u>Category - IG - Income Generation, E - Efficiency, SC - Service Changes</u></b>		Financial Impact (£000)					Personnel Impact		
Ref No.	Service Change	Category	2008/09	2009/10	2010/11	2011/12	2008/09	2009/10	2010/11
			£000	£000	£000	£000	FTE	FTE	FTE
SW28 cont'd	It is proposed that this will save £30k pa.	Consultation required:							
		There will need to be dialogue with the Care Commission to ensure we meet all registration requirements, but this is not envisaged to present a problem.							
		Consultation will be required with the staff (and associated trade unions) concerned.							

**APPENDIX 6.12**

**BUDGET PROPOSALS**

**SERVICE : Social Work, Older People's Services**

<b>PROPOSED BUDGET SAVINGS - DETAILED INFORMATION</b>												
		<b>Category - IG – Income Generation, E – Efficiency, SC – Service Changes</b>		<b>Financial Impact (£'000)</b>				<b>Personnel Impact</b>				
<b>Ref</b>	<b>Service Change</b>	<b>Category</b>		<b>2008/09</b>	<b>2009/10</b>	<b>2010/11</b>	<b>2011/12</b>	<b>FTE</b>	<b>2008/09</b>	<b>2009/10</b>	<b>2010/11</b>	<b>2011/12</b>
<b>No.</b>				<b>£'000</b>	<b>£'000</b>	<b>£'000</b>	<b>£'000</b>					
SW29	Social Work Service, Older Peoples Services, Integrated Care at Home – Service Redesign, Deletion of 3 FTE Assistant Coordinators	E		0	64	64	64		0	3	3	3
	Lead Officer: Liz Taylor											
<b>DESCRIPTION</b>		<b>Deliverability Issues/Risks/Legislative Background:</b>										
		<p>To bring the staffing structures in line with all other sheltered housing, to maximise management presence and to provide additional hands on care, it has been decided to introduce 2 senior carer posts into the very sheltered housing complexes and delete the Assistant Coordinator posts. The three current posts holders have requested VER which we should support, and the replacement senior carer staff can be identified from within existing resources.</p>										
		<p>The redesign of the service is ongoing. The 3 current post holders have expressed an interest in VER and we should support this. The posts are then to be deleted.</p>										
		<p><b>Consultation required:</b></p> <p>Consultation required with staff and TU's.</p>										
		<p>3 Assistant Coordinator posts @ £21,333 = £64,000</p>										

BUDGET PROPOSALSSUMMARY INFORMATION

## Category - IG - Income Generation, E - Efficiency, SC - Service Changes

Ref No.	Title of Service Change	Category	Financial Impact (£'000)			Personnel Impact		
			2008/09 £'000	2009/10 £'000	2010/11 £'000	2011/12 £'000	FTE Head Count	FTE Head Count
<b>Efficiencies</b>								
<b>SL01</b>	Removal of 2 Floating Strategist posts	<b>E</b>	106	106	106	(2)	(2)	(2)
<b>SL02</b>	Removal of 1 x Support Assistant post	<b>E</b>	19	19	19	1	1	1
<b>SL03</b>	CP&R – removal of 1 x Support Assistant	<b>E</b>	19	19	19	1	1	1
<b>SL04</b>	E&ES - removal of 1 x Support Assistant	<b>E</b>	19	19	19	1	1	1
<b>SL05</b>	P&I – removal of 1 x Team Leader (Infrastructure Strategy) post	<b>SC</b>	53	53	(1)	(1)	(1)	(1)
<b>SL06</b>	P&I – removal of 1 x Trainee Planner Post	<b>SC</b>	24	24	1	1	1	1
<b>SL07</b>	CP&R – reduce financial support to the Civic Forum	<b>E</b>	20	20	20			
<b>SL08</b>	E&ES – realignment of Secretarial support to Head of Service	<b>E</b>	7	7	7			
<b>SL09</b>	Removal of 1 Strategist Post – Research & Information	<b>SC</b>	58	58	58	1	1	1
<b>Increased Income</b>								
<b>SL10</b>	P&I – increase in revenue income	<b>IG</b>	10	0	0			
<b>SL11</b>	CP&R – administration charge for Fairer Scotland Fund	<b>IG</b>	110	110	110			
<b>TOTAL</b>			<b>445</b>	<b>435</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>8</b>

## BUDGET PROPOSALS

## **DETAILED INFORMATION**

## APPENDIX 6.13

### SERVICE: Strategic Leadership

#### BUDGET PROPOSALS

#### DETAILED INFORMATION

Ref No.	Service Change	Category - IG - Income Generation, E - Efficiency, SC - Service Changes						Personnel Impact			
		Category	2008/09 £000	2009/10 £000	2010/11 £000	2011/12 £000	FTE	2008/09 Head Count	2009/10 Head Count	2010/11 Head Count	2011/12 Head Count
	<b>Title of proposed service change</b>										
SL02	Operational Support: Removal of 1x Support Assistant post.	E									
	<b>DESCRIPTION</b>	Cost Centre V37213 (60%) & V37260 (40%) Account Code(s) 11111 - £14,940; 11116 - £2,825; 11117 - £934.									
	The proposal is to remove a post that is no longer required due to the reorganisation of receptions, and relocate post holder to the Application Support Team. This proposal will enable us to let the current Support Assistant within the Application Support Team leave on ERVS. In terms of service delivery, this option will reduce administration capacity within Strategic Leadership. We would need to revise how we deliver administrative support for this service, to ensure there was no impact on service delivery and to avoid a knock on impact in terms of work load for the remaining staff. We are confident this can be achieved.	<b>Deliverability Issues/Risks/Legislative Background:</b> Low to medium risk, as the proposal will depend on officer accepting ERVS. Will require budget from within the service to cover ongoing costs of ERVS from service. There are no legislative or policy issues.									
		<b>Consultation required:</b> This proposal will require consultation with the Trade Unions, as this post exists within the current structure.									

**APPENDIX 6.13****SERVICE: Strategic Leadership****BUDGET PROPOSALS****DETAILED INFORMATION****Category - IG - Income Generation, E - Efficiency, SC - Service Changes**

Ref. No.	Service Change <b>Title of proposed service change</b>	Category	Financial Impact (£'000)	2008/09	2009/10	2010/11	2011/12	FTE	2008/09	2009/10	2010/11	2011/12	Personnel Impact
			£'000	£'000	£'000	£'000	Head Count		1	1	1	1	
SL03	CP&R: Removal of 1 x Support Assistant post												
<b>DESCRIPTION</b>													
The proposal is to reconfigure Administrative Support within Community Planning and Regeneration, and thus remove 1 post from the current system. This proposal supports an early retirement application. In terms of service delivery, we would need to revise how we deliver administrative support for this service, to ensure there was no impact on service delivery and to avoid a knock on impact in terms of work load for the remaining staff. This would require training of staff in group wise, word processing, and other electronic communication tools. We are confident this can be achieved.													
<b>Deliverability Issues/Risks/Legislative Background:</b>													
This proposal is low to medium risk as it depends on officer accepting ER/V/S. Will require budget from within the service to cover ongoing costs of ER/V/S from service. There are no legislative or policy issues.													
<b>Consultation required:</b>													
This proposal will require consultation with the Trade Unions, as this post exists within the current structure.													

**APPENDIX 6.13****SERVICE: Strategic Leadership****BUDGET PROPOSALS****DETAILED INFORMATION****Category - IG - Income Generation, E - Efficiency, SC - Service Changes**

Ref.	Service Change	Category	2008/09 £000	2009/10 £000	2010/11 £000	2011/12 £000	FTE	2008/09 Head Count	2009/10 Head Count	2010/11 Head Count	Personnel Impact
No.											
	<b>Title of proposed service change</b>	<b>E</b>									
SL04	E&ES: Removal of 1 x Support Assistant	Cost Centre G26130 Account Code(s) 11111 - £14,940; 11116 - £2,825; 11117 - £934.									
<b>DESCRIPTION</b>											
The proposal is to reconfigure Administrative Support within Economic & Environmental Sustainability and thus reduce the number of posts by 1. This proposal supports an early retirement application. In terms of service delivery, we would need to revise how we deliver administrative support for this service, to ensure there was no impact on service delivery and to avoid a knock on impact in terms of work load for the remaining staff. We are confident this can be achieved.											
<b>Deliverability Issues/Risks/Legislative Background:</b> This proposal is low to medium risk as it depends on officer accepting ERVS. Will require budget from within the service to cover ongoing costs of ERVS from service. There are no legislative or policy issues.											
<b>Consultation required:</b> This proposal will require consultation with the Trade Unions, as this post exists within the current structure.											

**APPENDIX 6.13****SERVICE: Strategic Leadership****BUDGET PROPOSALS****DETAILED INFORMATION****Category - IG - Income Generation, E - Efficiency, SC - Service Changes**

Ref. No.	Service Change	Category	Financial Impact (£'000)				FTE	Personnel Impact			
			2008/09 £'000	2009/10 £'000	2010/11 £'000	2011/12 £'000		2008/09	2009/10	2010/11	2011/12
	<b>Title of proposed service change</b>	<b>SC</b>									
SL05	P & I: Removal of 1 x Team Leader (Infrastructure Strategy) Post			53	53	53					
	<b>DESCRIPTION</b>	<b>Cost Centre V37216</b>	<b>Account Code(s) 11111 - £41,238; 11116 - £7,422; 11117 - £3,434.</b>								
	The proposal is to remove the Team Leader for Infrastructure Strategy post which has been vacant for the past year. Potential impact on service delivery, is that it could impact on the integration of service delivery which has been achieved across planning and infrastructure. However, the teams are working well together, so this is a low risk. This option allows us to retain the Strategist role for the Development Plan team, although this currently remains as a vacant post.		<b>Deliverability Issues/Risks/Legislative Background:</b> This proposal is low risk as the post is currently vacant. In terms of practicalities, this proposal is dependence on being able to adjust the current Strategic Development Strategist job description into a Development Plan and Access Strategist. There are no legislative or policy issues, although this proposal reduces the potential future capacity within a statutory service.								
								<b>Consultation required:</b> This proposal will require consultation with the Trade Unions, as this post exists within the current structure.			

**APPENDIX 6.13****SERVICE: Strategic Leadership****BUDGET PROPOSALS****DETAILED INFORMATION****Category - IG - Income Generation, E - Efficiency, SC - Service Changes**

<b>Ref.</b>	<b>Service Change</b>	<b>Category</b>	Financial Impact (£'000)			Personnel Impact					
<b>No.</b>			2008/09 £'000	2009/10 £'000	2010/11 £'000	2011/12 £'000	FTE	2008/09 Head Count	2009/10 1	2010/11 1	2011/12 1
	<b>Title of proposed service change</b>	<b>SC</b>		24	24	24			1	1	1
<b>SL06</b>	P&I: Removal of Planning Trainee Post	<b>DESCRIPTION</b>	<b>Cost Centre V37213</b> <b>Account Code(s) 11111 - £19,734; 11116 - £5,528; 11117 - £2,300.</b>								
		<b>Deliverability Issues/Risks/Legislative Background:</b>	Low to medium risk as it will depend on officer accepting ER/V/S.								
			The proposal is to remove a trainee planner post in support of early retirement application for senior planner. The plan is to promote the planning trainee into a planner post, and thereby release this post in support of the ER of the senior planner. This is a statutory service with long term recruitment and retention issues. This option is reducing our ability to grow our own staff.								
		<b>Consultation required:</b>	This proposal will require consultation with the Trade Unions, as this post exists within the current structure.								

## APPENDIX 6.13

### SERVICE: Strategic Leadership

#### BUDGET PROPOSALS

#### DETAILED INFORMATION

#### Category - IG - Income Generation, E - Efficiency, SC - Service Changes

Ref.	Service Change	Category	Financial Impact (£'000)			Personnel Impact				
			2008/09 £'000	2009/10 £'000	2010/11 £'000	2011/12 £'000	FTE	2008/09 Head Count	2009/10 Head Count	2010/11 Head Count
	<b>Title of proposed service change</b>	<b>E</b>								
SL07	CP&R: reduce financial support to the Civic Forum				20	20	20			
	<b>DESCRIPTION</b>	<b>Cost Centre B45413</b> <b>Account Code(s) 68146 - £20,000.</b>								
	The proposal is to reduce the level of financial support that the Council provides to the Aberdeen City Alliance by £20,000. Currently the Civic Forum receives financial support from the Council which pays for administrative support, facilities, refreshment. The actual support is for The Aberdeen City Alliance as a whole rather than the Civic Forum - ACC contributes £74,000 per annum (along with support from NHS Grampian and Grampian Police). A reduction from £74,000 to £54,000	Deliverability Issues/Risks/Legislative Background:  Low risk.								
		<b>Consultation required:</b>								

## APPENDIX 6.13

### SERVICE: Strategic Leadership

#### BUDGET PROPOSALS

#### DETAILED INFORMATION

##### Category - IG - Income Generation, E - Efficiency, SC - Service Changes

Ref. No.	Service Change	Category	Financial Impact (£000)				Personnel Impact			
			2008/09 £000	2009/10 £000	2010/11 £000	2011/12 £000	2008/09 FTE	2009/10 FTE	2010/11 FTE	2011/12 FTE
	would be spread across a number of strands of support to The Aberdeen City Alliance e.g. conferences, hospitality, printing of literature, website as well as the Civic Forum - however, over recent years there has been an underspend in the order of £20,000. This is one that should be looked at on an annual basis for a zero based budget approach. The impact on service delivery should be minimal, as this budget is under spent by approximately this amount each year.	Civic Forum / TACA								

**APPENDIX 6.13****SERVICE: Strategic Leadership****BUDGET PROPOSALS****DETAILED INFORMATION****Category - IG - Income Generation, E - Efficiency, SC - Service Changes**

Ref. No.	Service Change  <b>Title of proposed service change</b>	Category	Financial Impact (£'000)			Personnel Impact				
			2008/09 £'000	2009/10 £'000	2010/11 £'000	2011/12 £'000	FTE	2008/09 Head Count	2009/10 Head Count	2010/11 Head Count
SL08	E&ES: realignment of Secretarial support to Head of Service	E			7	7	7			
<b>DESCRIPTION</b>										
<b>Cost Centre G26130</b> <b>Account Code(s) 11111 - £5,630; 11116 - £1,065.</b>										
<b>Deliverability Issues/Risks/Legislative Background:</b> This proposal is low risk – as the officer has requested reduced hours in order to study.										
The proposal is to reduce secretarial / admin support to Head of Service for Economic & Environmental Sustainability, by reducing the hours of secretary. Impact on service delivery relates to capacity to deliver support to Head of Service, and we would need to ensure the service is redesigned so this proposal does not have a detrimental impact on the work load of other staff. We are confident this can be achieved.										
<b>Consultation required:</b> None.										

## APPENDIX 6.13

### SERVICE: Strategic Leadership

#### BUDGET PROPOSALS

#### DETAILED INFORMATION

Ref. No.	Service Change	Category - IG - Income Generation, E - Efficiency, SC - Service Changes						Personnel Impact					
		Category	2008/09	2009/10	2010/11	2011/12	£000	£000	£000	FTE	2008/09	2009/10	2010/11
	<b>Title of proposed service change</b>	<b>SC</b>											
SL09	Removal of 1 Strategist post – Research & Information				58	58	58	58	1		1	1	1
	<b>DESCRIPTION</b>	<b>Cost Centre</b>	<b>G26100</b>	<b>Account Code(s)</b>	<b>11111 - £44,070; 111116 - £8,130; 111117 - £3,957.</b>								
	Remove post of Strategist (Research & Information) from SL structure.	Deliverability Issues/Risks/Legislative Background:											
	This was originally part of the Review of QA&PM which has been put on hold and staff realigned to interim structure arrangements. Will support post holder in application for ER\VS.	Low to medium risk as it is in support of an application for Early Retirement. Will depend on officer accepting ER\VS.											
		<b>Consultation required:</b>											
		Trade Unions											

BUDGET PROPOSALSDETAILED INFORMATIONCategory - IG - Income Generation, E - Efficiency, SC - Service Changes

Ref.	Service Change	Category	Financial Impact (£'000)	2008/09	2009/10	2010/11	2011/12	FTE	2008/09	2009/10	2010/11	2011/12	Personnel Impact
No.			£'000	£'000	£'000	£'000	Head Count						
	<b>Title of proposed service change</b>	IG											
SL10	P & I: Increase in Revenue Income					10							
	<b>DESCRIPTION</b>	Cost Centre V37260 Account Code(s) 93465 - £10,000.											
	This proposal is to increase revenue from Building Standards letters of comfort. A comparison of costs with Aberdeenshire, indicate our level of charges are low by comparison. The City Council's current level of fees for Letter of Comfort is split into 2 levels charged - £110.00 or £165.00. The fee depends on whether there is an existing Building Warrant with Certificate of Completion for the site. If there is no Certificate of Completion the higher fee is charged.	The current charges of Aberdeenshire are £180.00 and £300.00 respectively. If an inspection is required more than twice during the process a further £100.00 is charged. It is proposed to set a fee in the City Council of £120.00 and £180.00 from April 08. This is likely to generate additional £10k of income under that heading. We will also review these charges year by year, and consider raising them further in line with Aberdeenshire.											
	<b>Deliverability Issues/Risks/Legislative Background:</b>	The key risk relating to this proposal is that this revenue stream is variable and not guaranteed. The increase revenue of £10K is based on adoption of the Moray Cost Model. We haven't included this as an ongoing saving, as the revenue stream will reduce over the next 5 years as letters of comfort are provided.											
	<b>Consultation required:</b>	None.											

BUDGET PROPOSALSDETAILED INFORMATIONCategory - IG - Income Generation, E - Efficiency, SC - Service Changes

Ref.	Service Change No.	Category	Financial Impact (£'000)	2008/09	2009/10	2010/11	2011/12	FTE	2008/09	2009/10	2010/11	2011/12	Personnel Impact
			£'000	£'000	£'000	£'000	£'000	Head Count					
	<b>Title of proposed service change</b>	<b>IG</b>											
<b>SL11</b>	<b>CP&amp;R: Admin charge for Fairer Scotland Fund</b>												
	<b>DESCRIPTION</b>	<b>Cost Centre B45411 Account Code(s) 99199 - £110,000.</b>											
	The proposal is to use the increase budget for Fairer Scotland to bring additional income through providing administrative recharges of £110,000 – this would be a 5% fee. There is ongoing work to analyse whether this is a realistic fee. There is a FOI request to see what other authorities charge. Early indications suggests that 5% is low. It is not always clear what the separation is between Support Team Costs and admin fees but the information on admin fees we have so far shows that Dundee charges 7.7 %, East Ayrshire charges 9.1%, South Lanarkshire and Fife 4%. Aberdeenshire at this point do not charge an admin fee but now that it has been put into their head they may be considering one for the future. Their Support Team costs 3% of their												
	<b>Deliverability Issues/Risks/Legislative Background:</b>												
	Medium to high risk as TACA could decide to not pay 5%, or to go elsewhere for their administrative services.												
	In 2011/12 Fairer Scotland Fund will no longer be ringfenced by the Government - the identified amount for 2010 / 2011 is £ 2.84 M ( a further uplift ) which would equate to an admin charge of £ 142 K if we maintain a 5% charge . In practice, though, we don't know what will happen in year three, so will need to prepare an alternative strategy.												

## APPENDIX 6.13

### SERVICE: Strategic Leadership

#### BUDGET PROPOSALS

#### DETAILED INFORMATION

##### Category - IG - Income Generation, E - Efficiency, SC - Service Changes

Ref. No.	Service Change	Category	Financial Impact (£'000)				Personnel Impact		
			2008/09 £'000	2009/10 £'000	2010/11 £'000	2011/12 FTE	2008/09 FTE	2009/10 FTE	2010/11 FTE
	allocation. Moray Council is interesting, the joint costs for their Support Team and admin costs comes in at 59% of their allocation. Therefore the 5% charge can be regarded as a reasonable norm and is in line with practices in the voluntary sector.	Consultation required:							

## APPENDIX 7

### GENERAL FUND WORKING BALANCES

	2008/09		
	£ million	£ million	<u>Comment</u>
Uncommitted opening balance		5.589	
<b>Adjustments:</b>			
1 Audit of 2007/08 accounts			
NDRI	-4.381		) Post audit adjustments to be actioned in 2008/09
Other	<u>-0.535</u>	-4.916	) See Note 1 below
2 Landfill tax penalties - provisions	1.266		Review requirements for current & prior years
3 Projected overspend	-9.524		As reported to BMB 27/10/08
4 Capital fund contribution	13.781		£7.6m as reported to BMB 27/10 plus drawdown of additional capital receipts via HRA (CFCR)
5 Borrow and fund costs	-0.333		Undertake borrowing for capital projects currently planned to be funded directly from the capital fund
<b>Projected GF Balance at 31/3/09 (excluding consent to borrow)</b>		<u>5.863</u>	
6 Borrowing for revenue as permitted by Scottish Government			
Gross	10.000		
Repayment	<u>-0.615</u>	9.385	
		<u>15.248</u>	
7 Earmarked sum for Redundancy etc	-5.234		Based on current agreements e.g. home carers and estimates for current corporate exercise
<b>Potential GF Balance at 31/3/09</b>		<u>10.014</u>	Risks .... HMRC inspection outcomes Forecast out-turn including exceptional items Additional Exit Costs from Additional People
2009/10 target working balances		<u>5.000</u>	

Note 1 This relates to adjustments agreed with the auditor for implementation in 2008/9. They principally relate to an understatement of the income due to be returned to the NDRI

#### Abbreviations:

BMB = Budget Monitoring Board

HMRC = HM Revenue & Customs

NDRI = Non Domestic rate income

## **APPENDIX 8**

### **Equalities Impact Assessments.**

Services thematic reviews – papers to follow.