

## Appendix – Early Access to Waiting List

1. No restrictions should be made on this
2. Any
3. Until can't manage to push wheel chairs
4. 0 years
5. Zero. No benefit
6. You'll just stop wishing to become taxi drivers
7. 3 years
8. 0 - As highlighted in the main body of my initial answer.
9. Less than 5 yrs
10. 1 year
11. It should depend how many are in the fleet already and are happy to keep wav vehicles
12. Shouldn't need it at all
13. This should not be a consideration for the list at all
14. 1 year
15. 3 years
16. No qualifying period should be necessary
17. 2 yrs
18. if more WAV taxis are needed why create a barrier?
19. Not sure what purpose this wait serves?
20. No time.
21. 2 years
22. 9 years
23. Why should they have to wait. This is a ridiculous policy in the first place and a key reason why taxis are never available in Aberdeen
24. Appropriate experience should be considered i a new driver that has experience at home for wheelchairs etc should be able to apply
25. As soon as a license for a saloon becomes available.
26. Those who are joining should not have to purchase this expensive vehicle
27. 1 year
28. Does there need to be a time limit?
29. Stop this its not important
30. There should not be a time to qualify
31. 1 year
32. 1 year.
33. Shouldn't matter
34. 4 Years
35. None
36. 1 year
37. Immediately, the taxi service needs more vehicles.
38. 2 years
39. None. There should be no waiting list
40. Any amount of time. Ie immediately

41. Immediately
42. You need to explain this question more
43. Why should they change to change back?
44. There should be a lower percentage of WAVs required.
45. drivers should be free to choose
46. Listen to the people of Aberdeen and drop the bloody street knowledge test
47. No stipulation about WAV should exist
48. Currently I am not a taxi driver, but would like to become one in the future
49. 1 year
50. No opinion
51. Just do it in order. The question assumes you have already decided a qualifying period is necessary,, I dont. 0
52. let drivers sign up and for it there are few enough taxis when i need them
53. Shouldn't matter how long..
54. Why do you feel thT limitations need to be put onto operators?
55. 2 years
56. Why so long if you're going to do it make it easier
57. 1 year
58. Unsure what this refers to.
59. 2years
60. 3 years
61. No early access should be granted
62. There should be no waiting period provided there is a minimum 50%
63. Immediate
64. If the ratio of WAV vehicles meets the ratio of wheelchair users they should be able to start with a saloon
65. No requirement
66. Should be open to all.
67. 0 no need for this restriction
68. Why should you be allowed to change your vehicle after all a taxi is a taxi.
69. Zero time. Allow all operators to apply
70. Let the market decide. Zero compulsory. Find a way to make it attractive.
71. I don't think there should be a limit but if there needs to be one less time, 2 years.
72. We need more taxis in Aberdeen especially at the train station and airport. Why make it more difficult m?!
73. A don't agree with all new taxi operators requiring to purchase a WAV
74. None as the driver himself may have a disabilities that don't allow him to assist with wheelchair access and alighting from a vehicle
75. In my opinion, if a driver has a WAV, they are halfway there to your ambitious goals. Drivers should be encouraged not penalised because they've only had a WAV for 4 years and 364 days for example.
76. 0 years
77. 0 years should be their choice.
78. 2 years
79. 1 Year

80. I don't have a suggestion for this
81. No restriction
82. 1 year
83. 1 year
84. Not important.
85. 20 years
86. 2 years
87. Less.
88. 0. There should not be a requirement for all new licenses to be WAV. This imposes a very high barrier to entry due to the cost of WAVs, and the will only serve to further prolong the current dearth of taxis in Aberdeen
89. This question is not important to taxi users. Eliminate Knowledge requirement for Uber drivers.
90. Zero, it's a daft policy
91. The next time they change their vehicle
92. No requirement
93. 0 years. More taxis are required and restrictions on types of vehicles are off putting
94. Don't think I know enough about it to decide.
95. There is a shortage of taxis in aberdeen
96. Should be equal opportunities for all
97. Should be available to all
98. 0 years
99. 1year
100. 1because it's simply not needed
101. 1 year
102. They shouldn't change WAV
103. All changes of vehicle should be to a WAV. All new vehicles should be a WAV
104. It should be the operators personal choice on whether to licence a saloon or WAV vehicle
105. Shouldn't have to wait to use a saloon car, should be a small percentage of fleet required to be WAV
106. 1year
107. If they have the WAV qualification then there is no reason not to allow them access
108. 10 years would be fair (my 60year old dad has had a WAV for 23 years and is still required to have one, seems unfair)
109. Shouldn't be done this way.
110. No restriction, we need more taxis in this city, not barriers of entry
111. Why make it more difficult for people with WAVs to get access to the list? Feels like you'd be putting up unnecessary blocks to your ultimate aim
112. No list
113. No waiting time
114. No time limit as old drivers choose vehicals they like to drive
115. None

- 116. There should be no compulsion for new applicants to be WAV
- 117. As soon as
- 118. Early access should also be granted to those with health conditions who find a WAV more difficult for them
- 119. i year
- 120. Non existing
- 121. None
- 122. I don't really know enough about it to have an opinion there.
- 123. 15 years
- 124. 6 months at most
- 125. 2 years
- 126. Should be immediate
- 127. 3 years
- 128. 2 years
- 129. 2 Years - Why should one driver who holds the same license be treated differently from others. If there was a rule of only 2 years I think drivers would go for WAV yellow Plate instead of going straight to a RED Private Hire Plate. While it remains 5 or 10 years there is not an incentive to go Yellow Plate.
- 130. 2 years
- 131. 1 year
- 132. Give the choiche in a first place
- 133. 2 years
- 134. This should be up to the operator
- 135. Should not be mandating or making mandatory for the applications to be WAV. Overstepping the councils powers here
- 136. When suited to the driver
- 137. Less
- 138. Don't have a wav
- 139. There shouldn't be a list
- 140. 0. Why have a list?
- 141. i feel that a taxi company should supplt a percentage but i would not agree that an independant driver should pay out more for a car to accommodate
- 142. There should not be a timeframe stipulation.
- 143. Don't know
- 144. 0 years
- 145. No time period
- 146. 1 year
- 147. 3years
- 148. 6 months
- 149. 1 year
- 150. No restriction
- 151. 0 years. WAV is more expensive
- 152. 12 months
- 153. 0 Years
- 154. None

- 155. It should be automatic
- 156. 1 year
- 157. 0 years
- 158. Anytime they want, as self employed operators the council should not legally be able to dictate how they operate their business
- 159. There is no help to have the WAV too expensive to have a vehicle reducing taxi applications
- 160. Why put a restriction in place if wanting to encourage more drivers?
- 161. 2 years
- 162. I don't think that new drivers should automatically have to drive a WAV. To encourage new drivers into the profession, they may also not know how to deal with disabled passengers. This policy should be inverted, where drivers with more experience should be the only ones allowed to transport those with accessible needs.
- 163. 1 year
- 164. no operator should have preferential treatment as all operators have had to sit the same street knowledge test /
- 165. No opinion
- 166. 1 year
- 167. 2 years
- 168. There should be no such restrictions
- 169. It should be based on what year you passed your test, not how long you have been driving a wav, purely because some people may have been leasing a saloon vehicle for many years because they simply missed the cut off date, couldn't afford to purchase a wav or didn't want to drive a wav.
- 170. 3 years
- 171. I don't know why they would revert to a saloon car - what is the benefit?
- 172. 2yrs
- 173. 1 year
- 174. 20 years
- 175. I think 10 years but allow private hires to transfer to full Hackney plates to help with busy ranks at weekends.
- 176. Driver choice on vehicle, incentives to wav. No waitlist.
- 177. 3 years seems to be the "standard" for changing vehicles, if the driver has a license and wants to change to a saloon why shouldn't they be allowed?
- 178. 0 years
- 179. 3 years
- 180. Let uber operate on the city without the knowledge test
- 181. 1 year
- 182. There shouldn't be a qualifying period
- 183. 2 years
- 184. 1year
- 185. 3 years
- 186. Minimum of 10 years
- 187. 8 Years

- 188. No requirement
- 189. 10-15 years
- 190. Reward those already assisting those in need.
- 191. All taxis should be WAV
- 192. 14 years
- 193. Unless fitness problem
- 194. 7years minimum
- 195. Length of time they have held a taxi drivers licence.
- 196. 3 years
- 197. There should no minimum requirement
- 198. The metric should be based on quality of service, duration does not quantify the value of the service.
- 199. I think anyone who has had a WAV should be allowed to apply, any improvementâ€™s to accessibility should be encouraged
- 200. 3 years
- 201. None
- 202. dont know
- 203. Don't think there should be a restriction of length of time
- 204. Whenever they want to!
- 205. Why should they have to wait? There should be a commercial incentive to choose on way or the other until all licences for that type of vehicle are taken. Arbitrary waiting times etc. never work in practice however well intentioned they are.